

SESSION: BOARD OF DIRECTORS' PRACTICES

ADAPTIVE GOVERNANCE: INTEGRATING EMERGENT DESIGN FOR AGILE CORPORATE BOARDS

Anacleto Correia ^{*}, Pedro B. Águas ^{*}

^{*} CINAV, Portuguese Naval Academy, Military University Institute, Almada, Portugal



How to cite: Correia, A., & Águas, P. B. (2025). Adaptive governance: Integrating emergent design for agile corporate boards. In M. Pazarskis, A. Kostyuk, V. Santolamazza, & P. Capuano (Eds.), *Corporate governance: Scholarly research and practice* (pp. 9–14). Virtus Interpress.
<https://doi.org/10.22495/cgsrapp1>

Copyright © 2025 The Authors

Received: 04.11.2024
Accepted: 08.11.2024
Keywords: Corporate Governance, Emergent Design, Strategic Emergence, Agility, Adaptive Governance, Systems Thinking, Risk Management
JEL Classification: G34, L21, M14
DOI: 10.22495/cgsrapp1

Abstract

In today's rapidly evolving corporate landscape, traditional stability-focused governance frameworks often struggle to effectively address unexpected challenges. This article examines how emergent design principles can introduce much-needed agility and adaptability into corporate governance. Leveraging insights from the Archipelago of Design (AoD) principles, a literature review, and case studies of adaptive governance, the study presents a hybrid governance model that combines accountability with flexibility. The findings indicate that integrating emergent design principles strengthens corporate boards' ability to make timely decisions, proactively manage risks, and align with stakeholder interests, ultimately promoting resilience and long-term sustainability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Traditional corporate governance frameworks face challenges in adapting to rapidly changing and complex business environments. These frameworks, built on stability and control, are structured to maintain accountability and mitigate risk through well-defined processes. While

effective in stable conditions, they can hinder organizational responsiveness and strategic flexibility during periods of disruption, where rapid adaptation is essential (Hong & Kim, 2019; Meier et al., 2019). To address this gap, the paper examines how the Archipelago of Design (AoD) principles — strategic emergence, self-disruption, systems thinking, and reflexivity — can be integrated into corporate governance, creating an adaptive model that balances stability with agility (AoD, <https://aodnetwork.ca/>).

Emergent design principles prioritize adaptability, allowing strategies to evolve in response to unforeseen challenges. These principles have been applied in complex military and civil contexts, enhancing problem-solving and adaptive capacity, traits that are valuable in corporate governance. By introducing these principles, governance structures can move from focusing solely on control and compliance to emphasizing responsiveness and resilience, which can lead to sustainable growth and competitive advantage (Castilla & Benard, 2010). This paper aligns with current governance research calling for models that accommodate the complexity and interconnectedness of modern business ecosystems (Schuler et al., 2011). To guide the exploration, the paper addresses the key research question:

RQ: How can emergent design principles enhance adaptability within corporate governance?

The paper is organized to systematically explore these questions, starting with Section 2, a review of existing literature on stability-oriented governance frameworks and emergent design principles, identifying gaps where adaptive design can contribute. Section 3 outlines the approach to analyzing the AoD principles within governance, followed by Section 4, which evaluates each principle’s transformative potential. Section 5 interprets these findings, proposing actionable strategies and examining implementation challenges. Finally, Section 6 summarizes insights on how emergent design principles can reshape corporate governance and suggests directions for further research to validate agile governance models in varied contexts.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Emergent design principles, rooted in fields requiring flexibility and adaptability, prioritize responsive, iterative strategies, especially in complex environments. Key principles include strategic emergence, which promotes adaptable strategies that evolve with changing conditions (Castilla & Benard, 2010), and self-disruption, which encourages revising outdated practices to support continuous improvement. Additionally, systems thinking highlights the interconnectedness of organizational elements, while reflexivity fosters continuous learning and assumption reassessment, enabling adaptive responses (Hong & Kim, 2019). These principles have proven

effective in high-stakes contexts like military and crisis management, enhancing resilience and innovation, though their application in corporate governance remains a developing area (Faiz et al., 2020).

Traditional governance frameworks, on the other hand, focus on stability and accountability, emphasizing risk control primarily for shareholders. Agency theory centers on oversight mechanisms that align managerial actions with shareholder interests (Meier et al., 2019), while stakeholder theory broadens governance to include social and environmental impacts. However, stability-oriented models struggle in unpredictable environments, creating a need for governance frameworks that blend stability with adaptability (Schuler et al., 2011).

The intersection of emergent design and governance marks an innovative shift in management, with adaptive and inclusive governance frameworks bridging this gap. Adaptive governance emphasizes iterative decision-making based on real-time data, aligning with strategic emergence, while inclusive governance focuses on diverse stakeholder interests, aligning with systems thinking (Joyce & Slocum, 2012). While empirical research is limited, theoretical studies suggest that emergent design principles could help boards address complexity, sustain resilience, and proactively manage risks in today's volatile business landscape.

3. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a conceptual, qualitative approach to examine how emergent design principles can enhance corporate governance frameworks. A qualitative methodology is well-suited for exploring the theoretical and practical intersections between governance and adaptive principles like those from the AoD. By integrating insights from literature, case studies, and AoD principles, the study aims to develop a governance model that balances flexibility and accountability.

Three primary data sources guide this analysis: literature review, AoD principles analysis, and case studies. The literature review establishes a foundational understanding of the challenges within traditional governance models and highlights potential areas where emergent design could add value. The AoD principles analysis investigates how concepts like strategic emergence, self-disruption, and systems thinking — applied in high-stakes environments — could improve resilience and adaptability in governance. Lastly, case studies of organizations with adaptive governance structures provide practical examples, illustrating both successful applications and implementation challenges of flexible governance models.

The data analysis follows a thematic approach, identifying patterns and recurring themes related to emergent design in governance. This includes mapping AoD principles against traditional governance functions (like risk management and strategic planning) to find

alignment opportunities. A comparative analysis between stability-oriented and adaptive models further clarifies the benefits of integrating emergent design principles. Synthesized case study insights provide actionable recommendations for building a hybrid model. Together, these steps support the development of a governance framework that integrates stability with adaptability, equipping corporate boards to respond effectively to complex, evolving environments.

4. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

This section examines the limitations of traditional governance frameworks, which prioritize stability, control, and risk avoidance. These structures are characterized by hierarchical decision-making and compliance protocols, promoting accountability and consistency but often stifling agility in dynamic environments (Hong & Kim, 2019). As the business landscape grows more complex, the inflexibility of these frameworks restricts responsiveness and innovation, making it challenging for organizations to pivot effectively when faced with sudden market changes (Meier et al., 2019).

In contrast, integrating emergent design principles provides a flexible approach to governance. Key principles identified include:

- *Strategic emergence*: This principle supports iterative, flexible strategy development, where boards adapt plans based on real-time insights. Shortened planning cycles and scenario-based reviews allow boards to make more adaptive decisions (Castilla & Benard, 2010).
- *Self-disruption*: Promoting continuous improvement, self-disruption encourages boards to regularly reassess and update policies, discarding outdated practices to stay aligned with the organization's evolving needs (Faiz et al., 2020).
- *Systems thinking*: By broadening the governance scope, systems thinking enables boards to consider interconnected environmental, social, and economic factors, aligning governance with sustainable, stakeholder-focused goals (Schuler et al., 2011).
- *Reflexivity and continuous learning*: Reflexivity emphasizes periodic reassessment, supporting a learning culture. Through feedback mechanisms and post-decision reviews, boards can continuously refine their practices (Joyce & Slocum, 2012).

These principles, when applied, enhance governance by enabling more agile decision-making, proactive risk management, and greater responsiveness to change. Integrating strategic emergence and reflexivity fosters adaptability in decision-making (Hong & Kim, 2019), while self-disruption and reflexivity improve proactive risk management, helping boards anticipate and mitigate risks (Meier et al., 2019). Finally, systems thinking and strategic emergence enable boards to respond swiftly to market shifts with a more holistic governance model (Schuler et al., 2011).

In summary, emergent design principles offer a transformative framework for corporate governance, combining stability with adaptability to foster resilience and proactive decision-making in complex environments.

5. DISCUSSION

This section contrasts the rigid, stability-focused approach of traditional governance frameworks with the flexible and iterative approach offered by emergent design principles. Traditional governance, with its focus on stability, predictability, and risk control, is effective in promoting consistency and accountability but often lacks the adaptability required to address rapid market changes and emerging complexities (Hong & Kim, 2019). These frameworks typically support hierarchical decision-making and prioritize shareholder interests, but their inflexibility hinders proactive responses to new challenges. In contrast, emergent design principles offer a model that can evolve in response to external pressures, allowing boards to make strategic, proactive decisions in uncertain environments (Meier et al., 2019).

Integrating emergent design into governance, however, poses several challenges. Cultural resistance is a significant barrier, as shifting to adaptive governance requires a mindset change, and board members accustomed to risk-averse practices may resist this flexibility (Schuler et al., 2011). Structural rigidity also complicates implementation, as existing governance structures and compliance protocols are often too rigid to support the iterative, flexible processes that emergent design demands (Faiz et al., 2020). Furthermore, balancing accountability with flexibility remains a challenge, as traditional frameworks prioritize strict procedures, whereas emergent design necessitates a looser structure that supports responsiveness without compromising regulatory compliance (Joyce & Slocum, 2012).

Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of emergent design in governance are substantial. Enhanced responsiveness to market shifts allows boards to adjust quickly to new information and disruptions, fostering resilience in volatile markets (Castilla & Benard, 2010). Emergent design also supports improved risk management by encouraging continuous reassessment of potential risks, ensuring that governance remains proactive rather than reactive (Hong & Kim, 2019). Additionally, fostering innovation and learning through systems thinking and reflexivity broadens the governance scope, aligning decisions with social, environmental, and economic impacts and building corporate trust and reputation (Meier et al., 2019). In summary, while implementation challenges exist, the adaptability, resilience, and responsiveness offered by emergent design make it a valuable approach for modern corporate governance. Boards that embrace these principles are better equipped to sustain a competitive advantage in complex and rapidly evolving business environments.

6. CONCLUSION

This study illustrates how integrating emergent design principles can transform corporate governance from a stability-focused model into a more adaptive and resilient framework. Traditional governance frameworks, while effective in stable environments, often lack the flexibility needed to respond quickly to today's dynamic and complex business landscapes. Emergent design principles foster a proactive, learning-oriented approach that encourages boards to continuously adjust strategies, manage risks with foresight, and align governance practices with broader stakeholder and environmental concerns. However, the shift to an adaptive model is not without challenges; organizations may face cultural resistance, structural rigidity, and the need to balance flexibility with regulatory accountability. Despite these challenges, the benefits of implementing emergent design principles in governance are substantial. By embracing adaptability, corporate boards can enhance responsiveness, drive innovation, and build resilience against market volatility. Future research could explore empirical case studies to measure the impact of emergent design in various industries, further validating this approach as a sustainable governance strategy for organizations navigating uncertainty and complex global challenges.

REFERENCES

- Castilla, E. J., & Benard, S. (2010). The paradox of meritocracy in organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 55(4), 543–576. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.2010.55.4.543>
- Faiz, A., Astuti, R. S., & Afrizal, T. (2020). Sistem merit pada sektor pemerintahan: Proses pengisian dan penempatan jabatan pelaksana di badan kepegawaian daerah Jawa Tengah [Merit system in the government sector: The process of filling and placing executive positions in the regional staffing agency of Central Java]. *Perspektif*, 9(2), 406–417. <https://doi.org/10.31289/perspektif.v9i2.3878>
- Hong, S., & Kim, Y. (2019). Loyalty or competence: Political use of performance information and negativity bias. *Public Administration Review*, 79(6), 829–840. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13108>
- Joyce, W. F., & Slocum, J. W. (2012). Top management talent, strategic capabilities, and firm performance. *Organizational Dynamics*, 41(3), 183–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2012.03.001>
- Meier, K. J., Compton, M., Polga-Hecimovich, J., Song, M., & Wimpy, C. (2019). Bureaucracy and the failure of politics: Challenges to democratic governance. *Administration & Society*, 51(10), 1576–1605. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399719874759>
- Schuler, R. S., Jackson, S. E., & Tarique, I. (2011). Global talent management and global talent challenges: Strategic opportunities for IHRM. *Journal of World Business*, 46(4), 506–516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2010.10.011>