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Abstract

The ascent of non-fungible tokens (NFTs) has recently garnered significant attention, prompting the need for effective methods to appraise these digital assets. An examination was conducted to ascertain the efficacy of the US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) in valuing NFTs, considering their unique attributes, notably their indivisibility and blockchain-based ownership. With a two-fold aim, this study conducts a comprehensive evaluation of GAAP’s suitability for NFT valuation while pinpointing the constraints inherent in the existing accounting framework. The proposed remedies encompass the development of industry-specific guidelines (ISG), refinement of NFT categorization and evaluation techniques, timely resolution of valuation complexities, and integration of artificial intelligence (AI) based solutions. Preliminary findings reveal that conventional GAAP procedures offer only partial alignment with the intricacies of NFT valuation, primarily because of the unprecedented nature of NFTs and swiftly evolving market dynamics. This investigation contributes significantly by delving into the subtleties of implementing established accounting principles within this nascent digital asset class. Furthermore, it underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration between the accounting and technology sectors to adeptly navigate the ever-transforming domain of blockchain technology and digital assets. This research provides a resource for professionals, regulators, and scholars engaged in the dynamic realm of NFT valuation and accounting.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This study delves into the challenges of valuing non-fungible tokens (NFTs) in the context of the US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Drawing on insights from Moore (2021), Dierskmeier and Seele (2018), and Casino et al. (2019), the distinct nature of NFTs, such as their non-interchangeable and volatile characteristics identified by Ethereum’s token standard (Wang et al., 2021), poses significant challenges to traditional GAAP valuation methods. This study proposes the development of industry-specific guidelines and explores the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) based solutions to enhance valuation accuracy and address NFT impairment issues, ensuring a more accurate representation of their value in financial statements. This study contributes to a broader understanding of NFT valuation by advocating for transparency, compliance, and innovation in blockchain technology and digital assets. Based on a thorough examination of the existing literature, our study seeks to answer the critical question of how effectively GAAP can accommodate the unique valuation challenges of NFTs and the role of AI and regulatory frameworks in this process, as outlined by Miller-Nobles and Mattison (2021).

Valuing digital assets, especially NFTs, is challenging because of the dynamic nature of the digital world. NFTs are unique and valued differently from traditional assets, and Ethereum’s token standard has made them more complex. Our research assesses if US GAAP can be applied to NFTs to create a formal valuation framework. GAAP is a set of guidelines, as suggested by Härdle et al. (2020), that ensures transparent and accountable financial reporting in the US; evaluating its effectiveness on unconventional assets such as NFTs is essential. This study investigates the practicality of the current US GAAP framework for NFT valuations. Traditional GAAP may require modifications to reflect the unique characteristics of NFTs. This study aims to improve accounting and valuation practices for NFTs by creating guidelines, refining techniques, and evaluating AI-based solutions.

This study makes significant contributions to various areas of NFT valuation. Analysis of the unique characteristics of digital assets in traditional accounting standards aids in understanding the complexities of valuing NFTs. Researchers suggest developing industry-specific guidelines (ISG) tailored to NFTs to align accounting practices with distinct attributes. Second, it assesses the effectiveness of AI-based solutions in streamlining NFT valuation processes, enhancing accuracy, and addressing the challenges associated with these unique assets. The study also addresses challenges related to NFT categorization and measurement, enhancing the precision of valuation methods, tackling issues related to NFT impairment, providing solutions that improve the accuracy of reflecting NFT values in financial statements, and reducing uncertainties in NFT valuation and financial reporting.

Finally, our research extends beyond the accounting realm, inspiring innovation and collaboration between the accounting and technology industries. This emphasizes the need for interdisciplinary approaches in navigating the evolving landscape of blockchain and digital asset technology, ultimately fostering advancements in both fields. Enhancing the understanding of NFT valuation and promoting transparency, compliance, and innovation in blockchain technology builds on existing literature and includes AI-based solutions. As the NFT market grows, establishing a formal valuation framework is becoming increasingly important. This study addresses this urgent need by assessing the applicability of US GAAP to NFTs. With our findings, we can provide a reliable and standardized approach to valuing NFTs, giving investors and stakeholders much-needed confidence in this class of emerging assets. GAAP represents a fundamental set of accounting regulations, guidelines, and practices overseen by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Its mission is to standardize accounting classifications, assumptions, and procedures across various industries in the United States, ensuring transparent and accountable financial reporting (Miller-Nobles & Mattison, 2021). However, given the novelty of NFTs, it is imperative to examine critically the effectiveness of applying traditional GAAP to these unconventional assets.

Investigating the practicality of using the current US GAAP framework to value NFTs. Initial research suggested that GAAP may need to be modified to reflect the unique characteristics of NFTs. Our research aims to improve NFT accounting and valuation practices by creating ISG, refining categorization, and measurement techniques, and evaluating AI-based solutions to enhance accuracy and efficiency. This study explores how well GAAP can value NFTs, and how AI and regulations can improve the process.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews relevant literature on NFTs. Section 3 analyzes GAAP methodology for NFT valuation, while Section 4 proposes an industry-specific guideline for NFTs with AI-based solutions. Section 5 examines the implications of these guidelines on financial reporting and decision-making. Finally, Section 6 summarizes the findings and provides recommendations for future research.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The emergence of NFTs has triggered a comprehensive examination of their valuation and accounting practices, explicitly emphasizing the application of the US GAAP for these unique digital assets. This section reviews the key findings and contributions from the existing literature on the challenges, opportunities, and limitations of applying the US GAAP to NFT valuation.

2.1. Historical evolution of non-fungible tokens and the US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

The US GAAP, the standard accounting framework in the United States, are crucial for evaluating and reporting financial information, particularly in recognizing, measuring, and disclosing financial transactions. Although GAAP ensures clarity, reliability, and comparability in financial statements, its principles were initially designed for conventional assets, making the valuation of unconventional assets such as NFTs challenging.
This has sparked a lively debate in the recent literature about GAAP’s effectiveness in delivering precise and trustworthy financial information, with some researchers praising its role in enhancing financial reporting quality and fostering consistency across financial statements. However, this view is not unanimous, as some critics argue that GAAP complexity can lead to financial statement manipulation. For instance, Rouvolsis (2022) highlighted that GAAP’s intricacy provided loopholes during the 2008 financial crisis, casting doubt on the reliability of financial information under GAAP. Despite post-crisis improvements, GAAP’s applicability to modern financial phenomena, including the complexities of digital assets, such as NFTs, remains challenging. The inherent complexity and potential for manipulation within GAAP, coupled with its evolving relevance to contemporary financial instruments and transactions, continue to fuel scholarly debate.

Given their growing impact on company value, the valuation of intangible assets, such as patents, trademarks, customer relationships, and software, is increasingly critical in financial reporting. Various asset-valuing methodologies have been explored, including the income, market, and cost approaches. The income approach involves estimating and discounting future cash flows to the present value, the market approach benchmarks against similar assets in the market, and the cost approach calculates replacement costs. However, fair value determination, especially for unidentifiable intangible assets, is complex and subject to scrutiny by auditors, regulators, and investors. Ensuring adherence to US GAAP and maintaining thorough documentation are essential for companies to validate their valuation methods (Deloitte, 2021).

The need for distinct accounting and reporting standards has become apparent in light of the rise in digital assets, such as cryptocurrencies and NFTs. Studies such as Akpan and Ukwu (2023) highlight the challenges of these assets in accounting and financial reporting. An article by Jackson and Luu (2023) emphasizes the evolution of digital assets and the absence of precise accounting standards, leading to diverse global practices. This article discusses potential accounting treatments for cryptocurrencies and other digital assets under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and US GAAP, noting differences in classification and challenges in conveying a company’s liquidity status. This highlights the need for explicit accounting standards to enhance the transparency and comparability of financial reports for digital assets, making this article an invaluable resource in this domain. Research on US GAAP and intangible asset valuation underscores the importance of financial reporting standards in providing accurate information, despite critiques of GAAP’s complexity and potential for company manipulation. The accurate valuation of intangible assets is crucial for companies to understand their value and make informed decisions, underscoring the importance of compliance with GAAP and thorough documentation.

### Table 1. Diverse applications and implications of non-fungible tokens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overview of NFTs</td>
<td>NFTs, representing digital objects, do not fit the traditional US GAAP categories. They authenticate ownership of tangible and intangible items and confer intellectual property rights to holders. However, GAAP lacks definitive guidance on NFTs (Murphy, 2021).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFT gaming</td>
<td>In gaming, NFTs denote in-game items, enhancing ownership and tradeability. They provide gamers with permanent asset ownership, introducing value and investment potential. NFT gaming also enhances fairness and transparency compared to traditional gaming (Muthe et al., 2020).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility NFTs</td>
<td>Utility NFTs extend beyond art and gaming, providing specific functions and utilities, reflecting their digital ownership and scarcity. They contribute to various life aspects and transactions, expanding scholarly discussions (Ardavanis, 2022).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital certificates</td>
<td>NFTs as digital certificates can verify real-world documents like graduation certificates, driving licenses, and medical records. They also propose to represent physical assets like real estate for simplified transfers (Ardavanis, 2022).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual property</td>
<td>NFTs protect intellectual property, enabling creators to directly engage with consumers and reducing reliance on intermediaries in industries like music and publishing (Solouki &amp; Bamakan, 2022).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticketing</td>
<td>NFTs in ticketing can mitigate issues like fraud and scalping. Each ticket becomes a unique, secure digital asset, enabling transparent secondary markets for reselling (Ardavanis, 2022).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decentralized finance</td>
<td>In decentralized finance, NFTs serve as collateral in lending protocols and enhance transparency and efficiency in insurance applications (Karavaneva, 2021).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social NFTs</td>
<td>Social NFTs allow individuals to monetize digital creations and online presence. They facilitate community building, content ownership proof, and engagement with brands (Solouki &amp; Bamakan, 2022).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2. Analyzing Financial Accounting Standards Board’s reclassification of crypto assets

The rapidly evolving crypto-asset domain, characterized by frequent technological and regulatory changes, has recently experienced pivotal development with the Financial Accounting Standards Board’s (FASB) reclassification of crypto assets. This reclassification is a significant move towards refining accounting standards for digital assets (FASB, 2023a). As a leading authority in the accounting field, FASB has notably reshaped the criteria and reporting of financial statements (Deloitte, 2023a, 2023b).

This redefinition excludes certain digital assets, especially NFTs, from the crypto asset category. This study focuses on this exclusion to highlight the distinct nature of NFTs and similar digital assets, setting them apart from conventional crypto assets (FASB, 2023a). This exclusion raises significant questions about the accounting treatment of NFTs and other digital assets, such as utility and asset-backed tokens under the amended FASB standards.

### 2.3. Accounting treatment of non-fungible tokens under Financial Accounting Standards Board: Insights and implications

This section discusses the FASB’s specific accounting treatment for NFTs. The recent changes in crypto asset classification by FASB have profound implications for NFTs, given their unique attributes. This analysis aims to clarify the nuances of NFT
accounting under the current FASB standards, addressing the needs of those involved in financial reporting and the analysis of digital assets.

Critical aspects of NFT accounting under FASB are as follows.

Intangible asset classification: NFTs are generally classified as intangible assets under the FASB standards because of their non-physical nature and unique digital ownership rights.

Valuation challenges: Valuing NFTs is particularly challenging because of their distinctiveness and volatile digital asset markets. The FASB framework requires assessing fair value, considering factors such as market conditions, rarity, and specific attributes (Hubbard, 2023).

Recognition and measurement: NFTs are recognized at the purchase price or fair value at the time of acquisition. Subsequent measurements are complex given fluctuating market values and the often-limited active market for many NFTs.

Impairment considerations: Owing to their susceptibility to rapid value changes, NFTs may require regular impairment testing and reassessment of their recoverable amount.

Disclosure requirements: The FASB mandates comprehensive disclosures for intangible assets such as NFTs, including the nature of the assets, valuation methods, and any significant assumptions (Liu et al., 2021).

2.4. Practical implications for stakeholders

The FASB approach to NFTs significantly impacts various stakeholders, including accountants, auditors, regulators, standard-setters, academicians, and researchers. These professionals face challenges in accurately valuing, reporting, and understanding legal, tax, and accounting aspects of NFTs. Intellectual property laws, financial regulations, and complex tax considerations are crucial to the NFT landscape, demanding a thorough understanding from scholars and practitioners. From an accounting perspective, NFT marketplaces generate revenue through fees and transactions, necessitating clarity in rights and obligations, especially when contractual terms are not explicit (PricewaterhouseCoopers [PwC], 2021).

Integrating artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), data science (DS), and data processing (DP) within the NFT ecosystem in compliance with the US GAAP introduces new complexities and opportunities. AI is used for content generation and predictive modeling, ML for NFT valuation and recommendations, DS for securing transaction data, and DP for optimizing creative processes and portfolio management (Akpan & Ukwu, 2023; Ukwu & Yurtkan, 2022; Ukwu & Sirjani, 2016). These technologies impact NFT authenticity, marketplace algorithms, data security, and valuation models, aligned with the US GAAP standards. The use of AI in art and content creation, DS in securing transaction information, and DP in optimizing algorithms demonstrates the necessity of adapting US GAAP to evolving digital assets (PwC, 2021).

Moreover, the dynamic world of NFTs calls for evolving problem-solving approaches in accounting, highlighting the need to continuously adapt standards such as US GAAP. Alternative methods, such as greedy algorithms, metaheuristic algorithms, and ML techniques, have been innovatively applied in NFT applications, reflecting the need for flexible and efficient solutions in the digital asset landscape. These methodologies are crucial for resource allocation in NFT marketplaces and optimizing NFT investment portfolios, underscoring the importance of adaptable accounting practices in a rapidly changing digital environment (PwC, 2021).

2.5. Theoretical frameworks and the US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

Applying theoretical frameworks to the US GAAP is essential for evaluating NFT valuation with fair value measurement, a critical accounting theory component, and is central to this discussion. Theoretical considerations, particularly those based on market-based pricing, face challenges due to NFTs’ lack of standardized markets and the potential for extreme price volatility. Concurrently, the theoretical principles of asset recognition, which are crucial in the conceptual framework for financial reporting, intersect with the practicality of recognizing NFTs as assets in financial statements under GAAP. These theoretical insights are critical for understanding how NFTs are treated and disclosed in financial reporting, and pave the way for examining the regulatory environment’s impact on NFTs in the financial domain.

2.6. Regulatory environment and the US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In the United States, the regulatory environment for NFTs, particularly Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) oversight is crucial to shaping their financial treatment and perceptions. As NFTs gain prominence, the SEC’s role in framing regulatory guidelines, including classification, trading, and financial disclosure, becomes increasingly significant. The SEC has recognized certain NFTs as securities in specific contexts, impacting their alignment with the US GAAP. Market participants closely monitor the SEC’s evolving stance to understand the regulatory landscape’s implications for NFT valuation and reporting. This balance between legal compliance and accounting standards is central to navigating the challenges and opportunities in NFT valuation under US GAAP.

NFT valuation under US GAAP provides the following challenges:

Illiquidity: The illiquid nature of NFTs, where markets may lack active buyers and sellers, presents a fundamental challenge. Determining the fair value of NFTs can be complex when there is limited market activity for establishing price benchmarks.
Consequently, this illiquidity may influence how NFTs are reported in financial statements, potentially affecting their perceived value and financial health.

**Price volatility:** NFTs are notorious for their price volatility, fluctuating values significantly over short periods. This poses valuation challenges, as traditional accounting principles struggle to capture rapid changes in NFT values. The potential for substantial price swings further complicates the accurate incorporation of NFTs into financial statements.

**Market fragmentation:** The NFT market is fragmented, with numerous marketplaces offering different NFTs at varying prices. This diversity creates challenges in standardizing the valuation of NFTs as there may be multiple pricing data sources, each reflecting different market dynamics. Ensuring consistency in valuations across these fragmented markets remains a complex endeavor.

In conclusion, the integration of NFTs into the US GAAP presents hurdles, it also offers opportunities for alignment with established accounting standards:

- **Leveraging fair value measurement:** Accounting standards, including GAAP, emphasize fair value measurement as a cornerstone of financial reporting. This opens opportunities to explore fair value models tailored to NFTs, considering their unique characteristics and the absence of standardized markets. Innovative approaches to fair value determination can enhance the transparency and accuracy of NFT reports.

- **Enhanced disclosure practices:** NFT valuation challenges can be met with enhanced disclosure practices. Companies and entities may choose to provide detailed information on their NFT holdings, valuation methods, and the level of uncertainty associated with NFT values. Transparent disclosure can provide stakeholders with valuable insights into the financial health of organizations engaging with NFTs.

- **Accounting framework adaptation:** The challenges posed by NFT valuation may spur adaptations within the accounting framework. Efforts to refine guidance or establish specialized standards for NFT accounting could enhance the applicability of GAAP to this emerging asset class. These adaptations would facilitate more consistent and reliable financial reporting practices related to NFTs.

**3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study undertakes a theoretical exploration of the applicability and constraints of US GAAP for valuing NFTs. It diverges from empirical methodologies, focusing instead on critically analyzing and synthesizing relevant literature, theories, and concepts. Key concepts underpinning this research include fair value measurement in accounting theory, asset recognition principles from the financial reporting framework, and the unique challenges of applying these to NFTs.

### 3.1. Data collection

An extensive literature review was conducted across critical academic databases. This review aimed to gather scholarly articles, papers, and other academic works discussing NFTs, US GAAP, and related theories. A strategic search employing keywords like “Non-Fungible Tokens”, “NFT Valuation”, “GAAP”, “Accounting Standards”, and “Asset Recognition” was used. The search timeframe extended from the initial appearance of NFTs in literature to recent publications, ensuring a thorough and current understanding of the subject.

In addition to systematic searches, we engaged in manual exploration of select sources, including seminal works, historical documents, and theoretical frameworks that have significantly shaped the field of accounting and NFT valuation. Key sources explored manually include foundational texts such as the “FASB Accounting Standards Codification” and regulatory documents like the “SEC Framework for Investment Contract Analysis of Digital Assets” This manual approach allowed us to delve into foundational concepts and theories that underpin NFT valuation within the context of GAAP, further enhancing the depth and rigor of our research.

### 3.2. Data analysis

In this comprehensive theoretical exploration, the data analysis process focused on critically analyzing, synthesizing, and interpreting existing theories and literature relevant to NFT valuation under US GAAP. A conceptual framework was developed, synthesizing various theories and insights to establish a foundational understanding of GAAP’s applicability to NFTs. This involved a critical evaluation of GAAP’s strengths, weaknesses, and relevance in the context of NFTs, integrating arguments and counterarguments from a range of theoretical perspectives. The synthesis of these theoretical insights and understandings formed a cohesive narrative, addressing the research objectives and underpinning the extensive examination of GAAP’s suitability for NFT valuation.

In this theoretical analysis, certain limitations are inherent. To ensure the rigor and reliability of our study, we implemented several strategies to mitigate these limitations.

The quality and availability of theoretical literature in NFT valuation may vary, potentially limiting the breadth of theoretical perspectives. We employed a systematic and rigorous approach to identify, select, and evaluate available literature to mitigate this limitation. Additionally, we extended our search period to encompass the full historical context of NFTs, enhancing our ability to capture a comprehensive view of existing perspectives and insights.

Interpreting theoretical concepts in NFT valuation within the GAAP context is susceptible to subjectivity. Efforts to maintain objectivity and
rigor, including regular team discussions and cross-referencing with existing authoritative works in the field, were employed to ensure accuracy and objectivity further. These measures are critical for upholding high academic integrity standards and enhancing the research findings’ robustness and reliability.

While this study primarily utilizes a theoretical and literature-based approach, alternative empirical methods such as quantitative analysis or case studies could also provide valuable insights. Quantitative analysis, involving statistical examination of market data on NFT transactions, could offer empirical evidence on valuation trends and patterns. Alternatively, case studies of specific NFT transactions or accounting practices could yield in-depth insights into the practical applications of GAAP in NFT valuations.

4. RESULTS

This study embarks on a thorough theoretical examination of applying US GAAP to NFTs. It synthesizes various sources, including academic literature, regulatory documents, and industry reports, to explore the complexities and potential of NFT valuation within established accounting standards. The research’s initial focus is on assessing GAAP’s effectiveness in NFT valuations, highlighting its advantages in ensuring clarity, uniformity, transparency, and accountability in financial reporting. This aspect is particularly crucial for publicly traded companies involved in NFT transactions because adherence to GAAP ensures that transparent reporting practices are essential for investors and regulators.

This study also traces the evolution of NFTs from their early inception to the current market surge by examining their accounting treatment under GAAP. Key historical milestones, such as the advent of Crypto Kitties in 2017, emphasize the uniqueness of NFTs. The analysis draws parallels between NFTs and traditional intangible assets such as patents and trademarks, which are crucial in financial reporting. Additionally, the study explores NFTs in various contexts, such as virtual real estate in Decentraland and in-game items on platforms, such as Axie Infinity. It also discusses emerging categories, such as utility and social NFTs, underscoring their potential in authenticating real-world documents and monetizing digital creations. The research concludes by addressing the legal, tax, and accounting challenges of NFTs and noting the complexities posed by diverse global legal frameworks for ownership and copyright. This comprehensive exploration provides a solid foundation for understanding the applicability and effectiveness of GAAP in the multifaceted world of NFT valuation.

4.1. Evaluation of the effectiveness and limitations of the US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in non-fungible token valuation

As the foundation of accounting standards in the United States, the US GAAP offers several benefits for the valuation of NFTs, providing a structured framework that ensures clarity, uniformity, transparency, and accountability in financial reporting. This framework is vital for stakeholders analyzing NFT-related financial data and enhancing confidence and comparability, especially for publicly traded companies engaged in NFT transactions. However, applying GAAP to NFTs introduces unique challenges, owing to their illiquid nature, price volatility, and market fragmentation. The lack of a consistent market for certain NFTs, such as virtual land in blockchain-based environments, and the varying valuations across different marketplaces pose difficulties in fair value determination under GAAP. Additionally, the absence of industry-specific GAAP guidance for NFTs complicates their valuation for entities deeply involved in NFT transactions, like digital art galleries. These challenges underscore the need for innovative adaptations within the accounting framework to address the unique characteristics of NFTs and ensure more accurate and transparent financial reporting in this evolving domain.

Table 3 summarises the key aspects of GAAP’s effectiveness and limitations in NFT valuation along with corresponding examples for each aspect. It provides a structured overview of the evaluation, allowing for a clear understanding of the dynamics involved in applying GAAP to NFTs.

Table 3. The evaluation of the effectiveness and limitations of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in non-fungible token valuation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspects</th>
<th>Effectiveness</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clarity and uniformity</td>
<td>Ensures clarity and consistency in N/A</td>
<td>A publicly traded NFT company adheres to GAAP, enabling consistent financial analysis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparency and accountability</td>
<td>Emphasizes transparent reporting N/A</td>
<td>An NFT-based gaming company discloses its NFT holdings and valuations, promoting investor trust.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiquidity</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Determining fair value in illiquid markets</td>
<td>An NFT representing virtual land lacks active buyers and sellers for extended periods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price volatility</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Struggles to capture rapid price changes</td>
<td>An artist’s NFT of digital art experiences substantial value fluctuations within a short time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market fragmentation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Challenges standardizing valuations</td>
<td>An NFT representing a collectible card has varying valuations across different NFT marketplaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of industry-specific guidance</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Complexities in industry-specific valuations</td>
<td>A digital art gallery specializing in NFT-based art faces challenges in valuing NFT assets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Please note that this is a hypothetical table.
4.2. Analysis of the broader impact of non-fungible tokens on the accounting and information technology sectors

The US GAAP historically aimed at ensuring clarity and comparability in financial statements, however, adapting to non-traditional assets like NFTs has been challenging. Initially tailored for traditional assets, applying GAAP to NFTs has sparked scholarly debate over its effectiveness, with some commending its contribution to financial reporting quality and others pointing out its complexity and manipulation risks. The growing significance of intangible assets, especially NFTs, in financial reporting, requires accurate valuation through various methods. The lack of definitive GAAP guidance for NFTs, which authenticates ownership and represents diverse rights, complicates accounting. NFTs’ applications in gaming, intellectual property, and decentralized finance (DeFi) have extended their influence, prompting evolving regulatory landscapes, particularly the SEC’s role in classification and disclosure. This study underscores the broader impact of NFTs on the accounting and information technology (IT) sectors, emphasizing the need for interdisciplinary approaches and blockchain integration to ensure secure transactions and transparent auditing. Ethical and environmental concerns, such as carbon footprint and data privacy of NFTs, require careful consideration. The expanding role of NFTs, evidenced by their adoption of digital credentials by universities and authentication by businesses highlights the challenges and opportunities they present in GAAP applications, driving innovation and fostering collaboration in digital asset management and financial transparency.

Table 4. Key highlights from the comprehensive review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Challenges in applying GAAP to NFT valuation</td>
<td>• GAAP designed for traditional assets; • Debate over GAAP’s effectiveness; • Intangible assets and their significance; • No specific GAAP guidance for NFTs; • Environmental concerns regarding NFTs’ carbon footprint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications of NFTs beyond art and collectibles</td>
<td>• NFT gaming and genuine ownership; • Utility NFTs in various sectors; • NFTs as digital certificates; • NFTs in intellectual property protection; • NFTs in ticketing and DeFi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolving regulatory landscape</td>
<td>• The role of the SEC in classifying and regulating NFTs; • Impact on NFT classification and financial reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broader impact on accounting and IT</td>
<td>• Interdisciplinary collaboration and blockchain integration; • Innovation in accounting practices; • Ethical and environmental considerations; • Institutional adoption and integration.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 provides a concise overview of the main points covered in this paper, making it easier to grasp the key concepts and discussions. It summarizes critical findings from our comprehensive review of NFTs and their valuation within the context of US GAAP. It delineates challenges in applying traditional accounting standards to NFTs, highlighting their unique characteristics and environmental concerns. Additionally, it shows the diverse applications of NFTs across various sectors, emphasizing their disruptive potential. The evolving regulatory landscape, particularly the role of the US SEC, is examined. Finally, the table underscores the broader impact of NFTs on the accounting and IT sectors, fostering innovation, ethical considerations, and institutional adoption.

4.3. Exploration of the regulatory landscape and its role in non-fungible token valuation

In the NFT domain, the US SEC plays a crucial role in classifying certain NFTs as securities, especially those linked to ownership or financial interests in underlying assets, bringing about regulatory obligations and mandatory disclosures for issuers and platforms. Globally, the taxation of NFT transactions, as guided by entities such as the US Internal Revenue Service (IRS), adds to the complexity with varying implications for capital gains, valuation, and financial reporting. NFT regulations also intersect with intellectual property and copyright laws, affecting digital assets such as artwork and music, and emphasizing consumer protection with requirements for risk disclosures in non-fungible tokens (NFTs) marketplaces. The global nature of NFT transactions introduces cross-border regulatory challenges that necessitate international cooperation for standard harmonization. Increased oversight is essential to maintain market integrity and prevent fraud, with legal actions against those not complying with regulations. The evolving regulatory landscape for NFTs, covering classification, taxation, intellectual property, consumer protection, and market oversight, significantly influences NFT valuation, financial reporting, and market dynamics, underscoring the need for organizations and market participants to navigate this complex environment with informed compliance and diligence.
In the context of this study, the hypothetical Table 5 provides a concise summary of key regulatory aspects influencing NFT valuation and financial reporting. This highlights the multifaceted nature of regulatory considerations for NFTs, including the pivotal role of the US SEC in classifying certain NFTs as securities. The table underscores the importance of AML and KYC compliance, the complexities of taxation frameworks across jurisdictions, and the intersection of NFTs with intellectual property and copyright laws. Furthermore, it addresses consumer protection, cross-border implications, regulatory innovation, market oversight mechanisms, and the potential for legal enforcement.

Blockchain’s pivotal role in NFTs is scrutinized, as its decentralized, immutable nature has far-reaching implications for accounting facets, such as auditing, internal controls, and asset valuation. In the context of NFTs, blockchain ensures ownership verification and provenance, both of which are crucial for valuation. The heavy influence of market dynamics on NFT valuation is explored, emphasizing its susceptibility to speculative trading and sentiment-driven price volatility, which differentiates NFTs from traditional assets. The challenge of categorizing NFTs within existing accounting standards is addressed, advocating for a distinct asset category tailored to NFTs’ unique characteristics. Regulatory considerations in the ever-evolving digital asset landscape are highlighted, requiring constant adaptations to valuation methodologies. The proposal “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other – Crypto Assets (Subtopic 350-60): Accounting for and Disclosure of Crypto Assets” (FASB, 2023b) is introduced, underlining its significance in digital asset accounting. The document offers insight into the Board’s considerations and stakeholder feedback, including concerns about treating digital assets as indefinite-lived intangible assets.

4.4. Challenges in applying the US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to non-fungible token valuation

Integrating US GAAP in valuing NFTs presents significant challenges due to their unique characteristics. GAAP, primarily designed for traditional assets, struggles with NFTs’ non-interchangeable, volatile, and indivisible nature and lack of a physical form. This complexity is evident in scenarios such as artists selling NFTs and retaining shares in future resales, where GAAP’s historical cost measurement fails to capture the assets’ dynamic value fluctuations and resale uncertainties. The illiquid nature of NFTs, with limited buyers and sellers, and the market’s fragmentation across various platforms further complicate fair value determination and financial reporting under GAAP. The absence of industry-specific GAAP guidance for NFTs poses additional challenges, particularly for organizations involved in NFT transactions. To address these issues, innovative solutions and adaptations within the accounting framework, such as the FASB’s (2023b) proposal are crucial. This proposal emphasizes the need for accounting standards tailored to digital assets like NFTs.

4.5. Impact of non-fungible tokens on the accounting and information technology sectors

The second research objective delves into the profound impact of NFTs on the accounting and IT sectors, marking a significant shift in financial reporting and IT practices. In the regulatory landscape, NFTs have prompted substantial changes, with the US SEC playing a crucial role in classifying certain NFTs as securities, particularly those linked to ownership or financial interests in assets. This classification has increased regulatory scrutiny, integrating aspects like AML and KYC compliance into the NFT regulatory framework. Additionally, ethical and environmental concerns have emerged as pivotal issues in the NFT domain, emphasizing the importance of data privacy, security, and responsible practices in accounting and IT sectors. Moreover, market oversight has become increasingly crucial to combat fraud and market manipulation in the NFT market. Regulatory bodies are intensifying their focus on market surveillance mechanisms to detect irregularities and maintain market integrity, signaling a more stringent regulatory and oversight approach in the dynamic landscape of digital assets.

4.6. Proposed amendments and broader implications

The proposed amendments to the Accounting Standards Codification, particularly Subtopic 350–60, signify an important step towards addressing accounting challenges posed by crypto assets. While
these amendments aim to enhance transparency and informative financial reporting, they do not encompass NFTs due to concerns about fungibility and market price determination complexities. This decision aligns with the current market landscape and the absence of entities’ substantial reporting of NFT holdings. In conclusion, the evolving nature of digital assets necessitates ongoing discussions, research, and flexible accounting standards to accommodate their unique characteristics and the changing regulatory environment. Additionally, the broader implications extend beyond accounting, highlighting the need for comprehensive approaches to digital assets, including regulatory frameworks, tax policies, and legal definitions, to ensure adaptability and transparency in the dynamic digital asset landscape.

The exploration of AI-based solutions to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of NFT valuation has shown significant promise. By integrating AI technologies, specifically AI-based algorithms, valuing NFTs can be automated and streamlined. These algorithms analyze extensive datasets of NFT transactions, considering various factors such as creator reputation, asset uniqueness, and market sentiment. This approach reduces the risk of human error and subjective biases, leading to more objective and reliable valuations. Additionally, AI-driven tools increase efficiency in financial reporting, rapidly processing large volumes of transactions, which is particularly beneficial for platforms with numerous NFTs, like gaming platforms or digital art marketplaces.

This research highlights the challenges in applying GAAP to NFTs, given their unique attributes like non-interchangeability, price volatility, and intangibility. Despite progress in accounting standards, specific guidance for NFTs still needs to be improved. The impact of NFTs extends beyond accounting, necessitating ongoing adaptation and research to keep pace with their evolving nature and regulatory environment. The study offers practical insights for finance professionals, presenting challenges and potential solutions for valuing NFTs under GAAP. These include industry-specific guidelines and AI-based solutions, equipping accountants and finance experts with tools to appraise NFTs accurately. As NFT adoption grows across various industries, these insights become increasingly vital for effectively managing the complexities of NFT valuation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AI-based solutions in NFT valuation</th>
<th>Benefits and contributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Automating NFT valuation           | • AI algorithms analyze NFT data considering creator reputation, asset uniqueness, and market sentiment;  
• ML models adapt to market changes, improving valuation accuracy over time;  
• Reduces human error and subjectivity in valuation. |
| Enhancing efficiency               | • AI-driven tools process NFT transactions rapidly, keeping pace with the fast digital asset market;  
• Particularly beneficial for platforms with numerous NFTs, e.g., gaming or digital art marketplaces. |
| Practical guidance for finance professionals | • Offers comprehensive analysis of NFT valuation challenges and solutions under GAAP;  
• Proposes ISG and AI integration for accurate NFT appraisal within the GAAP framework;  
• Enables finance professionals to navigate NFT valuation complexities effectively. |
| Embracing technology and adapting to the evolving landscape | • Represents a significant step in addressing NFT valuation challenges;  
• Positions organizations to provide transparent and accurate financial reporting in the world of NFTs. |

Table 6 outlines the potential benefits and contributions of integrating AI-based solutions into NFT valuation processes. It highlights how AI can automate NFT valuation, leading to increased accuracy, reduced human error, and adaptability to changing market dynamics. Additionally, AI-driven tools enhance efficiency by rapidly processing NFT transactions, particularly useful in scenarios with numerous NFTs, and offer practical guidance to finance professionals for navigating the complexities of NFT valuation within existing accounting frameworks like GAAP. Embracing AI technology helps organizations provide transparent and precise financial reporting in the evolving world of NFTs.

This comprehensive analysis explores the challenges of applying US GAAP to valuing NFTs, a unique class of digital assets. NFTs, traded on various marketplaces like OpenSea and SuperRare, present valuation challenges due to their inherent properties and the rapidly evolving market. For instance, a hypothetical case of a musician tokenizing an album as NFTs illustrates the complexities in valuing these assets, as their worth fluctuates with market demand and pricing. Traditional valuation metrics based on cash flows or risk levels are less applicable to NFTs, whose value often hinges on market sentiment, technological innovation, and regulatory changes. The volatility and unpredictability of the digital asset market, influenced by cryptocurrency prices, add to the complexity, as seen in high-profile cases like Beeple’s NFT sale. NFTs’ uniqueness and non-interchangeability, combined with the lack of standardized valuation methods, create new obstacles in determining their value, making the valuation of digital assets a complex and evolving area in financial reporting and accounting standards.
5.1. Real-world examples, case studies, and additional use cases

The study examines real-world examples and case studies across various sectors to view the challenges and opportunities in NFT valuation comprehensively. Imagine a hospital tokenizing medical records as NFTs in the healthcare sector to enhance data security and streamline access, revolutionizing healthcare data management. However, valuing these NFTs is complex, as traditional valuation frameworks need help with the nuances of digital assets, privacy compliance, and long-term value assessment of patient records. In intellectual property licensing, consider an author tokenizing book rights as NFTs, where the valuation includes assessing the intellectual property's intrinsic value and potential future royalties, introducing a dynamic element to asset appraisal. Similarly, social media influencers use NFTs to engage with audiences, creating tokens representing exclusive content access. Valuing these social NFTs involves factors beyond monetary measures, including community-building and brand loyalty. These scenarios, ranging from healthcare data management to social media influencer engagement, highlight NFT valuation's diverse challenges and evolving nature, calling for adaptable and multi-faceted valuation methods.

5.2. Implications of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles' limitations and potential enhancements

Our research emphasizes the need to adapt GAAP for the unique characteristics of NFTs, suggesting the development of Interpretive Strategic Guidance tailored specifically to NFTs. Such guidance would offer a more detailed framework for valuing and reporting NFTs, thereby enhancing the precision and transparency of financial statements. Despite some views that NFTs are speculative assets with high price volatility, challenging their valuation within GAAP, delaying the establishment of specific guidelines could compromise the accuracy and transparency of financial reporting. The rapidly evolving NFT market, expanding into gaming, utility, and social tokens, presents new challenges and opportunities for accounting professionals. For example, the genuine ownership and secondary markets in NFT gaming introduce complex valuation and reporting challenges.

The ongoing developments in the NFT landscape significantly influence accounting practices and standards. Active collaboration between regulatory bodies and industry stakeholders is critical to formulating comprehensive frameworks, as evidenced by the SEC’s classification of certain NFTs as securities, shaping accounting practices. Organizations must stay adaptive and informed to ensure compliance with these evolving standards and regulations.

5.3. Effectiveness of AI-based solutions in non-fungible token valuation

Integrating AI-based solutions into NFT valuation presents a promising approach to overcoming the challenges of these digital assets. AI technology's ability to handle vast, intricate datasets allows for comprehensive analysis of factors impacting NFT values, such as historical transactions, market sentiment, and asset rarity, thus enhancing the accuracy and reliability of valuations. AI contributes to objectivity in NFT valuation, minimizing human subjectivity and biases often present in traditional methods, and relies on objective data analysis and ML algorithms for consistent appraisals. The adaptability of AI to the dynamic NFT market is crucial, as it swiftly responds to fluctuations and trends, continuously learning and identifying real-time patterns. This adaptability empowers organizations to make informed decisions regarding NFT investments and financial reporting. Integrating AI in NFT valuation aligns with the fast-paced digital asset landscape, enabling finance professionals to navigate the evolving world of NFTs effectively. Our research highlights the necessity of a nuanced approach to NFT valuation and accounting within GAAP, using practical examples to demonstrate the complexities organizations face in this domain. These insights emphasize the importance of adaptability and transparency in financial strategies and reporting in the dynamic digital asset landscape.

6. CONCLUSION

The research, delving into NFT valuation within the US GAAP framework, aimed to assess GAAP’s compatibility with NFTs, propose improvements, and explore broader impacts on accounting and technology sectors. However, it encountered several limitations that warrant further attention:

The study highlighted the unique characteristics of NFTs, like indivisibility and non-interchangeability; these inherent qualities pose significant challenges to GAAP, which is primarily designed for conventional assets. The research pointed out GAAP's limitations in addressing NFTs' illiquidity, price volatility, and market fragmentation. The study might have yet to fully capture the rapidly evolving nature of NFTs, which could further complicate their valuation under GAAP.

Though practical, the study's recommendations for industry-specific guidelines and advanced categorization techniques for NFT valuation may need more depth in operationalization. Future research could focus on developing and validating these guidelines, involving collaboration with industry stakeholders, regulators, and accounting professionals. It would be helpful to consider this aspect during their development to ensure that the guidelines are practical and relevant in real-world situations. Another limitation is the study's scope regarding the impact of international accounting standards on NFT valuation. Future research could explore this area more deeply, highlighting the need for harmonization or divergence approach.

Additionally, the intersection of NFTs with taxation and how different valuation methods under GAAP might affect tax liabilities presents another area for exploration.

The research also acknowledges the potential of AI-based solutions in enhancing NFT valuation but may need to fully explore the complexities and challenges of integrating these technologies. Future investigations could delve into risk assessment and management strategies for entities dealing with
NFTs, including predictive methodologies for NFT price movements and the overall impact on an entity’s risk profile. While the research advances the understanding of NFT valuation and proposes actionable recommendations, it also opens avenues for further exploration, particularly in developing practical guidelines, exploring international standards, and integrating AI technologies. These areas are crucial for guiding policy decisions, informing professional practice, and ensuring the integrity and reliability of financial reporting in the digital era.
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