

ALIGNING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE WITH ETHICAL ACCOUNTANCY: A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE ON EMERGING FRAMEWORKS

Scott Dell ^{*}, Mfon Akpan ^{**}, Abraham Carr ^{***}

^{*} Francis Marion University, Florence, USA

^{**} Corresponding author, Department of Accounting, Methodist University, Fayetteville, USA

Contact details: Department of Accounting, Methodist University, 5400 Ramsey St., Fayetteville, NC 28311, USA

^{***} Creighton University, Omaha, USA



Abstract

How to cite this paper: Dell, S., Akpan, M., & Carr, A. (2024). Aligning artificial intelligence with ethical accountancy: A global perspective on emerging frameworks. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 21(1), 47–54. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv21i1art5>

Copyright © 2024 The Authors

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

ISSN Online: 1810-3057

ISSN Print: 1727-9232

Received: 26.10.2023

Accepted: 05.02.2024

JEL Classification: M10, M38, M40, M41, M48

DOI: 10.22495/cocv21i1art5

This study meticulously examines the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into the accounting sector, revealing transformative opportunities alongside emerging ethical challenges. Drawing inspiration from established principles of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Code of Professional Conduct (AICPA, 2016), an innovative Accounting Framework for AI Ethics (AFAIE) is introduced. This framework aims to provide a tailored approach that ensures that the adoption of AI technologies aligns with the fundamental professional values of trust and integrity. It aims to address the concerns and potential risks associated with the use of AI and establish guidelines that promote accountability and transparency in the development and deployment of AI systems. The essence of this research is underscored by the advocacy for resilient ethical paradigms that are instrumental in navigating the complexities introduced by AI in accounting. Emphasizing a global perspective, this study advocates universal ethical guidelines, ensuring adaptability to specific regional and professional contexts (Association of Chartered Certified Accountants [ACCA], 2016; Bertucci et al., 2021). This synthesis of technology and ethics aims to foster an environment in which innovation thrives alongside steadfast adherence to professional integrity and responsibility.

Keywords: Accounting Sector, Ethical Challenges, Ethical Framework, AI Ethics, AICPA, AFAIE

Authors' individual contribution: Conceptualization — S.D., M.A., and A.C.; Methodology — S.D., M.A., and A.C.; Writing — Original Draft — S.D., M.A., and A.C.

Declaration of conflicting interests: The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

1. INTRODUCTION

Integrating artificial intelligence (AI) within the accounting profession presents unprecedented opportunities and ethical dilemmas that require careful consideration. This evolving landscape cannot overstate the influential role of global accounting bodies. These organizations, including the Institute of Management Accountants (IMA),

the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), the Chartered Accountants Worldwide (CAW), and the Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA), have significant potential impact in shaping ethical guidelines relevant to AI deployment within accounting (Hajiha & Rajabdorri, 2024).

Understanding these organizations' global stature and impact is essential for establishing ethical norms that align with the ever-evolving AI

domain. The AI narrative in management accounting extends beyond technological progress; it is intrinsically linked to ethical principles that promote its principled, fair, and judicious application (Kazim & Koshiyama, 2021). This study examines the potential influence of IMA, AICPA, CAW, and ACCA in guiding ethical discourse on AI within the accounting sphere. Specifically, we address the following central research question:

RQ1: How do global accounting bodies, including the IMA, the AICPA, CAW, and the ACCA, influence and shape ethical guidelines relevant to AI deployment within the accounting profession?

The subsequent sections outline a rigorous methodology encompassing the organization's membership metrics and standardization of geographic data. The following discussion summarizes the results of this normalization process, revealing these entities' comparative weight and ubiquity on a global scale. These findings pave the way for designing robust ethical guidelines for AI within management accounting, promoting a principled and ethically aligned integration of AI within the accounting profession.

This research introduces the Accounting Framework for AI Ethics (AFAIE) based on the AICPA's ethical standards, guiding the intricate interplay between AI's technological capabilities and the sanctity of professional ethics. The subsequent sections outline a rigorous methodology encompassing the organization's membership metrics and standardization of geographic data. The following discussion summarizes the results of this normalization process, revealing these entities' comparative weight and ubiquity on a global scale. These findings pave the way for designing robust ethical guidelines for AI within management accounting, promoting a principled and ethically aligned integration of AI within the accounting profession (Siau & Wang, 2020).

As the modern financial world evolves, so do the tools used to navigate their complexities. One of the most transformative advancements in recent years is integrating AI into accounting (Mintz & Miller, 2021). The AFAIE was conceived to guide this integration ethically and effectively.

The AFAIE serves as a benchmark for ensuring that the incorporation of AI into the accounting realm upholds the profession's core values. As AI systems become increasingly intertwined with financial processes, they present new opportunities and challenges. The AFAIE provides a roadmap for professionals to navigate these challenges, ensuring that AI's role in accounting strengthens the field rather than compromising its integrity.

To harness the power of AI, the accounting profession must ensure that these tools serve the public interest. AI should strengthen public trust by emphasizing the efficiency and reliability of financial reports and outputs. This principle underscores the profession's responsibility to prioritize broader societal benefits over short-term gains (Power & McBrierty, 2022).

The data-driven nature of AI amplifies the importance of integrity. AI systems should be rigorously monitored to ensure unbiased and accurate data processing. Beyond the technology, professionals utilizing AI's insights must remain

vigilant, ensuring that AI's suggestions do not sway their judgments and remain free from external influences (AICPA, 2016).

The merger of AI with accounting should maintain the profession's commitment to ethical conduct. AI tools should be wielded with due care due to their rapid evolution and transformative capabilities. As AI's role in accounting expands, its alignment with the profession's ethical standards has become paramount. Every AI deployment should resonate with the ethical tenets of the accounting profession to ensure that the field's credibility remains intact (Jenkins et al., 2020).

The AFAIE is not a static framework. As AI technologies advance, guidelines inevitably require updates to address new challenges and leverage new opportunities. This dynamic nature necessitates periodic reviews and possible revisions of the AFAIE to ensure its relevance and efficacy. Additionally, professionals are encouraged to actively participate in discussions about AFAIE, contributing their insights and experiences to shape its future direction. By fostering collaboration and continuous learning, the accounting profession can ensure that AI integration is ethical and advantageous (Jackson et al., 2023).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Historical context

Over the years, there has been increasing interest in exploring the global influence of professional accounting organizations and their relation to ethical guidelines. This section provides an overview of the research in this area, highlighting essential themes and findings.

*Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA)*¹: ACCA is recognized worldwide, boasting a large membership spread across many countries. It offers a range of qualifications. The ACCA qualification is renowned. According to Chinedu and Odhuluma (2019), research shows that ACCA has had a positive impact on the quality of financial reporting. Additionally, the ACCA emphasizes continuous professional development, requiring its members to regularly update their skills and knowledge.

*Chartered Accountants Worldwide (CAW)*²: The CAW is a vast network representing many chartered accountants globally. Their main goal is to maintain excellence and integrity within the profession. They have been recognized for their contributions to the chartered accountancy field and emphasize the importance of continuous professional development (CAW, 2023).

*American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (AICPA-CIMA) alliance*³: AICPA and CIMA, two leading accounting bodies, formed a strategic alliance to bolster the global accounting profession. Their partnership promotes high standards, shares knowledge, and launches joint initiatives. The accounting community has received this alliance positively.

¹ <https://www.accaglobal.com/gb/en/about-us.html>

² <https://charteredaccountantsworldwide.com/about-caw/>

³ <https://globalaccountingalliance.com/members/>

*Institute of Management Accountants (IMA)*⁴: IMA is a vast association dedicated to accountants and financial professionals specializing in management accounting and related fields. They offer a plethora of resources, including professional development programs and certifications. The IMA is also instrumental in setting standards for the management accounting profession (IMA, 2023).

2.2. Introduction to artificial intelligence integration in accounting: Ethical framework of professional accounting organizations

Integrating AI into accounting is a burgeoning area with the potential to revolutionize the profession. With its capability to automate tasks and improve decision-making processes, AI's role in auditing, tax preparation, and financial analysis is growing. However, with this growth comes ethical concerns such as fairness, transparency, and responsible use (Hasan, 2022).

Ethical codes underscore the importance of maintaining ethical standards, guiding accountants in their ethical conduct, and safeguarding the public interest. They enhance trust within the accounting profession, ensuring prioritization of ethical treatment of clients and safeguarding public interests (Rogošić & Perica, 2023).

Beyond the ethical frameworks of professional organizations, there are overarching ethical guidelines and frameworks pertinent to accounting, including the following:

- The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) Internal Control Framework;
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Bertucci et al., 2021);
- International Standards on Auditing (ISAs);
- Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI);
- United Nations Global Compact (Podrecca et al., 2022).

These offer guidance on diverse ethical dilemmas ranging from internal controls to environmental sustainability.

The fusion of AI with accounting heralds' ethical conundrums, including challenges such as transparency, bias, privacy, and accountability. Although such challenges span multiple professions, accounting has unique concerns, chiefly in ensuring the accuracy and reliability of financial information. The industry has formulated guidelines to address these ethical issues (Zhang et al., 2023).

2.3. Global footprint of professional accounting organizations

The global accounting profession is structured through a complex network of professional accounting organizations. These organizations play a crucial role in maintaining the accuracy of financial information and upholding ethical standards within the profession. For example, the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) sets and promotes ethical standards on an international scale. Other notable organizations in this network include the CAW, the ACCA, the IMA,

the AICPA, and the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA). The IESBA specifically focuses on setting ethical standards for accountants (Msadek, 2016).

These organizations profoundly impact global accounting by setting universally applicable standards, offering education, and advocating ethical norms (Abdullahu & Vokshi, 2022).

The worldwide expansion of these organizations means that accountants across borders face varying ethical challenges due to differing laws, regulations, and cultural expectations. Global bodies are striving to establish universally relevant ethical guidelines.

Analyzing membership data and global presence offers insights into the size, scope, and regions in which organizations are influential (Mistry, 2021). For instance, the AICPA, with members spanning 196 countries, is the largest and most diversified accounting body globally. With a solid European presence, the ACCA is the second largest. The IMA primarily based in the U.S. but growing in global influence, is the third. Trends indicate globalization and specialization in accounting due to the interconnected global economy and the increasing demand for niche skills.

2.4. Ethical leadership and frameworks and potential impact of different organizations in artificial intelligence ethics development

Ethical leadership, especially in influential professions such as accounting, encompasses decisions and actions aligned with honesty, fairness, and integrity. Frameworks guiding such leadership include the Four Pillars of Ethical Leadership and the Servant Leadership model (Trăistaru, 2015).

Professional bodies mold ethical guidelines for their members, ensuring the upholding of the profession's standards. For instance, the IFAC formulated international ethical standards for accountants, and the AICPA crafted standards specific to the U.S. accounting realm based on the IFAC's standards.

The global discourse on AI ethics is enriched by various organizations focusing on AI and its societal implications (Minkinen et al., 2022). These entities provide platforms for dialogue, research, and public education on the responsible use of AI and related technologies.

The IEEE Global Initiative on Ethics of Autonomous and Intelligent Systems: The IEEE Global Initiative works towards establishing and promoting ethical standards for autonomous and intelligent systems, providing a collaborative arena for technologists and policymakers to address the ethical implications of AI (IEEE Standards Association, 2023).

Partnership on AI to benefit people and society (PAI): As a non-profit coalition of academic, civil society, industry, and media organizations, the Partnership on AI aims to address important questions concerning the future of AI and translate critical insights into actionable resources for the AI community (Heer, 2018).

Stanford University Initiatives: While there is not a specific "AI Ethics Lab", Stanford hosts several initiatives, such as the Stanford Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence (HAI), which focuses on

⁴ <https://www.imanet.org/>

advancing AI research, education, policy, and practice to improve the human condition and consider the societal implications of AI technologies (Zhou et al., 2023).

Berkman Klein Center for the Internet and Society at Harvard University: The center engages in research and dialogue on AI's ethical and societal implications, striving to assess and build social media technology in the public interest, among other activities.

Future of Life Institute: This institute is a non-profit organization aiming to reduce extreme, large-scale risks from transformative technologies, including AI. It is committed to realizing the potential benefits of these technologies while minimizing associated risks.

These organizations collaborate to ensure ethical development, deployment, and governance of AI technologies, benefiting society. These organizations collaborate to ensure ethical development, deployment, and governance of AI technologies, benefiting society (IEEE Standard Association, 2023).

2.5. Exploring the gap and underpinning of the rationale

2.5.1. Untapped areas in current studies

While AI's integration across sectors is well documented, a research void persists concerning the overarching role of global accounting organizations in AI ethics formulation. Previous studies have delved into the ethical dimensions of AI in areas such as healthcare, finance, and legal systems. However, there is a dearth of inquiries into how accounting bodies' global expanse and member strength shape their capacity to craft robust ethical constructs for AI incorporation.

Research focusing on accounting entities has traditionally centered on their pivotal role in defining ethical benchmarks for accountants. However, a comprehensive exploration is missing — one that deciphers which entities, considering their worldwide reach and membership density, are optimally positioned to pioneer AI ethical guidelines.

This conspicuous gap underscores the imperative for a more nuanced analysis linking the global imprints of accounting entities to their potential in molding AI ethical parameters.

2.5.2. Why spotlight the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants?

The AICPA is an influential torchbearer in accounting with its illustrious legacy and robust member base. The Code of Professional Conduct is the foundation of ethical principles in the accounting sphere.

The AICPA, now jointly branded as the AICPA and CIMA (AICPA-CIMA), holds a remarkable global presence, governed by the AICPA. The AICPA-CIMA has a significant impact on AI ethics worldwide. With over 689,000 members across 196 nations and territories, it has a strong presence in crucial AI development hubs like the U.S., Europe, and Asia. This amalgamation empowers the organization to have an impactful voice in shaping the ethical aspects of AI in a global context. The union's robust

network and strategic locations enhance its capacity to sculpt the landscape of AI ethics globally.

The rationale behind zeroing in the AICPA for this investigation is multifold.

1. Significance: Uncovering insights into how a venerable entity with its profound global sway can pioneer the development of an AI-centric ethical matrix.

2. Relevance: Given the AICPA's expansive member base and seminal ethical guidelines, it emerges as an archetype for scrutiny in the AI integration landscape.

This exploration was poised to meld the domains of AI ethics and professional accounting. The focal point being the interplay between AICPA's global stature and the creation of an AI ethical paradigm, the study aspires to enrich our comprehension of the metamorphic role of ethics in the contemporary, technology-infused accounting milieu (AICPA, 2016).

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1. Data collection

The data for this study were gathered from four preeminent accounting associations: IMA, AICPA, CAW, and ACCA.

Table 1 displays the raw membership data and the number of countries associated with each organization.

Table 1. Raw membership data and number of countries for each organization

Organization	Membership count	Number of countries
IMA	140,000	150
AICPA	689,000	196
CAW	1.8 million	190
ACCA	241,000	178

The comprehensive membership data compiled from four prominent accounting associations, as presented in Table 1, shows the IMA with 140,000 members across 150 countries (IMA, 2023), the AICPA with 689,000 members in 196 countries (AICPA & CIMA, 2022), the CAW with 1.8 million members in 190 countries, and the ACCA with 241,000 members in 178 countries ("Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA)", n.d.).

3.2. Normalization process

The membership and country data were standardized using the min-max normalization technique to compare the organizations comprehensively. This approach rescales the data to the range of 0 to 1.

The formula for min-max normalization is:

$$\text{Normalized value} = \frac{(\text{Value} - \text{Min value})}{(\text{Max value} - \text{Min value})} \quad (1)$$

Subsequent steps were employed to standardize each association's membership and country data.

For membership data normalization:

- Min value = 140,000 (IMA's membership);
- Max value = 1,800,000 (CAW's membership).

The formula for the normalized membership value (NMV) is:

$$NMV = \frac{(Membership - 140,000)}{(1,800,000 - 140,000)} \quad (2)$$

For country presence normalization:

- Min value = 150 (IMA’s country presence);
- Max value = 196 (AICPA’s country presence).

The formula for normalized country value (NCV) is as follows:

$$NCV = \frac{(Countries - 150)}{(196 - 150)} \quad (3)$$

These formulas provide normalized membership and country values, enabling a standardized comparison of each organization’s global outreach and influence.

Table 2. Normalized membership and country values of accounting organizations

Organization	Membership count	NMV	Countries operated	NCV
IMA	140,000	0.0	150	0.0
AICPA	689,000	0.33	196	1.0
CAW	1,800,000	1.0	190	0.87
ACCA	241,000	0.06	178	0.61

Note: The NMV and NCV values are rounded to two decimal places.

In the methodology employed in this study, data were sourced from four eminent accounting organizations: IMA, AICPA, CAW, and ACCA. The primary focus was on the membership data and the number of countries each organization operates in. To ensure a standardized and objective comparison, the data were normalized using the min-max normalization method, adjusting the values to fit within a 0 to 1 range. This normalization accounted for variations in membership size and the number of countries in which each organization was located. The results of this normalization are then presented in tabular form and visually represented through a bar chart, offering a clear depiction of the global influence of each organization in a normalized context.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Normalized values

The normalization process computes values representing the membership density (normalized membership value, or NMV) and global presence (normalized country value, or NCV) for each accounting organization. The following normalized values were obtained:

- 1) Normalized membership density:
 - IMA: NMV = 0.07;
 - AICPA: NMV = 0.33;

- CAW: NMV = 1.00;
 - ACCA: NMV = 0.06.
- 2) Normalized global presence:
 - IMA: NCV = 0.00;
 - AICPA: NCV = 1.00;
 - CAW: NCV = 0.87;
 - ACCA: NCV = 0.61.

These normalized values offer insights into each organization’s membership density and global presence, allowing for a direct comparison. A higher normalized value indicates greater membership density or global presence than other organizations.

4.2. Comparative analysis

The comparative analysis revealed distinct strategies among the four accounting organizations based on their normalized membership density and global presence (Table 3). CAW demonstrates an unparalleled membership density, indicating a dense concentration of members across its operating countries. In contrast, the AICPA’s expansive global presence underscores its commitment to broad international influence. ACCA adopts a balanced approach, ensuring both reach and density. At the same time, IMA prioritizes spreading across countries over dense membership. The differences in strategies reflect each organization’s unique focus and influence on the global accounting landscape.

Table 3. Comparative analysis of organizations

Organization	Membership density insight	Global presence insight	Overall strategy insight
CAW	The highest membership density among the organizations	Substantial global presence, though not the broadest	Strong influence across member countries due to a significant number of members per country
AICPA	Lower membership density compared to CAW but higher than ACCA and IMA	The broadest global presence among the organizations	Strategic focus on having a widespread global impact
ACCA	Moderate membership density, balanced approach	Respectable global presence, neither the broadest nor the least	The strategy seems to focus on a broader reach while maintaining a certain level of membership in each country
IMA	The lowest membership density among the organizations	The least global presence among the organizations	Emphasis is placed on broader reach across countries rather than high membership density, possibly focusing on diverse global markets

4.3. Implications and insights

The analysis derived from the normalized data reveals the strategic orientations and influences of the four major accounting organizations. Its exceptional membership density distinguishes CAW, indicating a concentrated influence in its operating countries. On the other hand, the AICPA's extensive global reach showcases its commitment to international influence. This underscores its role in setting global standards. ACCA strikes a balance that ensures both global reach and robust membership. At the same time, the IMA leans towards a more comprehensive reach with a more dispersed membership. In the context of AI ethics in accounting, membership density brings a wealth of diverse perspectives, as exemplified by the CAW. Equally vital is the broad global influence of the AICPA, which is pivotal in ensuring the widespread adoption and standardization of AI ethics across nations. Synergistic collaboration among these entities holds the potential to craft a universally accepted AI code of ethics, fostering ethical AI integration in accounting. The insights from the normalized data serve as a foundational basis for informed decision-making in the realm of accounting ethics.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Implications for the profession

The emergence of AFAIE serves not only as a guide but also as a pivotal directive for the future of accounting. With AI rapidly embedding itself in accounting practices, continuous learning and adaptation have become paramount. It is essential for professionals to not only understand the functionalities of AI but also be acutely aware of its ethical dimensions. Transparency in AI-driven processes is vital. Stakeholders must have clear insights into AI's role of AI in financial reporting, which involves lucid decision-making processes and transparent data-sourcing practices. Given AI's extensive data processing capabilities, ensuring data confidentiality is a necessity, not a luxury. Regular audits of AI systems can ascertain ethical adherence and facilitate timely rectifications.

5.2. Future trajectories

While AFAIE establishes a foundational ethical framework for AI in accounting, the ever-evolving nature of AI means that this framework needs to be adaptive. Feedback from within the profession and from external stakeholders is crucial in refining and advancing this framework. As AI continues its trajectory, AFAIE must adapt to address unfolding ethical complexities.

The integration of AI into accounting, though inevitable, necessitates a solid ethical direction. With AFAIE as a guide, professionals are equipped with a roadmap that aligns AI integration with foundational accounting values. The onus now lies with educators and practitioners to deeply integrate and operationalize these guidelines, ensuring that the future of accounting remains as esteemed as its storied past.

6. CONCLUSION

The integration of AI into accounting transcends mere technological advancement; it heralds a pivotal metamorphosis in the profession's *modus operandi* and its ability to offer unparalleled value. While AI brings to the table a plethora of opportunities from heightened efficiency to groundbreaking predictive capabilities, it simultaneously introduces a suite of ethical conundrums.

The AFAIE, anchored in the principles enshrined in the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, acts as a beacon and illuminates the path for professionals in this evolving landscape. Beyond AI's immediate virtues, such as sophisticated automation and nuanced data analytics, there is the promise of a profound comprehension of intricate financial ecosystems. AI is equipped with tools that allow it to anticipate market shifts, preempt financial challenges, and furnish clients with strategic counsel marked by an unprecedented degree of accuracy. However, this new frontier brings forth pressing concerns regarding data confidentiality, potential algorithmic prejudice, and the prospects of misuse.

The AFAIE underscores the profession's bedrock values: trust, integrity, and unwavering responsibility. This ensures that the fusion of AI with accounting respects and upholds the age-old principles that have anchored the field. As we find ourselves at this transformative juncture, the onus falls upon educators, practitioners, and the wider stakeholder community to collectively endorse, fine-tune, and operationalize the AFAIE. Given the fluid nature of the evolution of AI, our ethical guidelines must remain agile, primed to address emergent challenges, and ensure that the future of accounting remains as esteemed and relevant as its illustrious past.

This study provides valuable insights regarding the integration of AI ethics in accounting. However, it is essential to note that there are limitations to the AFAIE framework due to the rapidly evolving landscape of AI. As such, future research should aim to adapt and update the framework to address emerging ethical challenges in AI.

Additional research is necessary to determine the effectiveness of the AFAIE in practice, and longitudinal studies and case analyses can help clarify how professionals apply the framework in their decision-making processes and its impact on ethical practices in accounting.

This study primarily focused on the AICPA and its code of professional conduct. Future research could explore the roles of other global accounting bodies, such as CAW and ACCA, in shaping AI ethics within accounting and developing complementary frameworks.

The AFAIE is a significant step toward ensuring ethical AI integration in accounting. Further research and collaboration are crucial to continually refine and adapt the framework to the evolving landscape of AI and accounting, promoting ethical practices that withstand the test of time.

REFERENCES

1. Abdullahu, S., & Vokshi, N. B. (2022). The impact of professional organizations on the quality of accounting education. *Naukovyi Visnyk Natsionalnoho Hirnychoho Universytetu*, 6, 154-159. <https://doi.org/10.33271/nvngu/2022-6/154>
2. AICPA & CIMA. (2022, November 14). *World's largest accounting and Finance Body, AICPA & CIMA, convene in Dubai to discuss future of the profession*. <https://www.aicpa-cima.com/news/article/worlds-largest-accounting-and-finance-body-aicpa-and-cima-convene-in-dubai>
3. American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). (2016). *AICPA Code of Professional Conduct*. <https://us.aicpa.org/content/dam/aicpa/research/standards/codeofconduct/downloadabledocuments/2014december15contentasof2016august31codeofconduct.pdf>
4. Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA). (n.d.). Australian National University. <https://shorturl.at/cfk13>
5. Bertucci, A., Skufca, T., & Boyer-Davis, S. (2021). Section 806 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act: Can the fraud triangle prevent fraud in the finance sector? *Journal of Corporate Accounting and Finance*, 32(4), 158-167. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcaf.22520>
6. Chartered Accounting Worldwide. (2023, August 1). *Ainslie van Onselen appointed Chair of Chartered Accountants Worldwide*. <https://cawnetworkusa.com/insight/ainslie-van-onselen-appointed-chair-of-chartered-accountants-worldwide/>
7. Chinedu, O. J., & Odhuluma, I. H. (2019). Perceived relationship between ethical standards and Financial Reporting Quality in Rivers State. *World Journal of Innovative Research*, 7(5), 95-100. <https://doi.org/10.31871/wjir.7.5.12>
8. Hajiha, Z., & Rajabdorri, H. (2024). The relationship between Islamic work ethics and professional ethics in accounting instructors. *Islam & Management*, 12(12), 139-156. <https://doi.org/10.30471/im.2018.3978.1121>
9. Hasan, A. R. (2022). Artificial Intelligence (AI) in accounting & auditing: A literature review. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 10(1), 440-465. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2022.101026>
10. Heer, J. (2018). The partnership on AI. *AI Matters*, 4(3), 25-26. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3284751.3284760>
11. IEEE Standards Association. (2023, July 19). *The IEEE global initiative on ethics of autonomous and intelligent systems*. <https://standards.ieee.org/industry-connections/ec/autonomous-systems/>
12. Institute of Management Accountants (IMA). (2023, November 29). *IMA launches certification focused on foundational financial and managerial accounting knowledge*. <https://shorturl.at/bivBD>
13. Jackson, D., Michelson, G., & Munir, R. (2023). Developing accountants for the future: New technology, skills, and the role of stakeholders. *Accounting Education*, 32(2), 150-177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2022.2057195>
14. Jenkins, J. G., Popova, V., & Sheldon, M. D. (2020). Monitoring the accounting profession under the AICPA code of professional conduct: An analysis of state board of accountancy participation. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 39(3), Article 106742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2020.106742>
15. Kazim, E., & Koshiyama, A. S. (2021). A high-level overview of AI ethics. *Patterns*, 2(9), Article 100314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2021.100314>
16. Michaels, M. (2023, August 22). *AI for good or for ill: IMA provides perspective on ethics and AI*. Institute of Management Accountants (IMA). <https://www.imanet.org/blog/2023/august/ai-for-good-or-for-ill>
17. Minkinen, M., Zimmer, M. P., & Mäntymäki, M. (2022). Co-shaping an ecosystem for responsible AI: Five types of expectation work in response to a technological frame. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 25(1), 103-121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-022-10269-2>
18. Mintz, S. M., & Miller, W. F. (2021). Incorporating behavioral ethics and organizational culture into accounting ethics. In A. Costa & M. Pinheiro (Eds.), *Accounting ethics education: Making ethics real*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003017509-10>
19. Mistry, U. (2021). Enhancing students' employability skills awareness through the accounting professional body on an undergraduate accounting degree. *Accounting Education*, 30(6), 578-600. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2021.1950016>
20. Msadek, S. (2016, April 26). *A global perspective on the accountancy profession* [Interview]. The World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/opinion/2016/04/26/a-global-perspective-on-the-accountancy-profession>
21. Podrecca, M., Sartor, M., & Nassimbeni, G. (2022). United Nations Global Compact: Where are we going? *Social Responsibility Journal*, 18(5), 984-1003. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-06-2020-0261>
22. Power, C. P., & McBrierty, V. J. (2022). Public policy: The defining global parameters of society and science. In G. Flynn (Ed.), *Leadership and business ethics* (Vol. 60, pp. 365-386). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-2111-8_22
23. Rogošić, A., & Perica, I. (2023). Affective professional commitment and accounting ethics principles: Examining the mediating role of the code of ethics. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 36(1), 480-498. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2022.2077791>
24. Siau, K., & Wang, W. (2020). Artificial intelligence (AI) ethics: Ethics of AI and ethical AI. *Journal of Database Management*, 31(2). <https://doi.org/10.4018/JDM.2020040105>
25. Trăistaru, D. A. (2015). Ethics and morality in the accounting profession. *Ovidius University Annals Economic Sciences Series*, 15(2), 556-559. https://stec.univ-ovidius.ro/html/anale/RO/2015/i2/ANALE%20vol%2015%20issue_2_2015_site.pdf
26. Zhang, C., Zhu, W., Dai, J., Wu, Y., & Chen, X. (2023). Ethical impact of artificial intelligence in managerial accounting. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 49, Article 100619. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4394217>
27. Zhou, B., Karim, A., Alok, A., Khandelwal, A., Kapur, R., Huang, O., Sun, H., & Mendonca, G. (2023). *Youth perspectives and recommendations for the United Nations's High-Level Advisory Board on artificial intelligence*. (Foundational Papers of the United Nations High-level Advisory Body on AI). <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4652758>

APPENDIX A. ACCOUNTING FRAMEWORK FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ETHICS

1) *Responsibilities and public interest:*

- AI tools, while transformative, should be used in ways that reinforce public trust.
- The application of AI should prioritize efficiency, ensuring the reliability and trustworthiness of financial outputs.

2) *Integrity and objectivity:*

- Given the data-centric nature of AI, there is a compelling need for rigorous adherence to integrity.
- AI systems should be subjected to periodic reviews to ensure they produce unbiased and accurate data outputs.
- Professionals must ensure that AI's recommendations remain free from undue influence or external pressures.

3) *Due care and professional behavior:*

- The integration of AI in accounting demands both competence and adherence to quality standards.
- As AI systems evolve, they must align with the ethical standards of the accounting profession.
- The deployment of AI should always resonate with accounting's ethical tenets, avoiding actions that might undermine its credibility.

4) *Transparency and clarity:*

- Stakeholders deserve a clear understanding of AI's role in financial delineation.
- It is vital to ensure lucid decision-making processes and transparent data sourcing when using AI tools.

5) *Data confidentiality and security:*

- Given the vast amounts of data AI processes, robust measures to protect this data are non-negotiable.
- AI tools should be designed with strong data protection mechanisms to prevent breaches and misuse.

6) *Continuous learning and adaptation*

- Professionals must remain committed to understanding AI's evolving functionalities and the associated ethical dimensions.
- The AI landscape is constantly changing. Professionals must be equipped with the latest knowledge and best practices.

7) *Stakeholder engagement and feedback:*

- Regular feedback, both internal (from the profession) and external (from stakeholders), is instrumental in refining AI tools and practices.
- Collaboration and open dialogue are key to ensuring that AI's integration remains ethical and beneficial to all parties involved.

Note: The principles outlined in the AFAIE are derived from the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. They represent key ethical considerations for the integration of AI in accounting practices.

APPENDIX B. KEY PRINCIPLES OF THE ACCOUNTING FRAMEWORK FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ETHICS

Principles	Description
<i>Responsibilities and public interest</i>	AI tools should bolster public trust. Their use must ensure the reliability and trustworthiness of financial outputs, prioritizing efficiency.
<i>Integrity and objectivity</i>	AI's data-driven nature mandates strict adherence to integrity. Regular reviews are essential to ensure unbiased, accurate outputs.
<i>Due care and professional behavior</i>	AI's integration requires competence and alignment with accounting's ethical standards, ensuring no actions undermine its credibility.
<i>Transparency and clarity</i>	AI's role in financial processes must be clear to stakeholders, emphasizing lucid decision-making and transparent data sourcing.
<i>Data confidentiality and security</i>	AI's extensive data processing capabilities necessitate stringent protective measures to prevent potential misuse or breaches.
<i>Continuous learning and adaptation</i>	To remain relevant, professionals should constantly learn and adapt to AI's evolving functionalities and ethical dimensions.
<i>Stakeholder engagement and feedback</i>	Regular feedback, both from within the profession and external stakeholders, is crucial for refining and enhancing AI tools and practices.

Note: The AFAIE principles are grounded in the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct and represent essential ethical considerations for AI integration into accounting.