

THE STIMULUS OF FACTORS IN IMPLEMENTING THE E-GOVERNANCE CONCEPT IN THE EMERGING ECONOMY

Laizah Sashah Mutasa *, Tiko Iyamu **

* Information Technology Department, Faculty of Informatics and Design, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Cape Town, South Africa

** *Corresponding author*, Information Technology Department, Faculty of Informatics and Design, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, Cape Town, South Africa

Contact details: Cape Peninsula University of Technology, P. O. Box 652, Cape Town 8000, Corner of Keizersgracht and Tennant Street, Zonnebloem, Cape Town, South Africa



Abstract

How to cite this paper: Mutasa, L. S., & Iyamu, T. (2023). The stimulus of factors in implementing the e-governance concept in the emerging economy. *Journal of Governance & Regulation*, 12(1), 159–170.
<https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv12i1art15>

Copyright © 2023 The Authors

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

ISSN Print: 2220-9352
ISSN Online: 2306-8784

Received: 02.05.2022
Accepted: 10.02.2023

JEL Classification: A10, G28, H75
DOI: 10.22495/jgrv12i1art15

This paper reports on a case study where the Namibian environment is used, to gain a deeper understanding of the factors that influence e-governance and how those factors manifest themselves. This study is motivated by the continuous challenges that are encountered by many African countries, either in the development or implementation or both, of the e-governance solution. The interpretive approach was employed, and qualitative data were gathered using the semi-structured interview technique. Activity theory was used as a lens to guide the analysis of the data, from which six factors were found to influence the implementation of e-governance in the country. The factors are 1) know-how; 2) requirements of both technical and non-technical components; 3) political will, which draws on power to make decisions; 4) heterogeneity; a repertoire of actors; 5) power relationship and 6) governance, which includes standards, policies, and principles. The empiricism nature of the study enhances the implementation of the e-governance solution, which can result in improved service delivery in the country.

Keywords: Activity Theory, Africa, Implementation of E-Governance

Authors' individual contribution: Conceptualization — L.S.M. and T.I.; Data Curation — L.S.M.; Formal Analysis — L.S.M. and T.I.; Writing — L.S.M. and T.I.

Declaration of conflicting interests: The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

1. INTRODUCTION

Although there is no universal definition for e-governance, it is an application of information technology (IT) that enables citizens to access government services and information electronically (Dhindsa et al., 2013). Linders et al. (2018) assert that e-governance efforts are a step in the right direction for reforms, as the use of electronic systems has scored high in improving service delivery. E-governance is very vital in developing countries, as highlighted by Beaumont (2017). He recommended that to have a huge economic and social development, developing countries needed to

put more effort into the drafting of policies and procedures to promote this. However, Visser and Twunomurinzi (2009) argue that e-governance in developing countries has been associated with failure in most cases, although most governments continue to invest in information and communication technology (ICT)-based programmes, policies, and interventions.

Furthermore, Giri and Shakya (2019) highlight that most developing countries find it difficult to achieve their e-governance goals despite taking pride in introducing such reforms. Interesting to note is the effort by governments of developing countries to implement e-governance strategies, yet political

instability and other leadership issues hinder their success. In support, Nawafleh et al. (2012) pointed out that social, political, and economic downturn in developing countries has failed the successful implementation of e-governance. Although it is a developing country, Namibia has made strides in maintaining a moderate e-governance stance. Quite notable efforts have been noted, such as the improvement of the Department of Home Affairs operating system covering most of the borders surrounding Namibia, which was implemented when the Ministry employed a turnaround strategy. However, Nengomasha and Utoni (2015) maintained that Namibia still lagged in e-governance because of the low usage of ICTs and affordability.

A five-year e-government Strategic Action Plan was implemented by the government of Namibia for the sole purpose of transforming information and improving service delivery to the citizens, according to the Office of the Prime Minister (OPM), in 2013. This includes attempts to improve the transparency of the government's activities. Also, other systems and services depend on e-governance, yet implementation has been a challenge. Nengomasha and Utoni (2015) reported that even though the implementation of e-governance has so far taken 5 years, it is far from completion. Five (5) years later, equalling 10 years, Yrika (2020) admits that the implementation of e-governance has not been successful and that it would be most needed in an unprecedented period caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

So far, the studies conducted on e-governance mainly concentrated on the challenges and benefits of implementing the concept in different countries including developing countries. Beaumont (2017) and Dhindsa et al. (2013) reported on the challenges and benefits of e-governance, and Linders et al. (2018) explored new trends in e-governance implementation centred on the citizens. Khan (2017) analyses the challenges of e-governance in public administration focusing on privacy and security. Other studies that dealt with e-governance include Giri and Shakya (2019), Thabit and Jasim (2019), Radhikaashree (2018), Nielsen (2017), and Bhattacharya and Suri (2017).

Despite the numerous studies, the challenges remain unresolved in many e-governance projects (Gregory & Tembo, 2017). This is highly attributed to the fact that none of the existing studies address the factors that influence the challenges or how the factors manifest into challenges that hinder the development or implementation. This study has two key motivations. Firstly, many countries develop e-government, to improve the quality of services that they provide to the communities without an understanding of the influencing factors. This research, by identifying key components that influence the e-governance, seeks to contribute to the accomplishment of a consolidated strategy. Secondly, while many countries are developing e-government, little is known about the non-technical factors that influence implementation. To this end, the interpretive approach is employed to examine the factors that influence the implementation of e-governance in the Namibian government environment and how these factors manifest themselves. The approach was guided using activity theory. This helps to gain more

insights and understanding on why things happen in the way that they do in the development and implementation of e-governance (Iyamu, 2021).

The paper is organized into six main sections. The first section introduces the paper, followed by a review of the literature in Section 2. The methodology applied in the study is discussed in the third section. In Sections 4 and 5, the data analysis and findings are presented and discussed, respectively. The sixth section is the conclusion, which covers the contributions of the paper, the implication of practice from the findings, the limitations of the study, and future research.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The review of literature is divided into two parts, e-governance and activity theory which form the core aspects of the study.

2.1. E-governance

Based on this premise, e-governance is very vital in developing countries (Beaumont, 2017; Ndou, 2004). Effectively, e-governance is key to improving the services offered by the government to its citizens (Pardo et al., 2011). Beaumont (2017) recommended that to have huge economic and social development, developing countries needed to put more effort into the drafting of policies and procedures to promote it. This is the type of challenge the Namibian government has been grasping for many years. Bhattacharya and Suri (2017) argue that e-governance in developing countries has been associated with failure in most cases, although most governments continue to invest in ICT-based programmes, policies, and interventions. The adoption of e-governance is slow in many developing countries (Iyamu, 2020). There is a need to reduce risk in e-governance initiatives for all the stakeholders involved and understanding the factors that influence the implementation of the solution is critical (Gil-García & Pardo, 2005).

E-governance is an innovation of the government; whose primary objective is to provide quality service to the citizens. The objective of e-governance is achieved or carried out by promoting transparency and accountability (Ullah et al., 2021; Banerjee et al., 2020), enhancing service delivery, and facilitating the integration of services and systems, including e-health. Thus, there are entities that are involved in achieving the objective, which includes technologies, processes, activities, and humans. E-governance aids the development of various technologies that encourage citizens to participate in different areas which culminate in smart cities in the country (Oliveira et al., 2020). Lee-Geiller and Lee (2019) posit that e-governance solutions should be designed to focus more on the citizens' perspectives rather than the provider's viewpoints. This ensures citizens' satisfaction and promotes transparency and democracy (Ju et al., 2019; Sanmukhiya, 2019).

Despite that Al-Khasawneh and Obeidallah (2014) exploit difficulties that are often associated with e-governance, many of the challenges persist. Increasingly, e-governance is becoming a crucial and essential interface between governments' systems including electronic health (e-health) (Rigas &

Almutairi, 2013). In governance, e-health assists citizens to be inclusive and gain control of their health activities (Alrobai et al., 2016). According to Ibitomi and Iyamu (2022), governance is required for vital issues, such as planning, and management of information.

As of the time of this study, the implementation of e-governance continues to pose challenges to many countries, particularly those in the developing world. In the area of e-governance, Basu (2004) examines the legal framework relating to e-governance from the perspective of developing countries. Also, from developing countries' perspective, Iqbal and Bagga (2010) highlight challenges of e-governance as trust, resistance to change, digital divide, cost and privacy, and security. In a study conducted in the Tanzania context, Mahundu (2016) argues that implementation challenges of e-governance are not the same; they differ from one country to another, based on socio-technical factors. This view is re-echoed by Samsor (2020) and Stephany (2020) who highlighted that the obstacles hindering the successful implementation of e-governance are related to organizational factors, ICT literacy, and social obstacles.


Some of the challenges that many developing countries are confronted with include internet connectivity, political instability, inadequate ICT infrastructure, and infrastructure management (Addo & Senyo, 2021). These challenges, one way or the other, affect the deployment and use of

e-government systems, making citizens lose out on the benefits (Suri & Sushil, 2022; Beaumont, 2017). Furthermore, Giri and Shakya (2019) highlight that most developing countries find it difficult to achieve their e-governance goals even though they take pride in introducing such reforms. It is interesting to understand why governments of many developing countries continue to make huge efforts in trying to implement e-governance strategies even though political instability and other leadership issues hinder their successes (Khan, 2017). In their study, Nawafleh et al. (2012) pointed out that social, political, and economic downturn in some developing countries has prevented the successful implementation of e-governance. These challenges are not synonymous with only Namibia.

2.2. Activity theory

Activity theory (AT) is a sociotechnical theory that focuses on the events of an episode. According to Iyamu and Shaanika (2018), AT "is a socio-technical theory or concept concerned with the development of social activities" (p. 165). The theory is often used as a lens to gain a deeper understanding of human activity within a system, through its tenets as shown in Figure 1: *tools, subject, rules, community, division of labour, and object* (Kelly, 2018; Engestrom, 2001). It is in the same disposition that the theory is employed in this study.

Figure 1. Activity theory model



Source: Engestrom (2001).

In AT, tools are utilised by subjects to carry out an activity. They are involved in the transition of an object to become an outcome (Iyamu & Shaanika, 2018). Tools can be in the form of language, textbooks, policies, procedures, or ways of thinking. Subject refers to the people who are involved in the activity (Dennehy & Conboy, 2017), which can be an individual or a group of actors who are either of technical or non-technical capability. Rules control activities and interactions in the system and the community comprises the participants of the activity (Kelly, 2018). The object can be tangible or intangible; it sets the direction in which an activity proceeds (Simeonova, 2018). Division of labour comprises how different tasks are distributed among the community members and the rights they have (Nehemia-Maletzky et al., 2018).

The link between AT and information systems (IS) research lies in the fact that IS consists of socio-technical objects that are created by

humans, and used by humans, through rules followed by communities/the environment (Karanasios & Allen, 2018). To understand the way things are done in this process, there is a need to come up with a theory that explains the activities better. Korpela et al. (2004) emphasised the use of activity-based methods in IS implementation of projects, to fully utilise the capability of AT. Hence, IS research focuses on innovation, which includes discovering, using, and management of technology solutions. In consideration of the above, Mkhomazi and Iyamu (2013) highlight the fact that the social context of IS is complicated, and the use of the activity theory as a lens (perspective) is imperative in bringing out previously complex areas/issues within the social system or environment.

In this study, AT was used to gain a deeper insight into the complex environment of e-governance. This was done by examining

the different activities that take place and the different roles of all the people involved in the deployment of e-governance in Namibia. This was done by viewing the activities through the six components of AT: subject, tool, object, rules, community, and division of labour.

3. METHODOLOGY

Qualitative methods were applied in this study from an interpretive viewpoint. Semi-structured interviews and document analysis were used to collect data. It was necessary to employ the triangulation technique during the data collection process. This helps to corroborate the data, which enriches the data and makes it comprehensive to understand the concept of e-governance in the context of Namibia. Importantly, triangulation provides a means of testing the validity of data collected by converging data from different sources (Farquhar et al., 2020). The documentation was useful to provide historical insights and provide background data to the study.

The study was carried out in the Namibian government environment, using two entities, the Ministry of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) and the Office of the Prime Minister (OPM) as cases. These two entities were selected primarily because they were custodians of the e-governance solution in the country. Permissions were sought at two levels from each of the entities, at authority and individuals. before we embarked on data was collected from participants.

Heads of units assisted in identifying the participants. The interviews took place in the participants' offices. The COVID-19 World Health Organisation guidelines which included maintaining social and physical distance, masking up, and sanitizing were adhered to during the data collection.

The interviews were face-to-face. The participants were asked open-ended questions which allowed the interviewer to probe more and to clarify ambiguous questions or repeat them if not understood (Schroder, 2016). Also, the technique enables the researcher to take into consideration the verbal and non-verbal cues that aid a better understanding of the respondents' responses (Leedy & Ormrod, 2014). Very importantly, the semi-structured technique enables the interviewees to expand on their responses and possibly, provide examples where needed.

Criteria were used to select participants, to increase the chances of data richness. Experience of up to 18 months, level in organisational structure, and area of specialisation formed the criteria. This was to ensure a balance of views and opinions. Based on the criteria, 12 participants from IT specialists and non-IT units were selected, as shown in Table 1. Data was collected at a point of saturation, meaning no new information was forthcoming from the participants. The point of saturation was reached with the 9th interview but hoping there might be more new information, 3 more interviews were carried out. We had to stop at the 12th interview as the response reflect saturation.

Table 1. Participants

Unit	E-governance	IT specialist	Total
Senior management	2	1	3
Middle management	4	4	8
Others	1	0	1
Total	7	5	12

To corroborate the data obtained from the interviews, over 40 technical and non-technical documents relating to e-governance in Namibia were collected and examined. The documents were subjected to 2 levels of scrutiny. The first step must relate to e-governance in the Namibian context. In the second step, only the documents that focus on implementation and challenges were selected. After careful examination of the documents, they were narrowed down to 16 which consisted of 10 strategic documents, 4 policy documents, and 2 technical reports.

4. ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

Different e-governance projects have been implemented for the government to provide quality service to the citizens. This constitutes many activities that make them function. The six components of the AT, that is, *subject, tools, object, rules, community, and division of labour* are applied in analysing the different activities under e-governance.

4.1. Activity theory: Tool

In AT, a tool consists of tangible or non-tangible apparatus, systems, procedures, or instruments

used to execute an activity within context, in achieving specific objectives. Tools, such as IT solutions, government policies, and regulations, are applied to guide the implementation and management of e-governance to provide quality service to the citizens. These tools, in turn, influence how, when, and where the e-governance agenda is implemented, managed, and supported. For example, the e-government's strategic action plan provides comprehensive steps to be taken by the government in using ICT to better serve its citizens, including the dates specific steps need to be completed and the people and ministries responsible.

To implement and manage e-governance, the non-tangible and technical tools used include Wi-Fi, local area network (LAN), wide area network (WAN), and software such as applications, operating systems, and servers. Although these tools have been identified as actors from an actor-network theory (ANT) perspective, it is necessary to re-identify them because they share a different connection and relationship with different entities. The OPM, various ministries, and departments within the e-governance framework use software to promote transparency and enhance service delivery. Trainings, workshops, and business process re-engineering are also tools used in e-governance.

Tangible technical tools in e-governance implementation and management include hardware such as computers, satellite devices, printers, scanners, telephones, and mobile phones.

These tools are constantly evolving over time and space, meaning that they are updated occasionally to suit the activity or the implementation and management of the e-governance system to ensure that Namibian citizens have access to quality service delivery. This entails an integration with a solution like e-health to provide essential services to the community. E-governance integration with e-health is a complex process that requires specific tools to ensure success in promoting transparency and enhancing quality service delivery in the Namibian healthcare system. Therefore, e-governance integration with e-health is enabled firstly by simple tools, such as the internet, which encompasses the WAN and LAN, as well as general connectivity coupled with computers and other accessories needed to pull the e-health and e-governance integration agenda together. As one participant points out:

“Some hardware, software and connectivity issues still hinder the implementation of e-health in clinics that are remote areas of the country” (GoV2, p. 7, lines 32–33).

The tools form the basis and integral part of electronic processes (e-process) and electronic services (e-service). The absence of these makes e-governance integration with e-health solutions impossible. Also, government policies and regulations set the direction in which different government systems should be implemented, deployed, and integrated. It would be difficult to integrate e-governance with the e-health solution without these guidelines.

4.2. Activity theory: Subject

In AT, the subject refers to actors or a group of actors or entities, which are living beings. The actors voluntarily or contractually take ownership or responsibility for an activity. Thus, the actor makes use of tools in the context of AT. Therefore, for the e-governance system to function, the subjects are involved in the day-to-day activities and operations of the various tasks. In the current (as at the time of this study) set-up of the country, the actors (or subjects) of e-governance are employed in 4 main administrative offices of the government: The Office of the Prime Minister, Ministry of Home Affairs, Ministry of Health and Social Services, and Ministry of ICT.

The implementation of e-governance in Namibia to improve quality service among citizens through IT solutions requires agents, people, or groups to work together. From AT perspective, the subjects involved in e-governance include the technical personnel, such as software developers, system analysts, IT managers, network administrators, and IT specialists from different government ministries, including OPM as well as the non-technical personnel such as procurement officers, policy officers, legal team, directors, deputy directors, and the Prime Minister.

The technical personnel involved in e-governance are very critical to its implementation, including integration. Hence, it is essential for

the actors to possess specialised skill sets that ensure the processes are efficiently executed to fulfil the goal and objectives of serving the needs of the citizens. However, some critical skill sets were scarce. This could be attributed to two main reasons. Firstly, e-governance was an emerging concept in the Namibia environment. Secondly, there seem to be cases in the region that could be referenced or tapped from. The skill set manifests in knowledgeability, which contributes to influencing stakeholders' decisions in the various stages of deploying e-governance. A participant explained the following:

“The government should hire certified project managers who have the skills to manage e-governance projects” (GOV2, pg5:7-8).

These factors consequently influence e-governance integration, directly or indirectly, considering the intertwining of the actors' roles in the process. For instance, the home affairs staff must gather biographical data and approve it for use in various ministries, including health facilities. Likewise, ministries, such as the Ministry of Defence have their own clinics and hospitals and their operations need to be supported by e-governance efforts to ensure quality service delivery, transparency, and general efficiency to the benefit of Namibian citizens.

4.3. Activity theory: Object

An object can be tangible or intangible and sets the direction in which an activity proceeds (Shaanika & Iyamu, 2015). The objects that enable and relate to the functioning of e-governance are of both technical and non-technical nature, which include processes, policies, and politics. Involving these technical and non-technical factors means that they have the ability or capability to influence the activities that determine the direction and status of e-governance in the country.

From the technical front, some of the subjects, such as system analysts, system administrators, and software developers, have their individual or group motives in the implementation and management of e-governance solutions. Some of these motives are to enable citizens to get quality service delivery or promote transparency in the activities of government administrations. These types of motives necessitate the integration of e-governance with e-health to foster and ensure better healthcare services across the country. Thus, the implementation of e-governance must be aligned to allow integration to take place. This includes implementing e-governance solutions on the platforms that enable and support technologies that are compatible, can co-exist, flexible, and interoperable. These can be achieved and ensured through policies, principles, and standardisation based on technology requirements. One of the participants explained the following:

“Standards are applied to many different parts of information systems and promote the ability for systems to share information and thereby allow users to have integrated views of health data” (Gov2, p. 28, lines 8–10).

On the other hand, with the non-technical, subjects with various unique expertise, such as procurement officers, legal officers, and policy

officers, have the motive to support the implementation and management of e-governance solutions. The objectives, goals, mission, and vision of e-governance drive the agenda and are followed to ensure the successful integration of systems. It requires consolidated efforts of both technical and non-technical functions.

The objectives of e-governance are to make government administration more transparent, speedy, and accountable while addressing society's needs and expectations through efficient public services and effective interaction between the people, businesses, and government. Furthermore, the OPM highlights that e-governance aims to make it convenient for general citizens to access information and government services. One participant expressed the following:

"The idea for e-governance is to ease access to government services by citizens and to improve collaboration amongst stakeholders" (GoV2, p. 1, lines 13-14).

At the time of this study, the implementation of e-governance solutions was hindered by much bureaucracy, which in turn supports a fragmented e-health system. A participant expressed the following:

"Decisions on projects are often delayed because it is difficult to get the executive government official who chairs the steering committee to attend all meetings as they have other engagements" (GoV2, p. 4, lines 6-8).

The administrative procedures that need to take place before any e-governance solution can be implemented are excessively complicated and lengthy, resulting in different health departments having stand-alone systems that are not integrated between themselves and the e-governance framework.

4.4. Activity theory: Rule

Rules are meant to govern the activity toward producing an outcome. Rules can be classified into different categories such as laws, principles, policies, and regulations. The rules shape and guide the direction of the activity. This can be attributed to the fact that the rules are applied to maintain order in an environment such as an organisation and a community. Consequently, without rules, the objectives of the activity can suffice, which is detrimental to the actors involved. Primarily, e-governance is intended to enhance quality service through factors such as transparency, the interconnectivity of services, and access to information (electronic records). One participant expressed the following:

"At OPM we are guided by the e-governance strategic action plan when implementing any e-governance solution" (GoV2, p. 1, lines 10-11).

In Namibia, the implementation and management of e-governance solutions are governed and regulated by policies and standards contained in different documents. The e-governance strategic action plan provides a comprehensive plan of the steps to be taken by the government to use ICT to better serve its citizens. There is the 5th National Development Plan (NDP5) with some aspects dedicated to IS/IT solutions and e-governance. The Office of the Prime Minister's Annual Plan also

sets out the actions to be taken by OPM in fulfilling its strategic objectives, including leveraging e-governance and ICT infrastructure in all government ministries. This action and process manifest in a power relationship between the government administrative offices. These influence requirements gathering and the implementation of e-governance in the country.

"The Office of the Prime Minister is busy drafting a digital strategy that will guide the implementation of e-governance. All government areas that need to be digitised are included" (GoV2, p. 1, lines 25-26).

E-governance systems implementation, support, and use are fundamentally governed by rules, which include regulations, policies, principles, and standards from technology, process, and management perspectives. Through governance, e-governance can achieve its objectives, including transparency, accountability efficiency, and effectiveness in providing services to the citizens. However, this is not currently the case in Namibia, as of the time of this study. The challenges include a lack of integration with critical and essential services in the form of e-health. Although the relevant regulations and standards are there, as mentioned above, there are no measures put in place to ensure they are applied; making it difficult for integration to happen.

4.5. Activity theory: Community

A community involves people that have a common culture or values in carrying out an activity. It is in this context that this study explores the roles and influence of the community in the deployment, management, support, and implementation of e-governance in Namibia. Different groups of people are involved in e-governance, and they are referred to as a community.

The groups consist of technical (ICT) and non-technical personnel found within an e-governance context/environment. Some of the roles of the community are to provide requirements, technical support, maintenance, and assessment of the e-governance solutions. Also, the community is expected to ensure the co-existence and integration of e-governance with other systems such as e-health. The technical personnel found in the public service sector context consists of software developers, system analysts, IT managers, and network administrators. The non-technical personnel found in the sector include policy officers, procurement officers, legal team, directors, deputy directors, the Prime Minister, and other professionals.

The collaboration, consolidation, and alignment of the requirements for e-governance were imperative, although, within the current system, there is not much development yet; hence, the idea of integrating e-governance and other systems like e-health to ensure quality healthcare service delivery, transparency, and general efficiency in Namibia's service industries. The effort of integrating e-health and e-governance systems has been challenging as the community which is supposed to drive the initiative lacks skills and technical support as well as the right policies to support the collaboration. A participant shared the same sentiments below:

“The challenge with e-governance implementation is that the people that are involved in the project are not well equipped with the right skills” (GoV1, p. 2, lines 32–33).

The cabinet and parliament are also communities found in e-governance implementation. The cabinet is made up of the president, the vice president, and other ministers nominated by the president. Before any e-governance project can be implemented, it needs the approval of the cabinet first. Then, once it is approved by the cabinet, the parliament also needs to approve it. Some members of the cabinet are also members of parliament. Currently, there is a challenge of cabinet and parliament members not having the know-how to adequately assess the e-governance solutions that are brought before them. A participant explained:

“Legislators and top executive government officials need to receive specialised training aligned to their duties they will be expected to perform” (GoV2, p. 5, lines 14–15).

The lack of adequate skills amongst legislators hinders the integration of e-governance with e-health. Some members of the cabinet and parliament are also computer illiterate, which results in not fully understanding what is brought before them.

4.6. Activity theory: Division of labour

Division of labour entails the allocation of specific tasks in accordance with the subjects’ areas of specialisation. Tasks are performed by subjects, and these subjects are allocated roles or tasks within the activity. In this instance, IT specialists, government representatives, and citizens have specialised tasks that support the implementation of e-governance.

The roles of the IT specialists in e-governance include providing technical support, maintaining, and implementing e-government solutions, and its co-existence and integration with other technology solutions. The role of non-technical personnel, such as policy officers, procurement officers, and directors, is to ensure the system fulfils their needs.


Iyamu and Shaanika (2018) highlighted that due to the power that actors possess, they can enable or constrain systems and technologies’ activities through conscious or unconscious actions. The roles seem to be clear for those who were involved in e-governance from technical and non-technical perspectives. What seemed to be unclear was whether the activities carried out in accordance with the roles were evaluated to ensure completeness. This could be associated with the challenges or gaps in the integration of e-health with e-governance.

Some of the tasks that are allocated to the various actors and groups in the implementation, support, and management of e-governance solutions are budget allocation, the recruitment of qualified staff, and setting up the network infrastructure across the country. The primary reason for allocating these tasks is to have the right personnel with the required skills working on the tasks to produce an e-governance solution that solves current problems in the country, and that covers all the objectives set at the beginning of the project. On the one hand, some of the consequences of not allocating these tasks are producing a solution that will become a white elephant due to its incompleteness, and not meeting requirements. On the other hand, the implications of inappropriately allocating the tasks are not meeting stipulated deadlines, and the cost of the project going up.

5. IMPLEMENTING E-GOVERNANCE

Figure 2 depicts the six factors which are found to influence e-governance, from an implementation perspective: 1) know-how; 2) requirements of both technical and non-technical components; 3) political will, which draws on power to make decisions; 4) heterogeneity; a repertoire of actors; 5) power relationship, and 6) governance, which includes standards, policies, and principles. The influence entails direct and indirect control and manipulation, to control and manage the processes, activities, and technology (technical). The factors are discussed below.

Figure 1. Factors influencing e-governance implementation



5.1. Know-how

Know-how involves practical experience and expertise to perform tasks at different levels (Chandra, 2019). To ensure the successful implementation of e-governance, the most experienced and knowledgeable people are required (Aftab, 2019). This includes IT specialists and non-IT personnel. The IT specialists focus on technology-related

factors, while the non-IT personnel covers factors that are not related to technology solutions, which include government business and service delivery. The distinctive focuses require collaboration between the actors, to cover the necessary activities for a successful implementation. The end-users are included in these two groups of implementers. The involvement of end-users is critical, to ensure a smooth transition from one stage to another

during the implementation of the e-governance solution, including experiencing the benefits of the solution (Gupta, 2021).

Despite the criticality of know-how, there was a scarcity of personnel who are expertise in e-governance implementation. This is attributed to two main factors: there were no other existing cases for terms of reference, and those who develop the solution are not necessarily implementers. Rather than find a common ground, some actors employ power vested in their position, to make a decision. Such action benefits political interest at the expense of technology solutions, which manifests in the implementation of the solution. Thus, the Namibian government needs to put measures in place to raise awareness for both politicians and other actors of e-governance.

5.2. Requirements

Collaboration between actors that are responsible for the implementation of e-governance is a critical requirement. Essentially, collaboration is primarily to avoid gaps and eliminate the possibility of isolating solutions, requirements, and communication (Wadhwa, 2020). Also, requirements of both technical and non-technical ensure interoperability, which is a support factor for the implementation of the solution. Governance supports the collaboration of e-governance components as it offers the standards, policies, and principles required for the manageability of implementation.

Both functional and non-functional requirements are needed for e-governance implementation. Primarily, because functional requirements describe software features or functions that enable users carry out certain tasks (Dabbagh et al., 2015). In e-governance implementation, functional requirements describe three fundamental aspects: how the e-governance solution operates; how data from different government entities are shared, and the business processes that are involved. Technology requirements detail the required ICT infrastructure, which are the hardware and software requirements that are needed for the e-governance solution (Farzandipour et al., 2020). ICT infrastructure is particularly a challenge in Namibian rural areas as pointed out by Mutasa and Iyamu (2021), hence the factors that influence such a poor state of infrastructure are crucial. Otherwise, the repertoire of challenges will persist. Full understanding and clear articulation of requirements can lead to a successful implementation of a solution that solves the problems at hand (Spoletini & Ferrari, 2017).

5.3. Political will

Political will is described as the commitment and dedication of decision-makers to carry out different activities towards certain set goals (Abazovic & Mujkic, 2015). In Namibia, the activities of e-governance are vested in the Ministry of ICT and OPM. The heads of these units are politicians, which source their power from the democratic setting where parliament is the focal actor. As a result, activities relating to e-governance seek approval from the Government's administrative cabinet and the parliament. The decision to approve or reject any of the activities is always synonymous with

technical fit or capability. There are other factors of influence, which include exalt of power and lack of know-how.

Politically, the cabinet and parliament will the power to approve the budget for e-governance projects, including its implementation. Despite developing countries investing much in e-governance solutions, political instability and various leadership issues interfere with its success (Gu et al., 2021; Khan, 2017). It is important for decision-makers to be educated on how to separate their political affiliations from matters of national interest, in making decisions that are for the good of the country which includes the approval of e-governance implementation and its activities (Samsor, 2020). Also, a lack of political will can delay the approval of e-governance implementation activities (Gupta et al., 2018). Delays in approvals hinder e-governance implementation success, which is tied to completion and budgetary.

5.4. Heterogeneity

In this context, heterogeneity refers to the multiplicity of actors in the different units or groups that exist (Nehemia-Maletzky et al., 2018), in the implementation of e-governance. Also, the interaction helps build relationships and differences for various activities, which creates heterogeneity. In the implementation of e-governance in Namibia, there is a lack of synergy because of weak heterogeneity. This is influenced by the isolation of ideas (know-how) between IT specialists and non-IT specialists, and the lack of collaboration between implementers. As a result, government systems are built on different platforms, using different vendors, and have different data formats. This greatly affects the implementation of e-governance from an interoperability perspective (Ronoh et al., 2018). In addition, this creates systems fragmentation, which defeats e-governance purposes from an implementation standpoint and hampers service delivery.

There is a need for heterogeneity of actors and activities, to improve uniformity in the ways in which e-governance solution is implemented in the country. This enables flexibility in sharing data by systems of government entities and reduces duplication of data and repetition of effort (Maksimovic & Vujovic, 2017). E-governance implementation is characterised by many actors which include IT specialists and government officials, and measures need to be put in place to harmonise the different expertise of the different actors. Heterogeneity in the implementation of e-governance enhances corroboration between non-technical factors such as legal, political, and socio-cultural dynamics.

5.5. Power relationship

Also, the e-governance projects require approval from the government administration, which sometimes exercises its power. This draws on the relationship between specialists and government officials including politicians, in the implementation of e-governance. Politically, the cabinet has the power to approve the budget for e-governance

implementation. Despite this vested power, many cabinet ministers and parliamentary members do not have the know-how to adequately assess the e-governance solutions when it comes to approval. This is a challenging factor that affects the implementation.

A power relationship is an action by a person, to impose his/her wishes on another individual or group (Zaaiman, 2020). This means that the relationship is defined by the power vested in a structure or system within which the actors operate. The person with the lesser power feels compelled to perform or carry out tasks. In e-governance implementation, the relationship between the implementers is often enacted by power, it is more so because of the involvement of politicians (Peters et al., 2021). Thus, many IT specialists find it difficult to carry out important actions necessary for e-governance implementation because of political influence. It is on this basis that the power relationship is considered a negative influence, counter-productivity, and derails e-governance implementation (Jeong & Han, 2021).

5.6. Governance

The implementation of the e-governance solution is governed and guided by different policies, principles, and standards, which are communicated and interpreted differently depending on the interest, know-how, or interpretation of the implementers (Adeodato & Pournouri, 2020). Technical and non-technical personnel are involved in implementing e-governance in Namibia. The implementation and management of e-governance solutions are governed and regulated by policies and standards. However, the administrative procedures before any e-governance solution can be implemented are excessively complicated and lengthy, resulting in some departments or units having their own stand-alone solutions.

Governance consists of three main components, policies, principles, and standards. These components help in different ways in the control and management of processes and activities in an organisation (Keping, 2017). In e-governance, governance is crucial to its success because it fortifies procedures and streamline tasks, such as the selection of technologies and sharing of data for services. This helps to prevent numerous interpretations of processes, events, and activities that are related to e-governance implemented. Ronoh et al. (2018) argue that governance is instrumental to interoperable and integration. The different interpretations can translate to a lack of know-how, which leads to challenges. In addition, governance ensures the management of resources including allocation, accountability, and auditing of tasks.

6. CONCLUSION

Structurally, three steps were involved in examining the factors that influence the implementation of e-governance in the Namibian environment. Firstly, relevant personnel and authorities were involved in the study, from whose data was collected. Secondly, analysis of the data was conducted using AT as a lens. From the analysis presented in Section 4, six factors were revealed, as illustrated in Figure 2.

In the final step, the factors are discussed as they manifest and influence the implementation of e-governance in the country. The examination shows how the factors can influence the implementation of e-governance in the Namibia environment, from different angles. The study contributes as a guide towards the implementation of e-governance solutions based on the understanding of the factors, such as the relationship that exists within the power, expertise, and defining requirements that influence it. This will ensure a smooth implementation of the e-governance solution which results in the improvement of service delivery in the country.

The implications of this study are viewed from three main angles, integration, a better understanding of heterogeneity and synchronisation of services and data, and formulation of critical success factors (CSF).

1. Integration entails and enables connectivity of other systems. The factors revealed in this study can enable the successful implementation of e-governance. A successful implementation attracts integration of the solution with other systems in the areas of essentiality, such as e-health. The integration advances service delivery through alignment between services and strategy.

2. Heterogeneity and synchronisation enable unification. Synchronisation of data and services will enable and support common objectives in the Namibian government providing services to its citizens. Another fundamental factor is heterogeneity from which repetition (repertoire) manifests. This is particularly because of the diverse nature of actors and networks involved in the process. This requires continuous assessment of the implementation stages. Failure to assess the environment during implementation poses a great risk to the project.

3. Difficult to assess services from e-governance. Another important contribution of the study is that the influencing factors can be used to formulate critical success factors (CSF) for the implementation of the solution. The CSF can be used by the managers to monitor the progress of the implementation of e-governance, to ensure that the objectives are achieved. Without the CSFs, it would be impossible to conclude or measure the success of the implementation of the solution.

Despite the comprehensiveness of the study, we see three limitations, in the areas of scope and focus. Firstly, the study is limited to Namibia. Although, it would have been interesting to include more countries in the African continent. Secondly, the researchers were aware that e-governance cannot be a standalone system or concept. Its usefulness is based on connectivity with other services and systems. Despite this understanding, this study is limited to the factors that influence the implication of the concept of e-governance. Thirdly, the study did not include development of the e-governance in the country.

From the implications and limitations of the study, further studies can be carried out. Most relevant, further studies can be conducted to develop CSF, specifically for e-governance implementation and practice in Namibia and any other African or developing countries. This is because of the differentiation among governments' focus, cultural setting, and environmental needs of the different countries.

REFERENCES

1. Abazovic, D., & Mujkic, A. (2015). *Political will: A short introduction*. Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES). <https://library.fes.de/pdf-files/bueros/sarajevo/12465.pdf>
2. Addo, A., & Senyo, P. (2021). Advancing E-governance for development: Digital identification and its link to socioeconomic inclusion. *Government Information Quarterly*, 38(2), Article 101568. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101568>
3. Adeodato, R., & Pournouri, S. (2020). Secure implementation of e-governance: A case study about Estonia. In H. Jahankhani, S. Kendzierskyj, N. Chelvachandran, & J. Ibarra (Eds.), *Cyber defence in the age of AI, smart societies and augmented humanity* (pp. 397-429). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35746-7_18
4. Aftab, M. (2019). E-governance practices in developing countries. Its benefits and challenges — The case of Pakistan. *Industry Promotion Research*, 4(1), 79-86. <https://doi.org/10.21186/IPR.2019.4.1.079>
5. Al-Khasawneh, A., & Obeidallah, R. (2014). Challenges to the successful implementation of online voting for e-Democracy in developing countries' initiatives: Jordan as a case study. *International Journal of Sociotechnology and Knowledge Development (IJSKD)*, 6(3), 45-59. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijskd.2014070103>
6. Alrobai, A., McAlaney, J., Phalp, K., & Ali, R. (2016). Exploring the risk factors of interactive e-health interventions for digital addiction. *The International Journal of Sociotechnology and Knowledge Development (IJSKD)*, 8(2), 1-5. <http://doi.org/10.4018/IJSKD.2016040101>
7. Banerjee, A., Duflo, E., Imbert, C., Mathew, S., & Pande, R. (2020). E-governance, accountability, and leakage in public programs: Experimental evidence from a financial management reform in India. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 12(4), 39-72. <https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20180302>
8. Basu, S. (2004). E-government and developing countries: An overview. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*, 18(1), 109-132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600860410001674779>
9. Beaumont, S. (2017). Information and communication technologies in state affairs: Challenges of e-governance. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science and Engineering*, 5(1), 24-26. https://www.isroset.org/pub_paper/IJSRCSE/5-IJSRCSE-VC000155.pdf
10. Bhattacharya, K., & Suri, T. (2017). The curious case of e-governance. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 21(1), 62-67. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MIC.2017.8>
11. Chandra, A. (2019). Gender issues in technical and vocational education programs. In S. Bala & P. Singhal (Eds.), *Gender issues in technical and vocational education programs* (pp. 1-22). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-8443-8.ch001>
12. Dabbagh, M., Lee, S., & Parizi, R. (2015). Functional and non-functional requirements prioritization: Empirical evaluation of IPA, AHP-based, and HAM-based approaches. *Soft Computing*, 20(11), 4497-4520. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-015-1760-z>
13. Dennehy, D., & Conboy, K. (2017). Going with the flow: An activity theory analysis of flow techniques in software development. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 133, 160-173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2016.10.003>
14. Dhindsa, B., Narang, M., & Choudhary, K. (2013). Benefits and challenges of e-governance portal. *International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering*, 3(5), 121-113. <https://www.ijscce.org/wp-content/uploads/papers/v3i5/E1923113513.pdf>
15. Engstrom, Y. (2001). Expansive learning at work: Toward an activity theoretical re-conceptualisation. *Journal of Education and Work*, 14(1), 133-156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13639080020028747>
16. Farquhar, J., Michels, N., & Robson, J. (2020). Triangulation in industrial qualitative case study research: Widening the scope. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 87, 160-170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2020.02.001>
17. Farzandipour, M., Meidani, Z., Nabovati, E., Sadeqi Jabali, M., & Dehghan Banadaki, R. (2020). Technical requirements framework of hospital information systems: Design and evaluation. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 20(1), Article 61. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-020-1076-5>
18. Gil-García, J., & Pardo, T. (2005). E-government success factors: Mapping practical tools to theoretical foundations. *Government Information Quarterly*, 22(2), 187-216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2005.02.001>
19. Giri, S., & Shakya, S. (2019). Practicing e-governance in Nepal: Challenges of civil service. *International Journal of Computer Trends & Technology*, 67(4), 118-123. <https://doi.org/10.14445/22312803/IJCTT-V67I4P123>
20. Gregory, M., & Tembo, S. (2017). Implementation of E-health in developing countries challenges and opportunities: A case of Zambia. *Science and Technology*, 7(2), 41-53. <http://article.sapub.org/10.5923.j.scit.20170702.02.html>
21. Gu, D., Khan, S., Khan, I. U., Khan, S. U., Xie, Y., Li, X., & Zhang, G. (2021). Assessing the adoption of e-health technology in a developing country: An extension of the UTAUT model. *SAGE Open*, 11(3), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211027565>
22. Gupta, A. (2021). Study on the effect of ICT on e-governance and its distribution of facility for rural development in Satna District of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of Information Technology*, 7(5), 36-40. https://www.academia.edu/59119134/_IJIT_V7I5P7_Ankita_Gupta
23. Gupta, A., Suri, P., & Singh, R. (2018). Analyzing the interaction of barriers in e-governance implementation for effective service quality: Interpretive structural modeling approach. *Business Perspectives and Research*, 7(1), 59-75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2278533718800562>
24. Ibitomi, R. A., & Iyamu, T. (2022). A model for selecting information technology solutions in banking institutions: A case of a developing country. *International Journal of Sociotechnology and Knowledge Development (IJSKD)*, 14(1), 1-18. <http://doi.org/10.4018/IJSKD.289037>
25. Iqbal, A., & Bagga, R. K. (2010). *E-governance: Issues in implementation*. <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=49453d6a056780a5df970329e0b1ff4b3aa400d5>
26. Iyamu, T. (2020). Examining e-government enabling of e-health service through the lens of structuration theory. *International Journal of Sociotechnology and Knowledge Development*, 12(3), 26-40. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJSKD.2020070102>
27. Iyamu, T. (2021). *Applying theories for information systems research* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003184119>
28. Iyamu, T., & Shaanika, I. (2018). The use of activity theory to guide information systems research. *Education and Information Technologies*, 24(1), 165-180. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-018-9764-9>

29. Jeong, J. S., & Han, S. H. (2021). Turnover intention and productivity of local bureaucrats: Focusing on influences of local politicians intervention, central government control, and citizen compliance. *Korea Observer*, 52(2), 211–238. <https://doi.org/10.29152/KOIKS.2021.52.2.211>
30. Ju, J., Liu, L., & Feng, Y. (2019). Public and private value in citizen participation in E-governance: Evidence from a government-sponsored green commuting platform. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(4), Article 101400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.101400>
31. Karanasios, S., & Allen, D. K. (2018). Activity theory in information systems research. *Information Systems Journal*, 28(3), 439–441. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12184>
32. Kelly, P. R. (2018). An activity theory study of data, knowledge, and power in the design of an international development NGO impact evaluation. *Information Systems Journal*, 28(3), 465–488. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12187>
33. Keping, Y. (2017). Governance and good governance: A new framework for political analysis. *Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences*, 11(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40647-017-0197-4>
34. Khan, H. (Ed.). (2017). The challenges of e-governance in public administration. In *Globalization and the challenges of public administration* (pp. 135–160). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69587-7_6
35. Korpela, M., Mursu, A., Soriyan, A., Eerola, A., Häkkinen, H., & Toivanen, M. (2004). Information systems research and development by activity analysis and development: Dead horse or the next wave? In B. Kaplan, D. P. Truex, D. Wastell, A. T. Wood-Harper, & J. I. DeGross (Eds.), *Information systems research* (IFIP International Federation for Information Processing, Vol. 143, pp. 453–471). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-8095-6_25
36. Leedy, P. D., & Ormrod, J. E. (2014). *Practical research: Planning and design* (10th ed.). Pearson Education International.
37. Lee-Geiller, S., & Lee, T. (2019). Using government websites to enhance democratic E-governance: A conceptual model for evaluation. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(2), 208–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.01.003>
38. Linders, D., Liao, C. Z.-P., & Wang, C. (2018). Proactive e-governance: Flipping the service delivery model from pull to push in Taiwan. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35(S4), S68–S76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.08.004>
39. Mahundu, F. G. (2016). E-governance: A sociological case study of the central admission system in Tanzania. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 76(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1681-4835.2016.tb00557.x>
40. Maksimovic, M., & Vujovic, V. (2017). Internet of Things based e-Health systems: Ideas, expectations and concerns. In S. U. Khan, A. Y. Zomaya, & A. Abbas (Eds.), *Handbook of large-scale distributed computing in smart healthcare* (Scalable Computing and Communications). Springer International Publishing.
41. Mkhomazi, S., & Iyamu, T. (2013). A guide to selecting theory to underpin information systems studies. In Y. K. Dwivedi, H. Z. Henriksen, D. Wastell, & R. De' (Eds.), *Grand successes and failures in IT: Public and private sectors, TDIT 2013* (IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology, Vol. 402, pp. 525–537). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38862-0_33
42. Mutasa, L., & Iyamu, T. (2021). Conceptualizing the integration of ehealth with egovernance in a developing country. *Information Resources Management Journal*, 34(3), 64–78. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IRMJ.2021070104>
43. Nawafleh, S., Obiedat, R., & Harfoushi, O. (2012). E-government between developed and developing countries. *International Journal of Advanced Corporate Learning*, 5(1), 8–13. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijac.v5i1.1887>
44. Ndou, V. (2004). E-government for developing countries: Opportunities and challenges. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 18(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1681-4835.2004.tb00117.x>
45. Nehemia-Maletzky, M., Iyamu, T., & Shaanika, I. (2018). The use of activity theory and actor network theory as lenses to underpin information systems studies. *Journal of Systems and Information Technology*, 20(2), 191–206. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSIT-10-2017-0098>
46. Nengomasha, C., & Uutoni, W. (2015). E-government in Namibia. In I. Management Association (Ed.), *Public affairs and administration: Concepts, methodologies, tools, and applications* (pp. 942–961). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-8358-7.ch045>
47. Nielsen, M. (2017). eGovernance and online service delivery in Estonia. In Proceedings of the 18th Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research (pp. 300–309). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3085228.3085284>
48. Oliveira, T. A., Oliver, M., & Ramalhinho, H. (2020). Challenges for connecting citizens and smart cities: ICT, e-governance and blockchain. *Sustainability*, 12(7), Article 2926. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072926>
49. Pardo, T., Nam, T., & Burke, G. (2011). E-government interoperability. *Social Science Computer Review*, 30(1), 7–23. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439310392184>
50. Peters, B., Danaeefard, H., Ahmadzahi Torshab, A., Mostafazadeh, M., & Hashemi, M. (2021). Consequences of a politicized public service system: Perspectives of politicians, public servants, and political experts. *Politics & Policy*, 50, 33–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12447>
51. Radhikaashree, D. S. (2018). E-governance in health sector — Challenges. *Eurasian Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 13(SP), 170–172.
52. Rigas, D., & Almutairi, B. (2013). An empirical investigation into the role of avatars in multimodal e-government interfaces. *International Journal of Sociotechnology and Knowledge Development (IJSKD)*, 5(1), 14–22. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jskd.2013010102>
53. Ronoh, H., Omieno, K., & Mutua, S. (2018). An interoperability framework for e-government heterogeneous information systems. *IJARCCCE*, 7(10), 115–126. <https://doi.org/10.17148/IJARCCCE.2018.71025>
54. Samsor, A. (2020). Challenges and prospects of e-government implementation in Afghanistan. *International Trade, Politics and Development*, 5(1), 51–70. <https://doi.org/10.1108/itpd-01-2020-0001>
55. Sanmukhiya, C. (2019). E-governance dimensions in the republic of Mauritius. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(5), 279. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7532>
56. Schroder, J. (2016). Face to face surveys. GESIS survey guidelines. Mannheim: GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. https://doi.org/10.15465/gesis-sg_en_005
57. Shaanika, I., & Iyamu, T. (2015). Deployment of enterprise architecture in the Namibian Government: The use of activity theory to examine the influencing factors. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 71(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1681-4835.2015.tb00515.x>

58. Simeonova, B. (2018). Transactive memory systems and Web 2.0 in knowledge sharing: A conceptual model based on activity theory and critical realism. *Information Systems Journal*, 28(4), 592-611. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12147>
59. Spoletini, P., & Ferrari, A. (2017). Requirements elicitation: A look at the future through the lenses of the past. In *Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE 25Th International Requirements Engineering Conference (RE)* (pp. 476-477). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RE.2017.35>
60. Stephany, F. (2020). It's not only size that matters: Determinants of Estonia's e-governance success. *Electronic Government, An International Journal*, 16(3), 304-313. <https://doi.org/10.1504/EG.2020.10028669>
61. Suri, P., & Sushil. (2022). Effectiveness of strategy implementation and e-governance performance. *Evaluation And Program Planning*, 92, Article 102063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2022.102063>
62. Thabit, T., & Jasim, Y. (2019). The challenges of adopting e-governance in Iraq. *Current Research Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 2(1), 31-38. <https://doi.org/10.12944/CRJSSH.2.1.04>
63. Ullah, A., Pinglu, C., Ullah, S., Abbas, H. S. M., & Khan, S. (2021). The role of E-governance in combating COVID-19 and promoting sustainable development: A comparative study of China and Pakistan. *Chinese Political Science Review*, 6(1), 86-118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41111-020-00167-w>
64. Visser, W., & Twinomurinzi, H. (2009). E-government and public service delivery: Enabling ICT to put people first: A case from South Africa. *Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics*, 6(6), 36-41. <http://hdl.handle.net/10500/19602>
65. Wadhwa, M. (2020). *E-governance in healthcare sector in India* (ICT India Working Paper No. 28). Centre for Sustainable Development, Columbia University. https://csd.columbia.edu/sites/default/files/content/docs/ICT%20India/Papers/ICT_India_Working_Paper_28.pdf
66. Yrika, M. (2020). Reconsidering government through five new e-Governance ideas. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). <https://www.undp.org/namibia/blog/reconsidering-government-through-five-new-e-governance-ideas>
67. Zaiman, J. (2020). Power and influence: Assessing the conceptual relationship. *Koers — Bulletin for Christian Scholarship*, 85(1). <https://doi.org/10.19108/KOERS.85.1.2466>