

TOKENIZATION IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE: TRANSFORMING OWNERSHIP AND TRANSPARENCY

Martino Agostini *

* University of Antwerp, Antwerpen, Belgium



How to cite: Agostini, M. (2024). Tokenization in corporate governance: Transforming ownership and transparency. In T. O. Sigurjonsson, A. Kostyuk, & D. Govorun (Eds.), *Corporate governance: Participants, mechanisms and performance* (pp. 44–50). Virtus Interpress. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cgpmpp9>

Copyright © 2024 The Author

Received: 15.10.2023
Accepted: 27.10.2023
Keywords: Corporate Governance, Blockchain, Tokenized Share, Corporate Voting, Shareholder Rights, Stakeholder Involvement
JEL Classification: G32, G34, K10, K12, L14, M20
DOI: 10.22495/cgpmpp9

Abstract

The intersection of corporate governance and tokenization is a rapidly evolving field that presents gaps in our understanding. While previous research has primarily focused on the technical and legal aspects of tokenizing shares, it has often overlooked the practical and managerial implications for corporate governance. This knowledge gap is especially concerning given the increasing global adoption of tokens, which directly affects governance structures.

The trajectory of blockchain technology has undergone various phases. Initially, it was seen as a versatile tool with applications such as share trading and the potential to replace traditional corporate boards with decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs). However, significant technological challenges have arisen, including issues of interoperability and the creation of cryptocurrencies without a well-established foundation, necessitating a fundamental shift in our awareness.

The nascent opportunity lies in the tokenization of shares, which is still in its early stages. It is imperative to investigate and delineate potential scenarios and implications for corporate governance. This exploration not only provides an avenue for scholars to delve into this innovative field but also triggers new dynamics among stakeholders.

Practitioners find themselves at the forefront, adapting to regulatory guidelines for blockchain platforms. The primary objective of this study is to delve into the multifaceted risks and opportunities associated with share tokenization and its profound impact on corporate governance. Given the uncharted nature of this evolving domain, the primary goal is to establish a comprehensive research agenda that supports more in-depth studies and academic inquiries in this field.

In the world of finance and beyond, blockchain is a digitally distributed, decentralized ledger across a computer network. It plays a vital role in facilitating the recording of transactions by creating a new block and permanently appending it to the existing chain every time new data is added, ensuring a lack of centralized control or single points of failure. In conjunction with blockchain, smart contracts emerge as self-executing software programs. These contracts operate autonomously, triggered when predefined conditions are met, such as the terms agreed upon by a buyer and seller. Crucially, they are coded within the blockchain, rendering them immutable and resistant to alteration.

Moreover, the concept of digital assets and tokens takes shape in this digital era. These assets, existing solely in digital form, encompass a wide array, from cryptocurrencies and stablecoins to central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) and non-fungible tokens (NFTs). They also include tokenized versions of real-world assets, such as art, concert tickets, and corporate shares, which can be efficiently traded on blockchain platforms, increasing liquidity and accessibility.

In the ever-evolving landscape of blockchain technology and finance, we've explored significant concepts like initial coin offerings (ICO), initial exchange offerings (IEO), and security token offerings (STO), and tokenized securities, which have reshaped the way we view fundraising, investment, and the tokenization of traditional assets. Building upon these ideas, tokenized shares with voting rights emerges as a groundbreaking concept. It refers to the digital representation of company shares on a blockchain, combined with the ability of token holders to participate in corporate governance by exercising voting rights.

This innovative approach not only facilitates share trading efficiency but also empowers shareholders to influence key corporate decisions, such as electing board members or approving major business strategies. The interconnection between tokenized shares with voting rights and corporate governance is profound. Traditionally, corporate governance has been the domain of established shareholders, often large institutional investors. However, by tokenizing shares and incorporating voting rights, this concept democratizes the corporate decision-making process, opening the door for a broader spectrum of shareholders, including retail investors and small stakeholders, to have a say in how a company is run. This transformation in corporate governance can

enhance transparency and accountability within organizations, aligning the interests of shareholders with those of the company’s management.

Shareholders can more easily voice their opinions and influence key decisions, ultimately fostering a more inclusive and equitable corporate governance structure. Moreover, tokenized shares with voting rights have the potential to revolutionize shareholder engagement, proxy voting, and the way corporations interact with their investors. It aligns with the evolving regulatory landscape and may lead to regulatory adaptations that recognize the validity of digital tokens in corporate governance. In summary, tokenized shares with voting rights represents a powerful synergy between blockchain technology, financial innovation, and corporate governance. It ushers in a new era where shareholders of all sizes have the opportunity to actively participate in shaping the future of the companies they invest in, fostering a more transparent and equitable corporate landscape.

Our journey into the evolving landscape of technology and corporate governance begins with Yermack’s (2017) seminal paper, which explores the potential implications of blockchain technology for corporate governance. Further insights are provided by various authors, highlighting the role of legal frameworks in technology’s impact on corporate, competition, and tax law.

Other authors delve into the concept of ‘corporate capture’ in blockchain governance, examine cryptocurrency token sales and ICOs as a means of financing corporate growth, investigate the transition from ‘corporate’ to ‘platform’ governance in decentralized platforms, and assess the applications of blockchain technology in enhancing shareholder engagement. Additionally, the text delves into the role of institutional investors in corporate governance, addressing agency problems faced by institutional investors and their influence on corporate governance.

The adoption of tokenization in corporate governance signifies a transformative shift, with a primary focus on understanding how governance dynamics are evolving in the digital age. This innovative process involves the digitization of ownership records for various assets through blockchain technology, creating digital ownership certificates and enhancing ownership versatility. It is projected that by 2030, the tokenization of real-world assets, especially in real estate and financial sectors, will reach a staggering value of sixteen trillion dollars, promising lower costs, faster settlements, and increased accessibility to financial assets.

However, while tokenization brings notable advantages, it also introduces challenges, particularly on the regulatory and security fronts. The implementation of tokenization for corporate shares requires careful consideration of the legal framework, the selection of secure blockchain technologies, educating shareholders, ensuring transparency, and the implementation of robust cybersecurity measures. While tokenization

provides opportunities for improved corporate governance, it necessitates regulatory adaptations to clarify token rights and the creation of precise budgets for adoption, a process that can be complex and depends on individual circumstances and requirements. Additionally, institutional investors play a crucial role in shaping corporate governance practices within this transformative landscape.

The adoption of tokenization is driven by various factors, including the regulatory environment, transparency enhancement, administrative efficiency, global reach, innovation, competitive advantage, smart contracts, error reduction, shareholder demands, cost-benefit analysis, educational initiatives, and social responsibility considerations. Companies must consider a combination of these drivers in their adoption of decisions.

The research paper by Dasgupta et al. (2021) holds significant importance as it delves into the pivotal role of institutional investors in corporate governance. The paper likely explores how institutional investors, such as pension funds and mutual funds, wield substantial influence over corporate decision-making, aiming to align their interests with those of individual shareholders and promote long-term shareholder welfare. It addresses agency problems these investors face and discusses their engagement in shareholder activism to drive governance improvements. Moreover, the paper examines the regulatory framework governing institutional investors and considers their impact on financial markets. Understanding the dynamics between institutional investors and corporate governance is crucial for enhancing governance standards, regulatory oversight, and overall financial market stability.

The tokenization of shares in corporate governance, as advocated by Bebchuk and Tallarita (2020), Hart and Zingales (2017), and Broccardo et al. (2022), offers innovative solutions to key challenges in the field. Tokenization allows for enhanced stakeholder engagement, aligns shareholder interests with token holders, improves governance transparency, and addresses regulatory compliance. By incorporating tokenization, companies can foster more direct stakeholder engagement, encourage long-term shareholder welfare, enhance governance transparency through blockchain technology, and ensure compliance with evolving legal and regulatory frameworks. This integration of tokenization provides a dynamic approach to bridging the gap between shareholder interests, stakeholder engagement, and regulatory compliance, ultimately promoting more effective and equitable corporate governance practices.

Engaging with institutional investors in the adoption of tokenization is a strategic process (Heineman et al., 2011). Engaging institutional investors in the adoption of tokenization within corporate governance requires transparent communication, alignment with investor priorities, and collaborative initiatives. Companies should identify key stakeholders among institutional investors and share their

tokenization plans and benefits openly. Providing educational materials and addressing questions while actively listening to feedback is crucial. Aligning tokenization strategies with investors' interests, such as transparency, long-term value, or environmental, social, and corporate governance (ESG) considerations, is essential. Workshops, pilot programs, and shared risk assessments can strengthen the relationship. Advocating for investor-friendly regulations and maintaining a feedback loop ensures continuous improvement, fostering a transparent and inclusive corporate governance framework through tokenization.

Board members play a critical role in the adoption of tokenization technology for corporate governance (Farnoush et al., 2022). To fulfil this role effectively, they must undergo an educational journey to understand the technology and its implications. Aligning the adoption with the company's strategic goals and shareholders' interests is pivotal. Establishing a specialized tokenization committee within the board ensures efficient governance throughout the adoption process, and engagement with shareholders is crucial for informed decision-making. Board members also need to closely collaborate with legal experts to ensure legal and regulatory compliance, select technology partners, and oversee cybersecurity measures and transparent record-keeping on the blockchain (Hu & Bai, 2023). Setting up systems for monitoring regulatory changes, security measures and shareholder engagement is essential. Governance practices should adapt to incorporate smart contracts for corporate actions, and cybersecurity preparedness is a non-negotiable element.

The provided research agenda outlines a comprehensive exploration of the impact of tokenization on corporate governance, addressing various facets and challenges associated with this transformative technology (Heines et al., 2021). It delves into essential topics, including understanding tokenization, its benefits and challenges, regulatory and legal aspects, security and risk management, shareholder engagement, globalization, institutional investors, governance adaptation, environmental and ethical considerations, future trends, practical implementations, strategic considerations for companies, institutional investor engagement, the role of board members, and the need for continuous improvement in this evolving landscape (Lesche et al., 2022).

This research agenda is driven by the real-world adoption of tokenization technologies across diverse industries, the dynamic regulatory environment, and the intricate interplay between technology and governance. Tokenization's potential benefits in terms of transparency, efficiency, and accessibility are balanced with challenges such as regulatory complexity and cybersecurity vulnerabilities. As a structured framework, the research agenda provides guidance to comprehensively address the multifaceted issues arising from the integration of tokenization into corporate governance, thus promoting a deeper understanding of this transformative technology's impact on the corporate landscape.

Tokenization’s integration into corporate governance signifies a transformative paradigm shift, offering enhanced transparency, operational efficiency, and accessibility. Active board member engagement, collaboration, and regulatory compliance are essential for successful implementation. It holds the promise of improved governance, adaptability to dynamic industries, enhanced accessibility, and superior risk management. Notably, institutional investors are instrumental in providing financial support, credibility, market insights, and risk management expertise, propelling tokenization adoption. Research exploring tokenization’s impact on corporate governance, particularly in reshaping ownership and transparency, adds to our understanding of how digital innovations redefine governance and ownership structures. Future studies should focus on institutional investors’ evolving roles, their influence on governance, and regulatory responses to drive innovation in corporate governance.

REFERENCES

1. Bebchuk, L. A., & Tallarita, R. (2020). The illusory promise of stakeholder governance. *Cornell Law Review*, 106, 91–178. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3544978>
2. Bebchuk, L. A., Cohen, A., & Hirst, S. (2017). The agency problems of institutional investors. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31(3), 89–112. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.3.89>
3. Benedetti, H., & Rodríguez-Garnica, G. (2023). Tokenized assets and securities. In H. K. Baker, H. Benedetti, E. Nikbakht, & S. S. Smith (Eds.) *The Emerald handbook on cryptoassets: Investment opportunities and challenges* (pp. 107–121). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80455-320-620221008>
4. Broccardo, E., Hart, O., & Zingales, L. (2022). Exit versus voice. *Journal of Political Economy*, 130(12). <https://doi.org/10.1086/720516>
5. Dasgupta, A., Fos, V., & Sautner, Z. (2021). Institutional investors and corporate governance. *Foundations and Trends® in Finance*, 12(4), 276–394. <https://doi.org/10.1561/05000000056>
6. Derbali, A., Jamel, L., Mani, Y., & Al Harbi, R. (2019). How will blockchain change corporate governance. *International Journal of Business and Risk Management*, 2(1), 16–18. <https://www.sciepub.com/ijbrm/abstract/10987>
7. Fahlevi, M., Moeljadi, M., Aisjah, S., & Djazuli, A. (2022). Blockchain security and corporate governance. In *Proceedings of the 2022 4th International Conference on Cybernetics and Intelligent System (ICORIS)* (pp 1–5). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICORIS56080.2022.10031537>
8. Farnoush, A., Gupta, A., Dolarsara, H. A., Paradise, D., & Rao, S. (2022). Going beyond intent to adopt blockchain: An analytics approach to understand board member and financial health characteristics. *Annals of Operations Research*, 308(1–2), 93–123. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-021-04113-0>
9. Fenwick, M., McCahery, J. A., & Vermeulen, E. P. M. (2019). The end of ‘corporate’ governance: Hello ‘platform’ governance. *European Business Organization Law Review*, 20, 171–199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40804-019-00137-z>

10. Ferreira, D., Li, J., & Nikolowa, R. (2023). Corporate capture of blockchain governance. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 36(4), 1364–1407. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhac051>
11. Hart, O., & Zingales, L. (2017). Companies should maximize shareholder welfare not market value. *Journal of Law, Finance, and Accounting*, 2(2), 247–275. <https://doi.org/10.1561/108.00000022>
12. Heineman, B. W., Jr., & Davis, S. (2011). *Are institutional investors part of the problem or part of the solution?* Millstein Center for Corporate Governance and Performance. <https://www.ced.org/pdf/Are-Institutional-Investors-Part-of-the-Problem-or-Part-of-the-Solution.pdf>
13. Heines, R., Dick, C., Pohle, C., & Jung, R. (2021). The tokenization of everything: Towards a framework for understanding the potentials of tokenized assets. In *Proceedings of the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS)*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352903703_The_Tokenization_of_Everything_Towards_a_Framework_for_Understanding_the_Potentials_of_Tokenized_Assets
14. Hu, Y., & Bai, D. (2023). The intersection of tokenomics and corporate governance: An exploratory fsQCA examination of leading firms. In *Proceedings of the 2023 4th International Conference on Management Science and Engineering Management (ICMSEM 2023)* (pp. 1196–1202). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-256-9_121
15. Jayasuriya Daluwathumullagamage, D., & Sims, A. (2020). Blockchain-enabled corporate governance and regulation. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 8(2), Article 36. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs8020036>
16. Kaal, W. A. (2020). Blockchain solutions for agency problems in corporate governance. In *Information for efficient decision making: Big data, blockchain and relevance* (pp. 313–329). https://doi.org/10.1142/9789811220470_0012
17. Lafarre, A., & Van der Elst, C. (2023). *The viability of blockchain in corporate governance* (European Corporate Governance Institute, Law Working Paper No. 712/2023). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4483621>
18. Lesche, P., Sandner, P., & Treiblmaier, H. (2022). Implications of the token economy: A taxonomy and research agenda. In M. C. Lacity & H. Treiblmaier (Eds.), *Blockchains and the token economy: Theory and practice* (pp. 1–30). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-95108-5_1
19. Panisi, F., Buckley, R. P., & Arner, D. (2019). Blockchain and public companies: A revolution in share ownership transparency, proxy voting and corporate governance? *Stanford Journal of Blockchain Law & Policy*, 2(2), 189–220. <https://stanford-jblp.pubpub.org/pub/blockchain-and-public-companies/release/1>
20. Singh, H., Jain, G., Munjal, A., & Rakesh, S. (2019). Blockchain technology in corporate governance: Disrupting chain reaction or not? *Corporate Governance*, 20(1), 67–86. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-07-2018-0261>
21. Wang, C. (2023). Trading securities as digital tokens. *The University of New Hampshire Law Review*. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4341289>
22. Yermack, D. (2017). Corporate governance and blockchains. *Review of Finance*, 21(1), 7–31. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfw074>
23. Yusuf, M., Hakim, L., Hendra, J., Kamar, K., Idawati, W., Winarso, E., Meideng, C., & Fahlevi, M. (2023). Blockchain technology for corporate governance and IT governance: A financial perspective. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 7(2023), 927–932. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2022.12.018>