

# THE MODERATING EFFECT OF FEMALE DIRECTORS IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BOARD CHARACTERISTICS AND BOARD REMUNERATION

Inmaculada Bel Oms<sup>\*</sup>, Alfredo Juan Grau Grau<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Corporate Finance, Faculty of Economics, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain



**How to cite:** Bel Oms, I., & Grau Grau, A. J. (2024). **Received:** 08.04.2024  
The moderating effect of female directors in the relationship between board characteristics and board remuneration. In Ž. Stankevičiūtė, A. Kostyuk, M. Venuti, & P. Ulrich (Eds.), *Corporate governance: Research and advanced practices* (pp. 13–18). Virtus Interpress. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cgrapp2> **Accepted:** 23.04.2024  
**Keywords:** European Sustainable Firms, Board Remuneration, Female Directors, Board Specific Skills, Board Independence, Board Cultural Diversity

Copyright © 2024 The Authors

**JEL Classification:** G10, G30, J16  
**DOI:** 10.22495/cgrapp2

## Abstract

This study aims to analyze the influence of European sustainable companies' board of directors (BoD) characteristics on directors' remuneration, focusing on female directors' supervisory role. Hypotheses on the relationship between female directors, competences, independence, cultural diversity, and remuneration are proposed. The sample, drawn from the Euronext Vigeo Europe 120 index (2012–2021), is divided based on the financial market or bank orientation. Findings indicate that female directors' supervisory role, alongside independent directors, tends to decrease their remuneration. Financial orientation is crucial for establishing effective governance strategies regarding directors' remuneration oversight, particularly in countries reliant on bank financing. This underscores the significance of female directors' supervisory role, albeit limited to bank-dependent European countries.

The remuneration policy is considered a mechanism that may align the interests of shareholders and managers, and which may lead to

directors maximizing shareholders' wealth (Jensen & Murphy, 1990). Jensen and Meckling (1976) argued that director compensation systems should be designed to motivate them to establish actions that would increase shareholder wealth. Along these lines, Mangan et al. (2010) showed that the remuneration of directors should be high to attract experienced directors with certain skills and thus reward their work.

Therefore, the characteristics of the board members are a relevant aspect in determining the board remuneration. Previous studies have analyzed the effect caused by female directors (García-Izquierdo et al., 2018), the specific competencies of directors (Osiichuk, 2021), independent directors (Lim & Yen, 2011), cultural diversity (Zheng et al., 2012) of corporate boards in the board remuneration. In this sense, previous studies show that female directors tend to reduce the remuneration of directors (García-Meca, 2016) since women are more conservative (Man & Wong, 2013), risk-averse (Ullah et al., 2020), more ethical (Eweje & Brunton, 2010) compared to their male counterparts and have a more careful attitude in all matters related to remuneration (García-Meca, 2016). In this sense, previous literature has studied the role of gender diversity in moderating various aspects in the field of corporate governance such as the moderating effect of female directors in the relationship between board committees and firm value (Grau & Bel, 2022), or board committees and environmental disclosure (Pucheta-Martínez et al., 2021), or in the relationship between cash holding and the disclosure of corporate social responsibility (CSR) information (Pucheta-Martínez et al., 2023). However, there are no previous studies, as far as know which examine the moderating role of female directors in the relationship between some characteristics of the board and board remuneration.

In line with the above arguments, this manuscript tries to answer the following question: How does board gender diversity moderate the association between the board characteristics (board specific skills, the board independence, and the board cultural diversity) in the board remuneration of European sustainable companies? Thus, the objective of this study is to explore how the moderating role of the board gender diversity influences the relationship between the board specific skills, the board independence, and the board cultural diversity in the board remuneration. Furthermore, to extend the past research, it has been considered to divide the sample according to financial orientation since it is an important characteristic when establishing good governance strategies to establish surveillance protocols in the establishment of the remunerations of the board members. Financial orientation divides countries according to banking (Demirgüç-Kunt & Levine, 2001), where financial institutions are the predominant source of financing, or according to market, where funds are obtained mainly through stock markets (Berges et al., 2019) since the financial options of companies depend on it (Chakraborty & Ray, 2006). However,

Chakraborty and Ray (2006) showed that remunerations are lower in banking-oriented countries, as are investment and income per capita.

This research draws on various theories. Firstly, stewardship theory underscores the necessity of establishing agreements on director compensation policies to mitigate the agency problem between shareholders and managers. Moreover, it emphasizes the significance of human and social capital factors, including capabilities, knowledge, experiences, and skills acquired by directors outside the company (Johnson et al., 2013). Advisors' specific knowledge and skills enable diverse perspectives, active involvement in strategic decision-making, better information processing, and support for effective decision-making (Garcia-Meca & Palacio, 2018), gained from experiences in other companies or sectors. Hence, such expertise is deemed human capital, beneficial for business activities, and fostering connections between the firm and its environment (Bear et al., 2010).

Second, agency theory suggests that independent directors are more adept at overseeing managers and safeguarding shareholder wealth efficiently (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Consequently, board independence diminishes information asymmetries, lowers agency costs, and enhances financial statement credibility (Setia-Amaja et al., 2011), thereby reducing borrowing expenses (Anderson et al., 2004). Empirical studies have demonstrated that independent directors influence various aspects such as financial reporting quality (Pucheta-Martínez et al., 2016), non-financial information disclosure (Garcia-Sanchez et al., 2022), dividend policy (Kilincarslan, 2021), environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria (Kamaludin et al., 2022), indebtedness (Goel & Khandelwal, 2023), and director compensation (Pucheta-Martínez & Narro-Forés, 2014). Companies with a greater number of independent directors tend to appoint fewer CEOs and reduce their compensation, thereby cutting recruitment costs (Core et al., 1999). Independent directors are incentivized by their expertise and reputation maintenance (Fama & Jensen, 1983). Moreover, Ryan and Wiggins (2004) found that directors receive incentives different from shareholders, with independent directors' compensation linked to stock performance. Independent directors also exert control over director compensation, especially bonuses and cash compensation (Lim & Yen, 2011).

The findings show that the supervisory role of female directors with independent directors tends to reduce the board remuneration of European sustainable companies. Furthermore, the results also show that female directors negatively moderate the relationship between independent directors and board remuneration in European companies with a financial orientation towards banking. Additionally, the results obtained also reveal that the presence of female directors on the boards does not affect the relationship between the board characteristics (board specific skills, board independence, and the board cultural diversity) and

the board remuneration of European companies with a financial orientation towards the market. Therefore, female directors do not play a moderating role in this relationship.

This manuscript contributes to the recent corporate governance literature in several ways. First, this manuscript explores the supervisory role of female directors on the corporate board of sustainable European companies in the relationship between board specific skills, board independence, and board cultural diversity and board remuneration of European sustainable policies, and the effect has not been investigated in previous literature. The interaction of female directors on the board with board independence is beneficial for companies because it will reduce board remunerations for both sustainable European companies and market-oriented companies, so these results are an important finding. To our knowledge, it is the first study to provide this evidence using a sample of European sustainable companies, thus contributing to previous empirical studies on board remuneration and the impact of some corporate governance mechanisms have on it. Second, this research expands previous knowledge on how board gender diversity and some board characteristics can affect board remuneration, considering the European sustainable companies included in the Euronext Vigeo Europe 120 index. This research complements previous studies that analyzed the direct relationship between board characteristics and board remuneration of directors. Thus, this study provides international evidence of how board remuneration can be mitigated by some corporate governance mechanisms, such as board independence when moderated by board gender diversity.

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, R. C., Mansi, S. A., & Reeb, D. M. (2004). Board characteristics, accounting report integrity, and the cost of debt. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 37(3), 315–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2004.01.004>
- Bear, S., Rahman, N., & Post, C. (2010). The impact of board diversity and gender composition on corporate social responsibility and firm reputation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 97(2), 207–221. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0505-2>
- Berges, A., López, A., & Rojas, F. (2019). Banking-versus market-oriented financial systems: Questioning the European-US paradigm. *Funcas SEFO*, 8(4), 43–50. [https://www.funcas.es/wp-content/uploads/Migracion/Articulos/FUNCAS\\_SEFO/044art06.pdf](https://www.funcas.es/wp-content/uploads/Migracion/Articulos/FUNCAS_SEFO/044art06.pdf)
- Chakraborty, S., & Ray, T. (2006). Bank-based versus market-based financial systems: A growth-theoretic analysis. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 53(2), 329–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2005.01.003>
- Core, J. E., Holthausen, R. W., & Larcker, D. F. (1999). Corporate governance, chief executive officer compensation, and firm performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 51(3), 371–406. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(98\)00058-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(98)00058-0)

- Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (Eds.). (2001). Bank-based and market-based financial systems: Cross-country comparisons. In *Financial structure and economic growth: A cross-country comparison of banks, markets, and development* (pp. 81–140). MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/3001.001.0001>
- Eweje, G., & Brunton, M. (2010). Ethical perceptions of business students in a New Zealand university: Do gender, age and work experience matter? *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 19(1), 95–111. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8608.2009.01581.x>
- Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 26(2), 301–325. <https://doi.org/10.1086/467037>
- García-Izquierdo, A. L., Fernández-Méndez, C., & Arrondo-García, R. (2018). Gender diversity on boards of directors and remuneration committees: The influence on listed companies in Spain. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, Article 1351. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01351>
- García-Meca, E. (2016). Political connections, gender diversity and compensation policy. *Review of Managerial Science*, 10, 553–576. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-015-0167-7>
- García-Meca, E., & Palacio, C. J. (2018). Board composition and firm reputation: The role of business experts, support specialists and community influential. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly*, 21(2), 111–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brq.2018.01.003>
- García-Sánchez, I.-M., Aibar-Guzmán, B., Aibar-Guzmán, C., & Somohano-Rodríguez, F. M. (2022). The drivers of the integration of the sustainable development goals into the non-financial information system: Individual and joint analysis of their influence. *Sustainable Development*, 30(4), 513–524. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2246>
- Goel, A., & Khandelwal, U. (2023). Board composition and performance of Indian state-owned enterprises: Moderating role of leverage. *Business Perspectives and Research*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1177/22785337221148295>
- Grau, A., & Bel, I. (2022). Do board subcommittees boost European firm value? The moderating role of gender diversity on boards. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, 31(4), 1014–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12470>
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X)
- Jensen, M. C., & Murphy, K. J. (1990). Performance pay and top-management incentives. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(2), 225–264. <https://doi.org/10.1086/261677>
- Johnson, S. G., Schnatterly, K., & Hill, A. D. (2013). Board composition beyond independence: social capital, human capital, and demographics. *Journal of Management*, 39(1), 232–262. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206312463938>
- Kamaludin, K., Ibrahim, I., Sundarasan, S., & Faizal, O. V. A. (2022). ESG in the boardroom: Evidence from the Malaysian market. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 7(1), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40991-022-00072-2>

- Kilincarslan, E. (2021). The influence of board independence on dividend policy in controlling agency problems in family firms. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 29(4), 552–582. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-03-2021-0056>
- Lim, B. L., & Yen, S. H. (2011). Agency problem and expropriation of minority shareholders. *Malaysian Journal of Economic Studies*, 48(1), 37–59. <https://mjes.um.edu.my/index.php/MJES/article/view/2842>
- Magnan, M., St-Onge, S., & Gélinas, P. (2010). Director compensation and firm value: A research synthesis. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 7, 28–41. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jdg.2009.13>
- Man, C.-k., & Wong, B. (2013). Corporate governance and earnings management: A survey of literature. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 29(2), 391–418. <https://doi.org/10.19030/jabr.v29i2.7646>
- Osiichuk, D. (2021). Performance aside... the organizational and director level determinants of boards' compensation. *Argumenta Oeconomica*, 1(46), 99–129. <https://doi.org/10.15611/aoe.2021.1.05>
- Pucheta-Martínez, M. C., & Narro-Forés, C. (2014). The compensation committee and the remuneration of the directors. *Academia Revista Latinoamericana de Administración*, 27(1), 46–74. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ARLA-10-2013-0149>
- Pucheta-Martínez, M. C., Bel-Oms, I., & Gallego-Álvarez, I. (2023). Corporate social responsibility reporting and capital structure: Does board gender diversity mind in such association? *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30(4), 1588–1600. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2437>
- Pucheta-Martínez, M. C., Bel-Oms, I., & Olcina-Sempere, G. (2016). Corporate governance, female directors and quality of financial information. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 25(4), 363–385. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12123>
- Pucheta-Martínez, M. C., Gallego-Álvarez, I., & Bel-Oms, I. (2021). Corporate social and environmental disclosure as a sustainable development tool provided by board sub-committees: Do women directors play a relevant moderating role? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8), 3485–3501. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2815>
- Ryan, H. E., Jr., & Wiggins, R. A., III. (2004). Who is in whose pocket? Director compensation, board independence, and barriers to effective monitoring. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 73(3), 497–524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2003.11.002>
- Setia-Atmaja, L., Haman, J., & Tanewski, G. (2011). The role of board independence in mitigating agency problem II in Australian family firms. *The British Accounting Review*, 43(3), 230–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2011.06.006>
- Ullah, I., Zeb, A., Khan, M. A., & Xiao, W. (2020). Board diversity and investment efficiency: Evidence from China. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 20(6), 1105–1134. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-01-2020-0001>
- Zheng, Z. G., Sun, J. J., & Rui, O. (2012). Cronyism of board culture and excess executive compensation. *Economic Research Journal*, 47(12), 111–124.