TRANSFORMING CORPORATE GOVERNANCE: EXPLORING TOKENIZATION'S IMPACT ON TRANSPARENCY AND OWNERSHIP — A RESEARCH AGENDA

Martino Agostini *

* University of Antwerp, Antwerpen, Belgium
Contact details: University of Antwerp, Prinsstraat 13, 2000 Antwerpen, Belgium

OPEN ACCESS

How to cite this paper: Agostini, M. (2024). Transforming corporate governance: Exploring tokenization's impact on transparency and ownership — A research agenda. *Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions, 14*(3), 47–56. https://doi.org/10.22495/rgcv14i3p5

ISSN Online: 2077-4303
ISSN Print: 2077-429X

Received: 15.10.2023
Accepted: 05.08.2024

JEL Classification: G34, K22, O33
DOI: 10.22495/rgcv14i3p5

Abstract

The integration of tokenization into corporate governance marks a transformative shift in managing corporate ownership and transparency through blockchain technology. This research agenda explores the practical and managerial implications of tokenization, focusing on enhancing shareholder communication and decision-making. By addressing inefficiencies and opacity in traditional corporate governance, tokenization democratizes shareholder participation, streamlines processes, and improves transparency and accountability. Anchored in a comprehensive literature review, the study synthesizes existing research and identifies gaps in understanding tokenization's impact on corporate governance. Key themes include the role of institutions and governance mechanisms, blockchain's potential to enhance transparency, reduce intermediaries, lower costs, and boost shareholder engagement. The study also examines evolving legal frameworks and regulatory challenges, emphasizing the need for regulatory clarity to facilitate adoption. A comparative analysis of blockchain platforms versus traditional financial markets highlights unique advantages and challenges related to liquidity, regulatory frameworks, accessibility, transparency, efficiency, stability, trust, and security. This agenda provides a structured framework for investigating the multifaceted impact of tokenization on corporate governance. The findings underscore the importance of innovative regulatory approaches and robust security measures to ensure blockchain platform stability. Future efforts should focus on developing comprehensive regulatory frameworks and ongoing education initiatives to support the democratization of financial markets through blockchain technology, ultimately contributing to a more efficient and equitable corporate landscape.

Keywords: Blockchain, Corporate Governance, Institutional Investors, Tokenization

Authors' individual contribution: The Author is responsible for all the contributions to the paper according to CRediT (Contributor Roles Taxonomy) standards.

Declaration of conflicting interests: The Author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

1. INTRODUCTION

The integration of tokenization into corporate governance marks a significant shift in managing corporate ownership and transparency. Powered by blockchain technology, tokenization has the potential to revolutionize traditional corporate structures. This research agenda is dedicated to exploring the practical and managerial implications of tokenization for corporate governance, emphasizing enhanced shareholder communication and decision-making.

The primary aim is to investigate how tokenization is transforming corporate ownership structures and governance transparency. This study addresses the problem of inefficient and opaque corporate governance processes that currently hinder effective shareholder engagement and decision-making. Traditional corporate governance models often suffer from centralized control, limited transparency, and communication barriers, leading to conflicts of interest and agency problems. Solving these issues is crucial for fostering more democratic, transparent, and efficient corporate governance.

Understanding the potential of tokenization is vital as it offers a way to democratize shareholder participation, streamline processes, and improve transparency and accountability. By leveraging blockchain technology, tokenization can provide a decentralized and immutable ledger for recording ownership and governance activities, thereby reducing the need for intermediaries and enhancing overall efficiency.

This study will be anchored in a comprehensive literature review, synthesizing existing studies and highlighting gaps in the current understanding of tokenization in corporate governance. The review will cover the role of institutions and governance mechanisms in shaping firm outcomes, as illustrated by Bebchuk et al. (2017), who emphasize the significant influence institutional investors have on corporate governance. These dynamics will be explored to understand how tokenization might alter these power structures and mitigate related issues.

Additionally, insights from Yermack (2017) and Armour et al. (2018) on blockchain technology's impact on corporate governance practices will be examined. Blockchain's potential to enhance transparency, reduce intermediaries, lower costs, and increase efficiency will be considered, alongside Lafarre and Van der Elst's (2018) discussion on the practical applications of blockchain in enhancing shareholder engagement and decision-making.

The review will also identify gaps regarding the transformative effects of tokenization on ownership, transparency, and accountability. Current literature often focuses on the technical and legal aspects of tokenization but lacks an in-depth analysis of its practical implications for corporate governance. Addressing these gaps is crucial for developing a comprehensive understanding of tokenization's potential.

Furthermore, the evolving legal frameworks and regulatory challenges associated with tokenized governance will be assessed, drawing on recent research and industry reports. Regulatory clarity is essential for the widespread adoption of tokenization. This Section will explore how different jurisdictions are approaching the regulation of blockchain and tokenized assets and identify best practices that could inform future policy development.

This study will utilize a literature review as the sole methodological approach, focusing on synthesizing and analyzing existing research. This approach allows for a comprehensive understanding of the current state of knowledge and identifies areas requiring further investigation. The study will utilize academic papers, industry reports, and case studies to gather a diverse range of perspectives, ensuring a well-rounded analysis of the topic. Key themes and patterns related to the impact of tokenization on corporate governance practices will be extracted, focusing on transparency, efficiency, and shareholder engagement.

A comparison of blockchain platforms with traditional financial markets will be conducted to highlight unique advantages and challenges, with references to Ali et al. (2020) and Zutshi et al. (2021). The quality, relevance, and impact of the findings will be evaluated based on theoretical contributions, practical implications, and potential for further investigation.

By addressing these key components, this agenda provides a comprehensive and well-structured plan for investigating the transformative effects of tokenization on corporate governance, ownership, and transparency. This study will significantly contribute to the growing body of knowledge in this emerging area, offering a robust framework for future inquiry. The insights gained from the literature review will guide the methodology and objectives, identifying critical areas for further examination.

This agenda emphasizes the practical and theoretical importance of understanding tokenization's impact on corporate governance. It highlights the need for regulatory clarity and the potential of blockchain to democratize shareholder participation, streamline processes, and improve transparency and accountability. This study aims to bridge existing gaps and provide a detailed analysis of the transformative potential of tokenization in corporate governance.

This paper is structured to systematically examine the transformative impact of tokenization on corporate governance. Section 2 provides a literature review on blockchain technology and its influence on corporate governance, highlighting key studies and identifying gaps in the current understanding. Section 3 describes the research methodology and analytical techniques used to investigate the relationship between tokenization and shareholder engagement. Section 4 presents the main findings of the study and proposes a new research agenda. Section 5 discusses blockchain platforms in comparison to traditional financial markets, focusing on aspects such as liquidity, regulatory frameworks, accessibility, transparency, efficiency, stability, trust, regulatory challenges, and security concerns. Section 6 concludes by emphasizing the practical and theoretical significance of tokenization, summarizing key points, and outlining future directions for research and implementation in corporate governance.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Our journey into the evolving landscape of technology and corporate governance begins with Yermack's (2017), which explores the potential implications of blockchain technology for corporate governance.

Further insights are provided by various authors. Armour et al. (2018) highlight the role of legal frameworks in technology's impact on corporate, competition, and tax law. Ferreira et al. (2023) delve into the concept of "corporate capture" in blockchain governance. Howell et al. (2020) examine cryptocurrency token sales and initial coin offerings (ICOs) as a means of financing corporate growth. Fenwick et al. (2019) investigate the transition from "corporate" to "platform" governance in decentralized platforms. Lafarre and Van der Elst's (2018) research illustrates how blockchain and smart contracting enhance shareholder engagement. The text emphasizes Yermack's (2017) foundational work in providing a comprehensive overview of the intersection of technology and corporate governance.

Additionally, the integration of blockchain technology into corporate governance is explored by several authors. Daluwathumullagamage and Sims (2020) delve into the implications of blockchain for corporate governance and regulation. Allen and Berg (2020) provide insights into the intricate mechanisms of blockchain governance. Kaal (2021) explores the potential of blockchain-based decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs) within corporate governance. Lafarre and Van der Elst (2018) assess the applications of blockchain technology in enhancing shareholder engagement. Akgiray (2019) offers foundational insights into the potential of blockchain in corporate governance. Yusuf et al. (2023) discuss the advantages of issuing and trading corporate securities on blockchains.

Moreover, the text delves into the role of institutional investors in corporate governance. Bebchuk et al. (2017) address agency problems faced by institutional investors and their influence on corporate governance. Bebchuk and Hirst (2019) discuss the increasing influence of index funds on corporate governance. Bebchuk and Hirst (2018) focus on the concentration of voting power among major institutional investors, known as the "big three".

The adoption of tokenization in corporate governance is recognized as transformative, with an emphasis on the need to understand the dynamics of governance beyond the digital realm. Institutional investors play a significant role in shaping corporate governance practices, and their impact is crucial when considering the integration of tokenization.

This resource emphasizes the significant influence of institutional investors on corporate governance decisions, highlighting the challenges and opportunities they present within the context of tokenized shares and evolving governance practices. It underscores that the adoption of tokenization, a transformative technological innovation associated with digitizing assets and shareholder rights, brings both opportunities and challenges. However, it stresses the importance of recognizing that governance dynamics extend beyond the digital realm.

A critical aspect that the text underscores is the substantial role that institutional investors play in shaping corporate governance practices and influencing key decisions. It emphasizes the need to understand their impact and the intricate relationships they foster when considering the adoption of tokenization. The introductory perspective aims to shed light on the crucial connection between tokenization and institutional investors in corporate governance.

It highlights the necessity of comprehending how these influential entities navigate the digital transformation to ensure that the adoption of tokenized shares aligns with the broader governance landscape. The exploration aims to unravel the complex relationship between institutional investors and tokenization, providing essential insights into their pivotal role in this evolving governance paradigm.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A comprehensive review of existing research on tokenization and corporate governance was conducted, involving the analysis of academic papers, industry reports, and case studies. The aim was to understand the current state of knowledge, identify key issues, and pinpoint gaps that warrant further investigation. This foundational step is emphasized by Webster and Watson (2002) for its importance in synthesizing existing knowledge and identifying research gaps.

Several recurring themes and patterns were identified, including the fundamental concepts of tokenization, its historical development and practical implementations, benefits and challenges, regulatory and legal aspects, security and risk management, shareholder engagement and governance efficiency, the role of institutional investors, governance and strategic adaptation, environmental and ethical considerations, and future trends and innovations. Kitchenham (2004) highlights the significance of identifying key themes and patterns for developing a coherent research structure.

The research provided a foundational understanding of blockchain technology and tokenization, including definitions and applications. Tokenization involves converting ownership rights into digital tokens on a blockchain, enhancing transparency and efficiency in corporate governance. The historical development and practical implementations of tokenization were explored, noting how blockchain technology has evolved and been adopted in various industries (Tian et al., 2020). The benefits of tokenization include increased transparency and operational efficiency, along with reduced administrative costs. However, it also introduces regulatory uncertainties and security concerns that need to be addressed (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], 2020). The need for clear legal frameworks to govern tokenized assets and ensure compliance with existing regulations was highlighted (Garrido, 2023).

Critical themes included security and risk management, emphasizing best practices to secure tokenized assets against cyber threats like hacking and unauthorized access (Juan et al., 2023). Shareholder engagement and governance efficiency were examined, showing how tokenization can streamline administrative processes and enhance shareholder participation through mechanisms like tokenized voting (Lafarre & Van der Elst, 2018). The role of institutional investors and their impact on the adoption of tokenization in corporate governance was analyzed (Dasgupta et al., 2021). Governance and strategic adaptation were discussed, with a focus on adapting governance practices to maximize the benefits of tokenization and balance different shareholders' interests (Armour et al., 2018). Environmental and ethical considerations addressed the impact of tokenization on reducing paperwork and aligning initiatives with corporate social responsibility goals (Golding et al., 2022). Future trends in tokenization and blockchain technology, including digital currencies and central bank digital currencies (CBDCs), were explored (Yermack, 2017).

Themes were then grouped into broader categories (macro areas) based on relevance and interconnections. For example, themes related to understanding and implementing tokenization were grouped. Miles and Huberman (1994) highlight the importance of data grouping for clarity and organization in qualitative research.

Specific research questions were formulated within each macro area to address detailed aspects of the broader theme. For instance, under "benefits, challenges, and security", questions focused on how tokenization increases transparency in corporate governance, its efficiencies, accessibility impacts, and associated regulatory and security concerns. Crafting these questions aimed to provide a clear direction for future research, aligning with Creswell's (2013) guidance on formulating research questions.

Insights and findings from the review were mapped to the formulated research questions and macro areas. This involved aligning specific pieces of evidence and arguments with the relevant macro areas and subcategories. For example, insights on regulatory challenges and legal frameworks were aligned with questions under the "regulatory, legal, and ethical aspects" macro area. This step ensured the research agenda was grounded in existing knowledge and highlighted gaps needing further exploration. Yin (2014) supports mapping insights to research questions for constructing a robust research framework.

This methodical, step-by-step process moved from the review to the macro areas of the research agenda, ensuring a comprehensive and targeted approach to addressing critical aspects of tokenization in corporate governance. This structured approach, supported by Webster and Watson (2002), Kitchenham (2004), Miles and Huberman (1994), Creswell (2013), and Yin (2014), provides a well-founded research agenda.

4. RESEARCH RESULTS

The development of this framework is driven by the need to thoroughly explore the multifaceted impact of tokenization on corporate governance. Several key factors underpin this exploration, including the rapid adoption of tokenization technologies across various industries, the evolving regulatory landscape surrounding these technologies, and the complex interplay between technological innovation and governance (Heines et al., 2021). Additionally, this framework acknowledges the potential benefits and challenges associated with tokenization, emphasizing the need to adapt corporate governance practices to accommodate this transformative technology. Essentially, it serves as a structured approach to addressing the critical and dynamic issues arising from the integration of tokenization into corporate governance.

Table 1. Research agenda with references table (Part 1)

Research questions	*References*
Understanding tokenization	
What are the fundamental concepts of tokenization in corporate governance?	Natarajan et al. (2017), Crosby et al. (2016), Benedetti and Rodriguez-Garnica (2023), Schmitten et al. (2023)
What technology, processes, and methods are involved in asset tokenization?	
How has tokenization historically developed in various industries?	Tian et al. (2020), Piazza (2017)
Benefits and challenges of tokenization	
How does tokenization increase transparency in corporate governance?	Leiberman and Mirynech (2019), Singh and Strouse (2024), Thetlek et al. (2023)
What efficiencies does tokenization bring, and how does it affect accessibility?	Singh and Strouse (2024), Wright and De Filippi (2015), OECD (2020)
What regulatory challenges and security concerns are associated with tokenization?	Thetlek et al. (2023), OECD (2020), Shi (2023)
Regulatory and legal aspects	
What legal frameworks govern tokenized assets in different jurisdictions?	Ali et al. (2020), Garrido (2023), Daluwathumullagamage and Sims (2020)
What role do government agencies and policymakers play in shaping regulations?	Garrido (2023), Daluwathumullagamage and Sims (2020)
What are the legal implications and compliance requirements for companies adopting tokenization?	Ali et al. (2020), Garrido (2023), Juan et al. (2023)
Security and risk management	
What are the best practices for securing tokenized assets against cyber threats?	Juan et al. (2023), Fischer et al. (2021), Harish et al. (2023)
What risks, like hacking and unauthorized access, are tied to tokenization, and how can they be mitigated?	Juan et al. (2023), Harish et al. (2023), Zutshi et al. (2021)
Shareholder engagement and governance efficiency	
How does tokenization impact shareholder engagement and participation?	Yermack (2017), Lafarre and Van der Elst (2018)
In what ways does tokenization streamline administrative processes and reduce costs?	Schletz et al. (2020), Lafarre and Van der Elst (2018), Malinova and Park (2023)
What role does tokenization play in improving governance efficiency through voting mechanisms and smart contracts?	Yermack (2017), Lafarre and Van der Elst (2018), Schletz et al. (2020)

Table 1. Research agenda with references table (Part 2)

Research questions	References
Globalization and diverse shareholder bases	
How does tokenization expand corporate ownership to global and diverse investors?	Singh and Strouse (2024), Mhlanga (2023), Zutshi et al. (2021)
What challenges and opportunities arise in managing diverse shareholder communities?	
What strategies foster inclusivity and address the needs of a global investor base?	Singh and Strouse (2024), Wöhrmann (2022), Zutshi et al. (2021)
Institutional investors and tokenization	
How do institutional investors influence the adoption of tokenization for corporate assets?	Dasgupta et al. (2021), Bebchuk and Hirst (2019), Heineman and Davis (2011)
What preferences, expectations, and concerns do institutional investors have regarding tokenized assets?	
Can case studies illustrate successful engagement between companies and institutional investors in tokenization adoption?	
Governance and strategic adaptation	
How do companies adapt corporate governance practices to maximize tokenization benefits?	Armour et al. (2018), Fenwick et al. (2019), Kaal (2021)
In what ways can smart contracts be employed for corporate actions and governance processes?	Fenwick et al. (2019), Kaal (2021), Armour et al. (2018)
How do companies balance the interests of short-term and long-term shareholders in a tokenized environment?	Armour et al. (2018), Kaal (2021), Fenwick et al. (2019)
Environmental and ethical considerations	
What is the environmental impact of tokenization, particularly in terms of reduced paperwork?	Golding et al. (2022), Shi (2023), Mansouri and Momtaz (2021)
How can companies align tokenization initiatives with environmental and social responsibility goals?	Golding et al. (2022), Mansouri and Momtaz (2021), Wöhrmann (2022)
What ethical considerations and frameworks are associated with tokenization adoption?	Mansouri and Momtaz (2021), Shi (2023), Wöhrmann (2022)
Future trends and innovations	
What are the emerging trends and innovations in the field of tokenization and corporate governance?	Bas et al. (2024), Piazza (2017), Lesche et al. (2022)
How does blockchain technology shape the future of corporate governance?	
What impact might digital currencies and CBDCs have on tokenization?	Piazza (2017), Lesche et al. (2022), Bas et al. (2024)
Case studies and practical implementations	
How do real-world company case studies illustrate the adoption of tokenization for corporate governance?	Malinova and Park (2023), Tian et al. (2020), Fischer et al. (2021)
What practical effects and costs are associated with tokenization in various industries and organizational contexts?	Malinova and Park (2023), Fischer et al. (2021)
What strategic considerations should companies take into account when implementing tokenization?	
Strategic considerations for companies	
What strategies can companies employ to maximize the benefits of tokenization in corporate governance?	Heines et al. (2021), Clohessy and Acton (2019), Silva et al. (2021)
What budget considerations should be addressed in the adoption of tokenization?	
How can companies efficiently manage both traditional and tokenized voting shares in corporate governance?	
Institutional investor engagement	
What strategic considerations should guide companies in engaging with institutional investors during the tokenization adoption process?	Broccardo et al. (2022), Bebchuk and Tallarita (2020), Heineman et al. (2011)
What are the best practices for collaboration and communication with institutional investors?	
In what ways do institutional investors impact the regulatory landscape for tokenization?	Bebchuk and Tallarita (2020), Hart and Zingales (2017), Heineman et al. (2011)
Role of board members	
What is the critical role of board members in guiding organizations through the adoption of tokenization?	Farnoush et al. (2022), Hu and Bai (2023), Juan et al. (2023)
What responsibilities do board members have in ensuring compliance, security, and shareholder engagement?	
How do board members participate in strategic decision-making and governance adaptation?	
Continuous improvement and future research	
Why is continuous improvement crucial in tokenization practices and corporate governance?	Daluwathumullagamage and Sims (2020), Singh and Strouse (2024), Lee et al. (2021)

This framework is structured around several key themes in tokenization and corporate governance, each supported by pertinent references. It is organized into macro areas, with specific research questions addressing detailed aspects within these broader themes.

Understanding tokenization investigates the core principles of tokenization in corporate governance. This area draws on the work of Natarajan et al. (2017), Crosby et al. (2016), Benedetti and Rodriguez-Garnica (2023), and Schmitten et al. (2023). It examines the technology, processes, and methods involved in asset tokenization, as well as the historical development of tokenization across various industries, referencing Tian et al. (2020), and Piazza (2017).

The *benefits and challenges of tokenization* focus on how tokenization enhances transparency in corporate governance, citing studies by Leiberman and Mirynech (2019), Singh and Strouse (2024), and Thetlek et al. (2023). This section also explores the efficiencies brought by tokenization and its impact on accessibility, drawing from Singh and Strouse (2024), Wright and De Filippi (2015), and OECD (2020). Additionally, it addresses regulatory and security issues associated with tokenization, referencing Thetlek et al. (2023), OECD (2020), and Shi (2023).

Regulatory and legal aspects review the legal frameworks governing tokenized assets in different jurisdictions. Key references include Ali et al. (2020), Garrido (2023), and Daluwathumullagamage and Sims (2020). This area also discusses the influence of government agencies and policymakers on shaping regulations, supported by Garrido (2023) and Daluwathumullagamage and Sims (2020). Furthermore, it explores the legal implications and compliance requirements for companies adopting tokenization, with references to Ali et al. (2020), Garrido (2023), and Juan et al. (2023).

Security and risk management identify best practices for securing tokenized assets against cyber threats, supported by Juan et al. (2023), Fischer et al. (2021), and Harish et al. (2023). It examines risks like hacking and unauthorized access and strategies for mitigation, citing Juan et al. (2023), Harish et al. (2023), and Zutshi et al. (2021).

Shareholder engagement and governance efficiency studies the effect of tokenization on shareholder engagement and participation, with insights from Yermack (2017) and Lafarre and Van der Elst (2018). This section looks at how tokenization streamlines administrative processes and reduces costs, referencing Schletz et al. (2020), Lafarre and Van der Elst (2018), and Malinova and Park (2023). Additionally, it explores the role of tokenization in improving governance efficiency through voting mechanisms and smart contracts, supported by Yermack (2017), Lafarre and Van der Elst (2018), and Schletz et al. (2020).

Globalization and diverse shareholder bases analyze how tokenization expands corporate ownership to global and diverse investors, with references to Singh and Strouse (2024), Mhlanga (2023), and Zutshi et al. (2021). It examines challenges and opportunities in managing diverse shareholder communities, citing the same sources. This section also discusses strategies to foster inclusivity and address global investor needs, supported by Singh and Strouse (2024), Wöhrmann (2022), and Zutshi et al. (2021).

Institutional investors and tokenization studies how institutional investors influence the adoption of tokenization, with insights from Dasgupta et al. (2021), Bebchuk and Hirst (2019), and Heineman et al. (2011). It explores institutional investors' preferences, expectations, and concerns regarding tokenized assets, referencing the same authors. Case studies illustrate successful engagement between companies and institutional investors in tokenization adoption, supported by the same sources.

Governance and strategic adaptation examines how companies adapt corporate governance practices to maximize tokenization benefits, with references to Armour et al. (2018), Fenwick et al. (2019), and Kaal (2021). It discusses the use of smart contracts for corporate actions and governance processes, citing Fenwick et al. (2019), Kaal (2021), and Armour et al. (2018). This section looks at how companies balance short-term and long-term shareholder interests in a tokenized environment, supported by Armour et al. (2018), Kaal (2021), and Fenwick et al. (2019).

Environmental and ethical considerations investigate the environmental impact of tokenization, particularly in terms of reduced paperwork, with insights from Golding et al. (2022), Shi (2023), and Mansouri and Momtaz (2021). It explores how companies can align tokenization initiatives with environmental and social responsibility goals, referencing Golding et al. (2022), Mansouri and Momtaz (2021), and Wöhrmann (2022). Ethical considerations and frameworks associated with tokenization adoption are discussed, citing Mansouri and Momtaz (2021), Shi (2023), and Wöhrmann (2022).

Future trends and innovations examine emerging trends and innovations in tokenization and corporate governance, with references to Bas et al. (2024), Piazza (2017), and Lesche et al. (2022). It explores how blockchain technology will shape the future of corporate governance, supported by Bas et al. (2024), Lesche et al. (2022), and Piazza (2017). This section investigates the impact of digital currencies and CBDCs on tokenization, citing Piazza (2017), Lesche et al. (2022), and Bas et al. (2024).

Case studies and practical implementations illustrate the adoption of tokenization for corporate governance through real-world case studies, supported by Malinova and Park (2023), Tian et al. (2020), and Fischer et al. (2021). It examines the practical effects and costs associated with tokenization in various industries, referencing Malinova and Park (2023), and Fischer et al. (2021). This section discusses strategic considerations for companies implementing tokenization, with insights from Malinova and Park (2023), and Fischer et al. (2021).

Strategic considerations for companies explore strategies companies can employ to maximize the benefits of tokenization, citing Heines et al. (2021), Clohessy and Acton (2019), and Silva et al. (2021). It examines budget considerations in the adoption of tokenization, supported by Heines et al. (2021), Silva et al. (2021), and Clohessy and Acton (2019). This section discusses how companies can efficiently manage both traditional and tokenized voting shares in corporate governance, referencing Silva et al. (2021), Clohessy and Acton (2019), and Heines et al. (2021).

Institutional investor engagement looks at strategic considerations for engaging institutional investors during tokenization adoption, with insights from Broccardo et al. (2022), Bebchuk and Tallarita (2020), and Heineman et al. (2011). It identifies best practices for collaboration and communication with institutional investors, supported by Broccardo et al. (2022), Heineman et al. (2011), and Bebchuk and Tallarita (2020). This section explores how institutional investors impact the regulatory landscape for tokenization, citing Bebchuk and Tallarita (2020), Hart and Zingales (2017), and Heineman et al. (2011).

The *role of board members* investigates the critical role of board members in guiding organizations through the adoption of tokenization, with references to Farnoush et al. (2022), Hu and Bai (2023), and Juan et al. (2023). It discusses the responsibilities of board members in ensuring compliance, security, and shareholder engagement, citing Farnoush et al. (2022), Hu and Bai (2023), and Juan et al. (2023). This section explores how board members participate in strategic decision-making and governance adaptation, supported by the same authors.

Continuous improvement and future research emphasize the importance of continuous improvement in tokenization practices and corporate governance, citing Daluwathumullagamage and Sims (2020), Singh and Strouse (2024), and Lee et al. (2021).

This research framework was developed based on the compelling need to comprehensively understand and address the profound impact of tokenization on corporate governance, driven by several key factors. First and foremost, the rapid evolution and adoption of tokenization technologies across various industries demand an in-depth exploration of their implications. Tokenization has shifted from theoretical discourse to practical application, necessitating a structured approach to its study.

5. DISCUSSION

This section compares blockchain platforms with traditional financial markets, focusing on liquidity, regulatory frameworks, accessibility, transparency, efficiency, stability, trust, regulatory challenges, and security concerns. This study investigates the inefficiencies and lack of transparency in corporate governance and explores how tokenization can transform ownership structures and enhance governance transparency.

In terms of liquidity, traditional financial markets are well-known for their high liquidity, which is facilitated by established systems and networks that enable the rapid execution of large transaction volumes. These markets have been built over decades, ensuring trust and stability among participants (Wu et al., 2024). Blockchain platforms, on the other hand, have the potential to enhance market liquidity by allowing round-the-clock trading and lowering entry barriers (Karadag et al., 2024). Blockchain technology supports fractional ownership, attracting a broader range of investors and increasing overall market activity. However, liquidity on blockchain platforms can vary significantly due to factors like market adoption, regulatory clarity, and sufficient trading volume (Zutshi et al., 2021).

Regarding regulatory frameworks, traditional financial markets operate within well-defined regulations designed to protect investors and ensure market integrity (Ali et al., 2020). These regulations involve stringent requirements for disclosures, reporting, and compliance, which have evolved to maintain trust and stability. Conversely, blockchain technology presents new challenges for regulatory oversight due to its decentralized nature (Zutshi et al., 2021). Regulatory bodies are still adapting to blockchain's unique aspects, such as jurisdictional issues and the enforcement of smart contracts. Innovative regulatory approaches are required to address these challenges and ensure that blockchain platforms operate transparently and securely (Karadag et al., 2024).

Accessibility in traditional financial markets often has high barriers to entry, including high costs, complex regulations, and the need for intermediaries (Wu et al., 2024). These barriers can limit access for smaller investors. In contrast, blockchain platforms are praised for their potential to democratize access to financial markets by enabling direct participation and offering fractional ownership (Singh & Strouse, 2024). This democratization can increase market participation and provide investment opportunities to a more diverse group of investors. However, the benefits of enhanced accessibility must be balanced with the need for robust investor protection and education.

Blockchain's enhanced transparency, due to its immutable and public ledger, is well-documented. It can significantly reduce fraud and improve accountability by providing real-time visibility into asset ownership and transactions (Leiberman & Mirynech, 2019; Singh & Strouse, 2024). Additionally, the efficiency gains from blockchain technology, including faster transactions and reduced costs, are detailed by Wright and De Filippi (2015). These efficiencies result from the elimination of intermediaries and automation of processes through smart contracts.

Despite blockchain's advantages, traditional financial markets are still considered more stable and reliable, supported by extensive research (Ali et al., 2020). The perceived stability and established trust in traditional markets contrast with the volatility and nascent nature of blockchain-based instruments (Singh & Strouse, 2024). Blockchain technology, still in its early stages, is subject to significant market fluctuations and uncertainties, impacting investor confidence.

While tokenization offers benefits such as lower costs, faster settlements, and increased accessibility, it also presents challenges like regulatory uncertainties, security concerns, and the need for robust dispute resolution mechanisms (Thetlek et al., 2023). Regulators must adapt to the evolving technology to maintain corporate governance standards, potentially introducing regulatory uncertainties. Security remains a significant concern, as hacking risks persist despite blockchain's inherent security features. Inadequately secured tokenized assets can disrupt corporate governance (Juan et al., 2023). Regulatory compliance is crucial as governance practices must align with evolving regulations.

An unexpected finding was the significant variation in liquidity among different blockchain platforms, influenced by factors like regulatory clarity and market adoption rates. This finding underscores the importance of regulatory frameworks in stabilizing and enhancing blockchain platform liquidity.

Potential limitations of this study include the rapidly evolving nature of blockchain technology and regulatory frameworks, which may affect the generalizability of the findings. The novelty of blockchain technology also means long-term data is limited, impacting the robustness of conclusions drawn.

6. CONCLUSION

This study highlights the transformative potential of tokenization in corporate governance, emphasizing its capacity to address inefficiencies and transparency issues inherent in traditional models. By leveraging blockchain technology, tokenization offers a decentralized and immutable ledger for recording ownership and governance activities, significantly enhancing shareholder communication and decision-making processes.

The integration of tokenization into corporate governance marks a pivotal shift, offering a pathway to democratize shareholder participation, streamline processes, and improve transparency and accountability. This transformation is crucial for creating more democratic, transparent, and efficient corporate governance structures, mitigating problems such as centralized control, limited transparency, and communication barriers that often lead to conflicts of interest and agency issues.

A comprehensive literature review synthesized existing studies and identified gaps in the current understanding of tokenization's impact on corporate governance. Key themes explored include the role of institutions and governance mechanisms, blockchain technology's potential to enhance transparency and reduce intermediaries, and the practical applications of blockchain in boosting shareholder engagement and decision-making.

The study also examined the evolving legal frameworks and regulatory challenges associated with tokenized governance, highlighting the need for regulatory clarity to facilitate widespread adoption. A comparative analysis of blockchain platforms and traditional financial markets revealed unique advantages and challenges, particularly regarding liquidity, regulatory frameworks, accessibility, transparency, efficiency, stability, trust, and security concerns.

While blockchain platforms offer significant advantages, such as enhanced transparency, efficiency, and accessibility, they also face challenges, including regulatory uncertainties and security issues. The findings underscore the importance of innovative regulatory approaches to accommodate blockchain's unique characteristics and the need for robust security measures to ensure the stability and reliability of blockchain platforms.

This agenda provides a structured framework for investigating the multifaceted impact of tokenization on corporate governance. By addressing key components such as liquidity, regulatory frameworks, accessibility, transparency, efficiency, stability, trust, and security concerns, this study contributes to the growing body of knowledge in this emerging area and offers a robust foundation for future inquiry.

Future efforts should focus on developing robust regulatory frameworks and security measures, along with ongoing education and investor protection initiatives, to support the democratization of financial markets through blockchain technology. This study aims to bridge existing gaps and provide a detailed analysis of the transformative potential of tokenization in corporate governance, emphasizing its practical and theoretical significance.

The study's findings may be affected by the rapidly evolving nature of blockchain technology and the regulatory frameworks governing tokenization. As both the technology and its regulatory environment are still in their early stages, the conclusions drawn may not fully capture future developments and their potential impact on corporate governance. Due to the novelty of tokenization, there is a lack of long-term data on its effects and implications. This limitation impacts the robustness of the study's conclusions, as longer-term trends and outcomes remain uncertain and unobserved at this stage

In conclusion, this study outlines a comprehensive agenda for exploring how tokenization can transform corporate governance. By enhancing transparency and ownership structures, tokenization has the potential to revolutionize the way corporations are managed and governed, ultimately leading to a more efficient and equitable corporate landscape.

REFERENCES

Akgiray, V. (2019). *The potential for blockchain technology in corporate governance* (OECD Corporate Governance Working Paper No. 21). Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). https://doi.org/10.1787/22230939

Ali, O., Ally, M., Clutterbuck, P., & Dwivedi, Y. (2020). The state of play of blockchain technology in the financial services sector: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Information Management*, *54*, Article 102199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102199

Allen, D. W. E, & Berg, C. (2020). Blockchain governance: What we can learn from the economics of corporate governance. *The Journal of The British Blockchain Association, 3*(1). https://doi.org/10.31585/jbba-3-1-(8)2020

Armour, J., Enriques, L., Ezrachi, A., & Vella, J. (2018). Putting technology to good use for society: The role of corporate, competition and tax law. *Journal of the British Academy*, *6*(s1), 285–321. https://doi.org/10.5871/jba/006s1.285

Bas, T., Malki, I., & Sivaprasad, S. (2024). Connectedness between central bank digital currency index, financial stability and digital assets. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money, 92*, Article 101981. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2024.101981

Bebchuk, L. A., & Hirst, S. (2019). *Index funds and the future of corporate governance: Theory, evidence, and policy* (NBER Working Paper No. W26543). National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER). https://doi.org/10.3386/w26543

Bebchuk, L. A., & Hirst, S. (2019). *The specter of the giant three* (NBER Working Paper No. W25914). National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER). https://doi.org/10.3386/w25914

Bebchuk, L. A., & Tallarita, R. (2020). The illusory promise of stakeholder governance. *Cornell Law Review, 106*(91), 91–178. https://www.cornelllawreview.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/The-Illusory-Promise-of-Stakeholder-Governance.pdf

Bebchuk, L. A., Cohen, A., & Hirst, S. (2017). The agency problems of institutional investors. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 31*(3), 89–112. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.3.89

Benedetti, H., & Rodríguez-Garnica, G. (2023). Tokenized assets and securities. In H. K. Baker, H. Benedetti, E. Nikbakht, & S. S. Smith (Eds.), *The Emerald handbook on cryptoassets: Investment opportunities and challenges* (pp. 107–121). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80455-320-620221008

Boskou, G., Kirkos, E., & Spathis, C. (2018). Assessing internal audit with text mining. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*, *17*(02), Article 1850020. https://doi.org/10.1142/S021964921850020X

Boskou, G., Kirkos, E., & Spathis, C. (2019). Classifying internal audit quality using textual analysis: The case of auditor selection. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, *34*(8), 924–950. https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-01-2018-1785

Broccardo, E., Hart, O., & Zingales, L. (2022). Exit versus voice. *Journal of Political Economy*, *130*(12), 3101–3145. https://doi.org/10.1086/720516

Catea, R.-M. (2023). Blockchain in companies law. *Challenges of the Knowledge Society*, *16*(1) 123–129. https://doaj.org/article/cf294f5dc318479a9c25cd3e65aa880e
Clohessy, T., & Acton, T. (2019). Investigating the influence of organizational factors on blockchain adoption: An innovation theory perspective. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, *119*(7), 1457–1491. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-08-2018-0365
Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
Crosby, M., Pattanayak, P., Verma, S., & Kalyanaraman, V. (2016). Blockchain technology: Beyond bitcoin. *Applied Innovation*, *2*, 6–10. https://scet.berkeley.edu/reports/blockchain/
Daluwathumullagamage, D. J., & Sims, A. (2020). Blockchain-enabled corporate governance and regulation. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, *8*(2), Article 36. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs8020036
Dasgupta, A., Fos, V., & Sautner, Z. (2021). Institutional investors and corporate governance. *Foundations and Trends in Finance*, *12*(4), 276–394. https://doi.org/10.1561/0500000056
Dutta, S. K. (2020). *The definitive guide to blockchain for accounting and business: Understanding the revolutionary technology*. Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/9781789738650
Farnoush, A., Gupta, A., Dolarsara, H. A., Paradice, D., & Rao, S. (2022). Going beyond intent to adopt blockchain: An analytics approach to understand board member and financial health characteristics. *Annals of Operations Research*, *308*(1–2), 93–123. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-021-04113-0
Fenwick, M., McCahery, J. A., & Vermeulen, E. P. (2019). The end of "corporate" governance: Hello "platform" governance. *European Business Organization Law Review*, *20*, 171–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40804-019-00137-z
Ferreira, D., Li, J., & Nikolowa, R. (2023). Corporate capture of blockchain governance. *The Review of Financial Studies*, *36*(4), 1364–1407. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhac051
Fischer, C., Hebbale, C., Poll, J., & Wetklow, M. (2021). Internal control over blockchain: Can reduce the burden of financial audits and reporting. *The Journal of Government Financial Management*, *70*(3), 12–18. https://www.proquest.com/docview/2641065780?fromopenview=true&pq-origsite=gscholar&sourcetype =Scholarly%20Journals
Furnari, S. L. (2021). Through equity crowdfunding evolution and involution: Initial coin offering and initial exchange offering. *Lex Russica*, *1*(170), 101–117. https://doi.org/10.17803/1729-5920.2021.170.1.101-117
Garrido, J. M. (2023). *Digital tokens: A legal perspective* (IMF Working Papers No. WP/23/151). International Monetary Fund (IMF). https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400250149.001
Golding, O., Yu, G., Lu, Q., & Xu, X. (2022). Carboncoin: Blockchain tokenization of carbon emissions with ESG-based reputation. In *2022 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain and Cryptocurrency* (ICBC). Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBC54727.2022.9805516
Harish, A. R., Wu, W., Li, M., & Huang, G. Q. (2023). Blockchain-enabled digital asset tokenization for crowdsensing in environmental, social, and governance disclosure. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 185, Article 109664. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2023.109664
Hart, O., & Zingales, L. (2017). *Companies should maximize shareholder welfare not market value* (ECGI — Finance Working Paper No. 521/2017). European Corporate Governance Institute (ECGI). https://doi.org/10.2139 /ssrn.3004794
Heineman, B. W., Jr., & Davis, S. (2011). *Are institutional investors part of the problem or part of the solution? Key descriptive and prescriptive questions about shareholders' role in U.S. public equity markets*. Committee for Economic Development (CED). https://www.ced.org/pdf/Are-Institutional-Investors-Part-of-the-Problem-or-Part-of-the-Solution.pdf
Heines, R., Dick, C., Pohle, C., & Jung, R. (2021). The tokenization of everything: Towards a framework for understanding the potentials of tokenized assets. In *Proceedings of the PACIS 2021: Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems*. Association for Information System (AIS). https://www.researchgate .net/publication/352903703
Howell, S. T., Niessner, M., & Yermack, D. (2020). Initial coin offerings: Financing growth with cryptocurrency token sales. *The Review of Financial Studies*, *33*(9), 3925–3974. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhz131
Hu, Y., & Bai, D. (2023). The intersection of tokenomics and corporate governance: An exploratory fsQCA examination of leading firms. In *2023 4th International Conference on Management Science and Engineering Management (ICMSEM 2023)* (pp. 1196–1202). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-256-9_121
Juan, A. A., Perez-Bernabeu, E., Li, Y., Martin, X. A., Ammouriova, M., & Barrios, B. B. (2023). Tokenized markets using blockchain technology: Exploring recent developments and opportunities. *Information*, *14*(6), Article 347. https://doi.org/10.3390/info14060347
Kaal, W. A. (2021). Blockchain solutions for agency problems in corporate governance. In K. R. Balachandran (Eds.), *Information for efficient decision making: Big data, blockchain and relevance* (pp. 313–329). World Scientific. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789811220470_0012
Kaal, W. A. (2021). Blockchain-based corporate governance. *Stanford Journal of Blockchain Law & Policy*, *4*(1), 19–10. http://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3441904
Karadag, B., Zaim, A. H., & Akbulut, A. (2024). *Blockchain in finance: A systematic literature review*. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202402.0690.v2
Kim, H. Y., & Cho, J.-S. (2018). Data governance framework for big data implementation with NPS case analysis in Korea. *Journal of Business and Retail Management Research*, *12*(3), 36–46. https://doi.org/10.24052/JBRMR /V12IS03/ART-04
Kitchenham, B. (2004). *Procedures for performing systematic reviews* (NICTA Technical Report 0400011T.1). Keele University. https://www.inf.ufsc.br/~aldo.vw/kitchenham.pdf
Lafarre, A., & Van der Elst, C. (2018). *Blockchain technology for corporate governance and shareholder activism* (Law Working Paper No. 390). European Corporate Governance Institute (ECGI). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3135209
Lafarre, A., & Van der Elst, C. (2023). *The viability of blockchain in corporate governance* (Law Working Paper No. 712). European Corporate Governance Institute (ECGI). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4483621
Lee, M., Martin, A., & Townsend, R. M. (2021). *Optimal design of tokenized markets*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3820973

Leiberman, B., & Mirynech, D. (2019). Digital assets: The era of tokenized securities. *Special Feature: Cutting-Edge Innovation in the Cryptosphere*, 25–31. https://www.jpmcc-gcard.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Page-SF25_-31-Summer-2019-GCARD-Leiberman-final.pdf

Lesche, P., Sandner, P., & Treiblmaier, H. (2022). Implications of the token economy: A taxonomy and research agenda. In M. C. Lacity & H. Treiblmaier (Eds.), *Blockchains and the token economy: Theory and practice* (pp. 1–30). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-95108-5_1

Malinova, K., & Park, A. (2023). *Tokenized stocks for trading and capital raising*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4365241

Mansouri, S., & Momtaz, P. P. (2021). *Financing sustainable entrepreneurship: ESG measurement, valuation, and performance in token offerings*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3844259

Mhlanga, D. (2023). Blockchain technology for digital financial inclusion in the industry 4.0, towards sustainable development? *Frontiers in Blockchain*, *6*, Article 1035405. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2023.1035405

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Natarajan, H., Krause, S., & Gradstein, H. (2017). *Distributed ledger technology and blockchain* (FinTech Note No. 1). International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/29053

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2020). *The tokenisation of assets and potential implications for financial markets*. https://doi.org/10.1787/83493d34-en

Piazza, F. S. (2017). Bitcoin and the blockchain as possible corporate governance tools: Strengths and weaknesses. *Law Reviews and Journals*, *5*(2), 263–301. https://elibrary.law.psu.edu/jlia/vol5/iss2/3/

Schletz, M., Nassiry, D., & Lee, M. K. (2020). *Blockchain and tokenized securities: The potential for green finance* (ADBI Working Paper No. 1079). Asian Development Bank Institute (ADBI). https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/566271/adbi-wp1079.pdf

Schmitten, J.-P., Augart, G., & Hüsig, S. (2023). Decentralized blockchain governance and transaction costs in digital transformation: The case of the DAO revisited. In *2023 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET)*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). https://doi.org/10.23919/PICMET59654.2023.10216831

Shi, J. (2023). Decoding the future of finance: An examination of asset tokenization and Web 3.0. *Eximia Journal*, *11*, 257–263. https://doi.org/10.47577/eximia.v11i1.303

Silva, R., Inácio, H., & Marques, R. P. (2021). Effective and potential implications of blockchain technology for auditing. In Á. Rocha, H. Adeli, G. Dzemyda, F. Moreira, & A. M. R. Correia (Eds.), *Trends and applications in information systems and technologies* (Vol. 4, pp. 435–451). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72654-6_42

Silva, R., Inácio, H., & Marques, R. P. (2022). Blockchain implications for auditing: A systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Digital Accounting Research*, *22*, 163–192. https://doi.org/10.4192/1577-8517-v22_6

Silva, R., Marques, R. P., & Inácio, H. (2024). A design for tokenization in governmental investment. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, *32*(1), 19–39. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-03-2023-0070

Singh, A. K., & Strouse, D. J. (2024). *Tokenization counts: The impact of tokenization on arithmetic in frontier LLMs*. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.14903

Singh, D. S. (2024). Decentralized finance (DeFi): Exploring the role of blockchain and cryptocurrency in financial ecosystems. *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science*, *6*(1), 2888–2892. https://www.irjmets.com/uploadedfiles/paper/issue_1_january_2024/48585/final/fin_irjmets1705996728.pdf

Singh, H., Jain, G., Munjal, A., & Rakesh, S. (2019). Blockchain technology in corporate governance: Disrupting chain reaction or not? *Corporate Governance*, *20*(1), 67–86. https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-07-2018-0261

Thetlek, R., Kraiwanit, T., Jangjarat, K., Limna, P., & Shaengchart, Y. (2023). The token economy in a developing country [Special issue]. *Journal of Governance & Regulation*, *12*(3), 368–376. https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv12i3siart18

Tian, Y., Adriaens, P., Minchin, R. E., Chang, C., Lu, Z., & Qi, C. (2020). *Asset tokenization: A blockchain solution to financing infrastructure in emerging markets and developing economies* (Institute of Global Finance Working Paper). Institute of Global Finance. http://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3837703

Toygar, A., Rohm Jr, C. E., & Zhu, J. (2013). A new asset type: Digital assets. *Journal of International Technology and Information Management*, *22*(4), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.58729/1941-6679.1024

Wang, C. (2023). Trading securities as digital tokens. *The University of New Hampshire Law Review, 22*, 39–92. https://ssrn.com/abstract=4341289

Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. *MIS Quarterly, 26*(2), 13–23. https://www.jstor.org/stable/4132319

Wöhrmann, A. (2022). ESG — A transformational journey for asset management, industries, technology, and society. In H. Bril, G. Kell, & A. Rasche (Eds.), *Sustainability, technology, and finance*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003262039-4

Wright, A., & De Filippi, P. (2015). *Decentralized blockchain technology and the rise of lex cryptographia*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2580664

Wu, H., Yao, Q., Liu, Z., Huang, B., Zhuang, Y., Tang, H., & Liu, E. (2024). Blockchain for finance: A survey. *IET Blockchain*, *4*(2), 101–123. https://doi.org/10.1049/blc2.12067

Yermack, D. (2017). Corporate governance and blockchains. *Review of Finance*, *21*(1), 7–31. https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfw074

Yin, R. K. (2014). *Case study research: Design and methods*. SAGE Publications. https://archive.org/details/casestudyresearc0000yinr/mode/2up

Yusuf, M., Hakim, L., Hendra, J., Kamar, K., Idawati, W., Winarso, E., Meiden, C., & Fahlevi, M. (2023). Blockchain technology for corporate governance and IT governance: A financial perspective. *International Journal of Data and Network Science, 7*, 927–932. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2022.12.018

Zutshi, A., Grilo, A., & Nodehi, T. (2021). The value proposition of blockchain technologies and its impact on digital platforms. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *155*, Article 107187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2021.107187