РАЗДЕЛ 2 КОРПОРАТИВНОЕ УПРАВЛЕНИЕ И СОВЕТ ДИРЕКТОРОВ

SECTION 2 CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD ISSUES

DEVELOPING A MODEL TO EVALUATE THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COMPETENCE OF BOARDS OF DIRECTORS

Shafi Mohamad*, Mary Hendrick**, Conor O'Leary***, Peter Best

Abstract

IT governance is critical in the current business environment. Boards of directors are ultimately responsible for ensuring the entities they control have appropriate IT facilities. This study develops a model of IT competences boards should have, to achieve appropriate IT governance.

The model is then pilot tested, using Ireland as a case study, to evaluate two issues. Firstly, whether these are the appropriate competences current boards need and second, whether boards appear to have those competences. A survey was completed by Chief Information Officers (CIOs) of Irish listed companies. Results indicate the model is an appropriate method with which to evaluate board IT competence, and companies in Ireland appear to be at a satisfactory competence level. The significance of the research is that the model can now be used to evaluate board IT competence in other jurisdictions. Furthermore comparisons of managements' evaluations and boards' evaluations can be assessed.

Keywords: Evaluation Model, IT Competence, Boards of Directors, IT Governance, CIOs, Ireland

* Department of Accounting Finance and Economics, Griffith Business School, Nathan Campus, Griffith University, Australia ** Institute of Technology, Tallaght, Dublin, Ireland

*** Associate Professor Conor O'Leary, Department of Accounting Finance and Economics, Griffith Business School, Building 1 (N50), Room 2.36, Nathan Campus, Griffith University, 170 Kessels Road, Nathan QLD 4111, Australia Phone: +61 7 3735 7225 Fax: +61 7 3735 3719

Email: <u>c.oleary@griffith.edu.au</u>

1. Introduction

Information technology (IT) systems are becoming increasingly indispensable for organisations in their daily operations (Parent & Reich, 2009). As a result, organisations invest considerable capital into IT assets to support the IT needs of their employees and other stakeholders. This results in spending on corporate information assets accounting for more than 50% of capital outlay (Nolan & McFarlan, 2005). With more and more business being transacted online via the internet, IT dependent business transactions and capital expenditure on IT software, hardware and infrastructure is expected to continue to grow rapidly. The contemporary global business environment is increasingly reliant on IT, which in turn needs to be governed effectively and efficiently.

Currently most business organisations are governed by a board of directors. The board of directors is seen as the ultimate decision making body of the organisation and is considered to be responsible for the major investment decisions, corporate governance and the strategic direction of the organisation (Psaros, 2009). Boards play a critical role in the governance of an organisation and enhance the overall health and wealth of the entity (Borth & Bradley, 2008). Corporate governance is described by Gay & Simnett (2010) as a system by which companies are directed and managed and covers the conduct of the directors, the relationship between and the board. management and shareholders. Information technology governance (ITG) is a subset of overall corporate governance focusing on IT systems, their performance and risk management.

The rising interest in IT governance is partly due to compliance initiatives, for instance the Sarbanes-Oxley legislation in the USA (2002) and the Basel II (2004) banking regulations in Europe. IT governance is also considered critical because of the need for greater accountability for decisionmaking around the use of IT, in the best interests of all stakeholders.

The International Standard for Corporate Governance ISO/IEC 38500 (2008) has helped clarify IT governance by describing it as the management system used by directors. In other words, IT governance is about the stewardship of IT resources on behalf of the stakeholders, who expect a return from their investment. The directors this stewardship responsible for look to management to implement the necessary systems and IT controls. Wagner (2011) amongst others notes the potential benefits that can be achieved by following best practice in all IT governance areas. It follows therefore that additional research in the area of IT governance should prove beneficial to all stakeholders. Yet, despite the continued call for improved IT governance there has been little research on how boards actually govern IT (Van Grembergen, De Haes and Guldentops, 2004). Many researchers have called for a specific focus on what boards do around IT governance, as they consider that overall corporate governance cannot be effectively discharged unless IT is governed properly (Musson and Jordan, 2005, Borth and Bradley, 2008 and Bhattacharya and Chang, 2008).

Further justification for additional research into IT governance can be found in the general area of research on corporate governance. According to the 2008 International Audit Committee Member Survey conducted by the Audit Committee Institute (ACI) of KPMG International (KPMG, 2008) nearly two-thirds of audit committees rate IT as one of the key non-financial risks over which they have oversight. The same study finds that IT is the fifth ranked overall challenge confronting audit committees, putting it ahead of regulatory and fraud concerns. To complicate matters further, many respondents to the survey believe that the information they receive about their IT risks is of a lower quality than the information received about their other risk and oversight responsibilities. Another survey conducted by the Audit Committee Institute of KPMG Australia in 2010 (KPMG, 2010) identified several significant developments which can potentially impinge on the work of audit committees. Two critical IT issues specifically identified are; the emergence of Web 2.0 technologies and the expansion of cloud computing.

The above factors all combine to provide the motivation for the current study. A review was conducted of all the extant professional and academic literature in the area of IT governance with the expressed purpose of developing a model with which to evaluate the competences boards currently have in this area. The model was then tested in a public company environment jurisdiction, to evaluate its effectiveness. The model is found to be an accurate assessor of IT competences and the directors of companies in the selected jurisdiction were deemed to possess appropriate IT competences.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. A literature review section follows, from which the various IT competence sources are summarised and based on these, two research questions are raised. Section three then outlines the proposed IT competence model extracted from the literature. Section four outlines the research methodology utilised. Section five analyses the results. Finally section six concludes the paper and offers some future research possibilities.

2. Literature Review

The literature review section is divided into three areas. Proposed sources of IT competences are extracted from three separate groups: IT associations, accountancy bodies and academic research.

IT associations

IT governance is defined by the the International Standard for Corporate Governance of IT (ISO/IEC 38500, p.3) as:

the system by which the current and future use of IT is directed and controlled. It involves evaluating and directing the plans for the use of IT to support the organisation and monitoring this use to achieve plans. It includes the strategy and policies for using IT within an organisation.

The standard provides a model, vocabulary and six Principles for Good Governance of information and communication technology (ICT) as follows: 1. Establish Clearly Understood Responsibilities for ICT

2. Plan ICT to best support the organisation

3. Acquire ICT validly

4. Ensure that ICT performs well, whenever required

5. Ensure ICT conforms with formal rules, and

6. Ensure ICT respects human factors.

Another model from an IT association worth considering, when assessing the competency requirements of boards of directors, is the Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology (CobiT) model. CobiT is a framework created by the Information Systems Audit and Control Association (ISACA) for information technology (IT) management and IT governance. ISACA, which is an international professional association that deals with IT Governance, is an affiliate member of the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). CobiT supports IT governance by providing a framework to ensure that:

1. IT is aligned with the business

2. IT enables the business and maximises benefits

- 3. IT resources are used responsibly, and
- 4. IT risks are managed appropriately.

These two definitive IT models are by definition very specific to IT experts. In order to equate them more specifically to the business environment it is beneficial to review what professional accounting bodies view as critical IT expertise needed by their members.

Accountancy bodies

The International Education Standard (IES 2, IFAC, 2003) for professional accountants notes the information technology component of accounting curricula should include the following subject areas and skills:

- General knowledge of IT;
- IT control knowledge;
- IT control competences;
- IT user competences; and

• One or a mixture of, the competences of the roles of manager; evaluator or designer of information systems (IFAC 2003:33).

Guidance in information technology knowledge and competences for professional accountants is further expanded upon in the International Education Guideline 11: Information Technology for Professional Accountants (IEG 11, 2003). Table 1 lists 22 skills and the level of attainment required for these items.

Table 1. Information Technology competences as required b	эу IEG 11
---	-----------

	Information Technology Item	Skill Level
1	Computer-assisted audit techniques (to evaluate information system processing	IT control & evaluator
	operations and controls and to analyse and evaluate monitoring processes and	role skills
	activities)	
2	Operating systems	User role skills
3	Word processing (in a relevant accounting/business context)	User role skills
4	Spreadsheet software (in a relevant accounting/business context)	User role skills
5	Database software (in a relevant accounting/business context)	User role skills
6	Internet tools(Email, Web browser, FTP) (in a relevant accounting/business context)	User role skills
7	Professional research tools(in a relevant accounting/business context)	User role skills
8	Business presentation software (in a relevant accounting/business context)	User role skills
9	Anti-virus software and other security software (in a relevant accounting/business	User role skills
	context)	
10	Utility software and other relevant software (in a relevant accounting/business context)	User role skills
11	Accounting packages	User role skills
12	E-business systems (ERP, CRM and business automation systems)	User role skills
13	Networks(LAN)	User role skills
14	Electronic commerce (B2C,B2B,encryption tools, digital signatures/certificates, key	User role skills
	management)	
15	Back-up and recovery	User, Manager role
16	Outsourced services (Internet Service Providers, Application service providers)	Manager role skills
17	EDI and e-commerce activities	Manager role skills
18	Access controls (logical and electronic)	Manager role skills
19	Communication	Manager, Designer &
		evaluator role skills
20	Document design specification	Designer role skills
21	Testing of system	Designer, Manager role
		skills
22	Planning of system evaluation	Evaluator role skills

VIRTUS 65

Similarly, a review of the competency requirements of various national accounting bodies in countries such as Australia, Canada, the UK, USA, South Africa, and Malaysia all reveal a requirement for competence/proficiency in the IT area.

Academic research

Many academic studies have looked at the IT skills needed in the business workplace and the extent to which business people possess them. Theuri and Gunn (1998) examined the way in which information systems courses have been designed and structured in American universities and then related these practices to the systems skills expectations of the employers of accounting graduates. Hostrom and Hunton (1998) note how assurance services provided by the auditing profession are changing and that the fundamental issue now is that of control over information and related technology.

Coenenberg, Haller and Marten (1999) investigated the current state of accounting education for qualified auditors in Germany and identified challenges faced by that country due to changes in the accounting and auditing environment resulting from the increased use of IT in business applications. Howieson (2003) notes how IT advances will redefine the relationship between clients and professional experts, because more powerful technology will empower clients to play a bigger role in managing their own affairs.

Greenstein and McKee (2004) conducted a literature review that resulted in the identification of 36 critical information technology skills. They surveyed academics (in accounting then information systems and auditing) and audit practitioners in America to determine their selfreported IT knowledge levels and perceptions about the best places to learn IT skills. Table 2 summarises some of the major skills required.

The director as a user of IT: Business Automation Skills					
Element	Capability				
Word processing	Apply word processing software in a relevant accounting/business context				
Spreadsheets	Apply spreadsheet software in a relevant accounting/business context				
Presentation Software	Apply presentation software in a relevant accounting/business context				
Internet tools	Apply Internet tools in a relevant accounting/business context				
Research tools	Apply professional research tools in a relevant accounting/business context				
Image processing software	Apply image processing software in a relevant accounting/business context				
	director as a user of IT: Office Management Skills				
Element	Capability				
Database search and	Ability to search and retrieve data from a database				
retrieval					
Knowledge work systems	Ability to work with knowledge work systems to aid directors in the creation,				
	integration and communication of knowledge				
The	director as a manager, designer and evaluator of IT				
Element	Capability				
Electronic data interchange	Ability to perform EDI(traditional and web-based) transactions				
Digital communications	Ability to understand digital communications(including wireless				
	communications)				
Network configurations	Ability to understand various network configurations(internal & external)				
Internet service providers	Ability to understand the issues around the management of internet service				
	providers				
Encryption software	Ability to understand the use of encryption software to change data, using				
	some type of encoding/decoding algorithm				
Firewall software/hardware	Ability to understand the use of security technology to enforce an access				
	control policy between networks				
User authentication	Ability to understand the use of software and devices to identify system users				
Intrusion detection and	Ability to understand the use of security technology to identify unauthorised				
monitoring	requests for services				

 Table 2. List of critical IT skills from Greenstein & McKee

(2004)information Trites states that technology (IT) plays a serious role in any modern business system. Therefore IT considerations play an important part in the controls that are necessary to preserve and protect corporate assets from misappropriation, loss and misuse. He subsequently identified four critical categories within which IT governance could be assessed. These are discussed

VIRTUS

further in the next section. Finally, Delmond and Lebas (quoted in IFAC, 1998) note how recent developments in information technologies have increased the quantity of financial and non-financial data that can be accessed by accountants, as well as the scope and speed of data analysis and transmission.

Research questions

The above literature review highlights three disparate bodies of work, all noting various IT competences within their own domain and for their own specific purpose. The IT groups developed standards to provide assistance to IT practitioners. The professional accountancy bodies developed frameworks to ensure members are capable enough in the specific area of IT. Academic research has developed frameworks to evaluate among other things, how effective IT training is.

The stated objective of this paper is to develop a model with which to evaluate the competency of board members in relation to IT governance issues. Based upon the diverse range of sources utilised above, two research questions (RQ) are therefore raised.

RQ1: Can a framework for assessing Board IT competences be developed with indexes for different areas of competence?

RQ2: Will a pilot test on a selected jurisdiction uncover any evidence of a knowledge gap as to the level of competence boards possess, in relation to IT governance issues?

3. Development of an IT competence model

A model with which to evaluate IT competence of directors needs to be framed in such a way as to be understandable to boards, all members of which may not be at the same level of computer expertise. Directors are responsible for overall corporate governance and so it was decided to frame the model in terms of critical general corporate governance principles. Referring to Trites (2004) study mentioned previously four critical sections were therefore identified. These are:

- 1. strategic planning issues,
- 2. internal control issues,
- 3. business risk issues, and,
- 4. privacy and legal issues.

Each section was then taken and filled in with specific points, extracted from the three categories of sources identified in the literature review section. This resulted in 15 strategic planning competences, 9 internal control competences, 4 business risk competences and 5 privacy and legal competences. Tables 3 to 6 respectively list all individual competences.

Table 3. Strategic Planning Issues

1	The strategic value of IT to the company
2	The company's awareness of options for the effective, efficient and acceptable use of IT
3	Alignment between all IT activities and the company's objectives
4	Mechanisms are in place for monitoring information security risk
5	Awareness of technology-based competitive threats
6	Innovative use of IT to undertake new businesses and improve processes
7	Making use of the latest technologies for both scheduled and impromptu meetings
8	Making use of secure IT tools for all internal communication purposes
9	Making use of data analytics to support decision making at every level throughout the organisation
10	Ability to critically evaluate IT investment recommendations
11	Considering all stakeholder concerns and needs when making IT investment decisions
12	Ensuring appropriate human resource policies are in place
13	Ensuring ample resources are available to enable staff to leverage new technologies
14	Ensuring appropriate contractual agreements are in place with IT vendors/ suppliers
15	Awareness of the influence of company culture on the overall effectiveness of IT governance

Table 4. Internal Control Issues

1	Ensuring appropriate oversight of all IT related strategic and operational risks
2	Instituting appropriate IT governance mechanisms be it at board or at committee level
3	Ensuring standards for security and document retention are in place
4	Setting up IT fraud prevention/detection platforms throughout the organisation
5	Setting up mechanisms to ensure that the company gets value for money from all its IT investments
6	Ensuring that IT monitoring and measurement systems deliver expected results
7	Ensuring that plans and policies are implemented and effective
8	Conducting regular reviews of IT security and reliability measures
9	Ensuring appropriate IT project management systems are used

VIRTUS

Table 5. Business Risk Issues

1	Being cognisant of developments in IT trends and emerging technologies for future business needs
2	Ensuring that all issues related to IT business continuity risk are identified and acted upon
3	Ensuring appropriate use of social media platforms to track and assess consumer sentiment
4	Ensuring relationships with third party IT service providers are sustainable

Table 6. Privacy and Legal Issues

1	Ensuring that all local legislative and regulatory requirements for protecting personal information as well as policy
	and procedures for compliance are adhered to
2	Ensuring compliance with all relevant local legislation pertaining to the use of software, hardware, service
	agreements and copyright laws
3	Ensuring compliance with any relevant overseas regulations such as Sarbanes-Oxley, HIPAA, Basel, etc.
4	Ensuring compliance with all professional standards, frameworks and methodologies affecting IT governance
5	Ensuring that the decommissioning or disposal of IT assets is done in accordance with environmental legislation
	and regulations

4. Research methodology

Survey instrument

The model was then incorporated into a survey instrument for testing. The survey instrument was in three parts. The first part listed five demographic questions about the respondent's company, his/her position (Chief Information Officer, Chief Executive Officer etc.) and years of experience. As regards the company, three questions identified the size of the company (by turnover); the industry sector it was in and which stock exchanges it was listed on.

Part two then listed the 33 competences in their four categories and asked the respondents to evaluate, in terms of importance, each IT issues facing their company today. Part three then re-listed the 33 items and respondents were asked to rank the level of competence they considered the board of their company possessed to deal with each issue. Appendix 1 lists an abridged version of the questionnaire showing the questioning relating to the four "business risk" issues.

Pilot test jurisdiction and respondents

It was decided a small jurisdiction, but one with a developed economy and a stock exchange with corporate governance requirements, was needed to test the model. Therefore the Republic of Ireland was chosen as it is a jurisdiction with a small manageable sample size given that the Irish Stock Exchange (ISE) has 72 listed companies only. All companies listed are subject to strict Companies Act requirements, stock exchange listing requirements (ISE, 2013a) and corporate governance principles (ISE, 2013b). The Irish economy is also defined as a developed economy by the World Bank, world economy rankings.

The specific individual respondents surveyed were therefore the Chief Information Officers (CIOs) of all Irish public listed companies. The list of companies was extracted from the official Irish Stock Exchange listing. A mail-out was organised to all 72 companies. Four were returned as addressed leaving 68 incorrectly targeted companies. Seven responses were received. This vielded a response rate of 10% which is deemed typical when surveying "time busy" people such as the CIOs of public listed companies. A survey by O'Leary et al. (2013) of company directors of Australia's top 200 companies yielded a response rate of 12%. Similarly a survey of Malaysian company directors conducted by Salleh et al. (2013) yielded a response rate of 12%. The sample was therefore considered representative and valid as there is no reason to assume non-respondents would have had different views from those who took the time to respond.

5. Results

The results were analysed to evaluate and respond to the two research questions posed earlier. This was achieved by assessing the rankings of the CIOs in relation to (i) the importance of each particular issue and (ii) the perceived level of board competence to deal with each issue. Table 7 summarises the raw data.



	Strategic Planning Issues	Imp	Comp
1	The strategic value of IT to the company	4.285	4.428
2	The company's awareness of options for effective, efficient and acceptable use of IT	3.857	4.000
3	Alignment between all IT activities and the company's objectives	3.857	3.714
4	Mechanisms are in place for monitoring information security risk	4.285	4.285
5	Awareness of technology-based competitive threats	3.571	3.857
6	Innovative use of IT to undertake new businesses and improve processes	3.714	4.142
7	Making use of the latest technologies for both scheduled and impromptu meetings	3.571	4.142
8	Making use of secure IT tools for all internal communication purposes	4.000	4.000
9	Making use of data analytics to support decision making throughout the organisation	4.285	4.142
10	Ability to critically evaluate IT investment recommendations	3.857	3.714
11	Considering all stakeholder concerns and needs when making IT investment decisions	4.000	4.000
12	Ensuring appropriate human resource policies are in place	3.571	3.857
13	Ensuring ample resources are available to enable staff to leverage new technologies	3.571	3.857
14	Ensuring appropriate contractual agreements are in place with IT vendors/ suppliers	4.428	4.142
15	Awareness of influence of company culture on overall effectiveness of IT governance	3.857	4.142
	Internal Control Issues		1
1	Ensuring appropriate oversight of all IT related strategic and operational risks	4.285	4.142
2	Instituting appropriate IT governance mechanisms be it at board or at committee level	3.714	3.714
3	Ensuring standards for security and document retention are in place	4.285	4.142
4	Setting up IT fraud prevention/detection platforms throughout the organisation	4.000	3.857
5	Setting up mechanisms to ensure company gets value for money from IT investments	4.000	3.857
6	Ensuring that IT monitoring and measurement systems deliver expected results	3.857	4.000
7	Ensuring that plans and policies are implemented and effective	3.714	4.000
8	Conducting regular reviews of IT security and reliability measures	4.428	4.285
9	Ensuring appropriate IT project management systems are used	3.571	3.857
	Business Risk Issues		
1	Being cognisant of developments in IT trends and emerging technologies for future business needs	4.000	3.714
2	Ensuring that issues related to IT business continuity risk are identified and acted upon	4.000	4.000
3	Ensuring appropriate use of social media platforms to track/assess consumer sentiment	3.857	3.714
4	Ensuring relationships with third party IT service providers are sustainable	3.714	3.857
	Privacy and Legal Issues		
1	Ensuring that all local legislative and regulatory requirements for protecting personal	4.285	4.285
	information as well as policy and procedures for compliance are adhered to		
2	Ensuring compliance with all relevant local legislation pertaining to the use of software,	4.285	4.000
	hardware, service agreements and copyright laws		
3	Ensuring compliance with any relevant overseas regulations such as Sarbanes-Oxley, HIPAA, Basel, etc.	4.142	3.857
4	Ensuring compliance with all professional standards, frameworks and methodologies affecting IT governance	4.000	3.857
5	Ensuring that the decommissioning or disposal of IT assets is done in accordance with environmental legislation and regulations	3.857	4.142

Table 7. Raw	Data scores	(Imp = impc)	ortance, Com	o = competence)

Firstly, reliability tests were run to check the validity of the data. Cronbach Alpha scores were calculated for all eight group evaluations as per Table 7 (i.e. importance and competence scores for each of the four groups of issues). The scores ranged from .752 to .961 indicating 75% to 96% of the items are measuring the same construct. These percentages are considered acceptable as the reliability factor analyses provide satisfactory measures when compared to Nunnally and Bernstein's (1967) seminal benchmark figure of 0.70.

The importance of the issues was then tested, by reviewing respondents' answers to Part two of the survey instrument. On a scale of 1-5 the lowest score given to an item was 3.75 (fairly important) and the highest was 4.288 (very important). All 33 items were ranked as important with the overall average rank at 3.94 (Table 8, row 6, column 2) which is almost 4.00, making each item "very important" on average. Critically, space was left in an open ended question at the end of the survey instrument for respondents to add any other issues they considered critical to IT governance which were not already in the 33 listed competences (refer Appendix 1). None were listed.

Table 8 summarises the means on a group basis for each batch of issues. Group means, as regards the importance of the groups of issues ranged from 3.86 to 4.11. This again tends to suggest the respondents considered all items important and considered the group classification a reasonable methodology with which to evaluate overall IT importance.

VIRTUS

	Issues	Rank	Competences	Rank
Strategic Planning	3.91	2	4.02	2
Internal Controls	3.86	4	3.98	3
Business Risk	3.89	3	3.81	4
Privacy and Legal	4.11	1	4.02	1
Overall	3.94		3.96	

Table 8. Group Mean Scores and Ranking of Issues and Competences by CIOs

RQ1 is therefore deemed to be answered in the affirmative, up to this point. It appears feasible to develop a model with which to evaluate how well or otherwise, boards are managing IT issues for their companies. The first task is to come up with a structured list of important items, and a scale with which to measure them. The current model appears to have achieved this. The respondents agreed all items were important and there was consensus among the respondents as to the items assessed. Finally, no items were identified which had been omitted from the lists.

Additional support for the evaluation of RQ1 and an assessment of RQ2 was then performed by reviewing respondents' answers to Part three of the survey instrument, their assessment of their board's competence to deal with the IT issues identified. Scores ranged from 3.71 to 4.42 with the overall average rank at 3.96 (Table 8, row 6, column 4) which is again, almost 4.00. The group mean assessments of competence are summarised at Table 8 and range from 3.81 to 4.02. This tends to suggest respondents were able to use the model to evaluate how competent their boards were in relation to IT governance issues.

RQ1 can therefore be evaluated in the affirmative. Respondents considered the 33 issues as important, did not identify any omitted IT issues and were able to use the matrices to assess the performance of their boards as regards competence in the IT governance area.

Further support for the veracity of the model is derived from a review of Table 8 rankings of the groups of issues. In terms of importance, Privacy and Legal issues were ranked most important followed by Strategic Planning. Internal Control and Business Risk issues were then ranked fourth and third respectively. When competence to deal with these issues was then evaluated, an almost identical ranking order emerged. Privacy and Legal and Strategic Planning issues were jointly ranked first, with Internal Control and Business Bisk issues coming third and fourth. This suggests respondents recognised the importance of the issues and evaluated the board competence accordingly, thus resulting in a similar ranking pattern.

RQ2 is also answered in the affirmative. Management of the evaluated companies considered overall that their boards of directors were very competent in dealing with current IT issues. No significant weaknesses, or even significant gaps as to the evaluation of the importance of an item and the board competence to deal with it, were noted. It appears management of Irish companies considers their boards are on top of current critical IT governance issues. This would be expected in a developed economy with a sophisticated Stock Exchange system, which Ireland currently has.

Summary and Conclusions

The importance of IT governance has undoubtedly escalated over the last decade but as Van Grembergen and De Haes (2010) observe, boards sometimes appear to be struggling to understand the state of IT within their companies. On occasion they do not have sufficient information to govern IT effectively, with many board members displaying a lack of IT skills and interest in discussing IT at board meetings. Company boards are ultimately responsible for IT governance. Chalaris et al. (2005) summarise these responsibilities as: the realization of promised benefits as a result of IT's alignment with that of the organization; the exploitation of opportunities and maximization of benefits from IT enabling the organization; the responsible use of IT resources; and the appropriate management of IT-related risks. Hence, some assistance as to the specific necessary competences boards should have in the IT area appears critical. These also need to be framed in a model directors can understand, as all directors do not have the same level of IT skills and training.

This research study therefore attempts to address a perceived gap in the IT governance literature, by providing an actual model with which to evaluate the level of IT competence boards actually possess. A model of 33 specific competences from 4 overall categories of IT governance issues was therefore developed from three separate sources. These are: IT bodies, professional accounting bodies and academic research. The model was then tested in a pilot jurisdiction, all public companies listed on the Irish Stock Exchange (ISE). Results suggest the model is an effective tool to evaluate board IT competence levels. Furthermore, in this particular jurisdiction, board competences were assessed by company management as at an acceptable level. This was as anticipated for a jurisdiction with a developed economy and a sophisticated stock exchange



system which has mandatory corporate governance principles (ISE, 2013b) attached to membership.

Past literature on IT governance has focused on the domains of IT strategic alignment, IT resource management, risk management, performance measurement, and IT value delivery. These five domains have gained global recognition as accepted relevant domains of IT governance (Johnson, 2005). But the IT environment is dynamic and the increased reliance on outsourcing these days by major corporations and advances in cloud computing will only expand the areas of IT governance. This in turn will expand company boards' needs for IT competences. A model to evaluate such competence levels therefore appears important. This highlights the significance of the current research.

The model can now be used to evaluate IT competence levels in other jurisdictions. The level of competence could then be compared from one jurisdiction to the next. It can also be used to evaluate whether the competence of company boards as regards IT issues, varies with the level of (i) corporate legislative controls (ii) stock exchange requirements and (iii) corporate governance codes. Critically, the current study has requested management (via CIOs) to evaluate board level competences. Future research could get boards to self evaluate their competence and then get management to evaluate board competence and compare the two to see if any competence "gaps" appear. The results of such future research may assist boards to better understand the governance of IT and allow them to consider the impact of IT structure on board IT governance processes.

Limitations

As with any study of this ilk, results and analysis are dependent upon the responses received from participants. Whether the responses they provide are an accurate reflection of their true thoughts on the matter, or have been adjusted (to provide responses which would appear more appropriate) is a matter the research cannot determine. For example CIOs may have been afraid to be too critical of the boards of their company for fear of reprisal. The small sample size (although representing 10% of the population) is also acknowledged, but as explained previously, a manageable total population with appropriately satisfactory governance characteristics was considered critical to an effective evaluation of the model's capabilities and limitations. The participants in this particular jurisdiction did not identify any shortcomings in the model, such as other critical IT issues not considered. Testing in a different environment may have uncovered such items. Future research may shed some light on this possible limitation.

References:

- Basel II-Basel Committee Bank of International Settlements (2004). http://www.bis.org/ Accessed: 14 August 2014
- Bhattacharya, J., & Chang, V. (2008). Adoption and Implementation of IT Governance: Cases from Australian Higher Education. In A. Cater-Steel (Ed.), Information Technology Governance and Service Management: Frameworks and Adaptations. Hershey New York: IGI Global.
- Borth, M.A., & Bradley, R.V. (2008). Unexplored Linkages between Corporate Governance and IT Governance: An Evaluation and Call to Research In A. Cater-Steel (Ed.), Information Technology Governance and Service Management: Frameworks and Adaptations. Hershey New York: IGI Global.
- 4. Chalaris, I., Lemos, P.P. and Chalaris, M. (2005). IT Governance: The Safe Way to Effective and Efficient Governance. E-Journal of Science and Technology. Vol.1 Issue 1, pp.59-63.
- 5. Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology [CobiT] (2000). Information Systems Control Foundation: USA.
- Coenenberg, A.G., Haller, A. & Marten, K. 1999. Accounting education for professionals in Germany – Current State and New Challenges. Journal of Accounting Education, 17:367-390.
- 7. Gay G. and R. Simnett (2010). Auditing and Assurance Services in Australia. 4th ed. McGraw-Hill, Australia.
- Greenstein, M. & McKee, T.E. (2004). Assurance practitioners' and educators' self-perceived IT knowledge level: an empirical assessment. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 5:213-243.
- Hostrom, G.L. & Hunton, J.E. 1998. New forms of assurance services for new forms of information: the global challenge for accounting educators. International Journal of Accounting, 33(3):347-358.
- 10. Howieson, B. 2003. Accounting practice in the new millennium: is accounting education ready to meet the challenge? The British Accounting Review, 35:69-103.
- 11. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). 1998. Into the 21st century with Information Management. New York, IFAC.
- 12. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). 2003. International Education Standards for Professional Accountants (IES2). October. New York, IFAC.
- International Federation of Accountants, Education Committee (IFAC Education Committee). 2003. International Education Guideline 11: Information Technology for Professional Accountants. New York, IFAC.
- 14. Irish Stock Exchange. (2013a). Irish Stock Exchange listing Rules. http://www.ise.ie/ISE_Regulation/Equity_Issuer_Rul es_/Listing_Rules/Listing_Rules.html
- 15. Irish Stock Exchange. (2013b). Irish Corporate Governance Annex. http://www.ise.ie/ISE_Regulation/Equity_Issuer_Rul es_/Listing_Rules/ISE_Listing_Rules_Appendix_4.p df
- 16. ISO/IEC 38500:2008. The International Standard for Corporate Governance of Information Technology.

International Organisation for Standardisation, Switzerland, June 2008.

- Johnson, E.C. (2005). IT Governance: New Players, Challenges and Opportunities. The Information Systems Control Journal. Vol.2.
- KPMG, (2008). The Audit Committee Journey, Charting Gains, Gaps and Oversight Priorities: A Global View. Audit Committee Institute, KPMG International. http://www.auditcommitteeinstitute.
- 19. KPMG, (2010). Information Technology and the Audit Committee: Managing the Risks, Putting Australian Audit Committee Practice in a Global Context: A Survey of Australian Audit Committees, Audit Committee Institute, KPMG Australia. http://www.kpmg.com.au/aci/docs/ACI-Putting-Australian-audit-committee-practice-in-a-globalcontext.pdf
- 20. Musson, D., &Jordan, E. (2005). The Broken Link: Corporate Governance and Information Technology. Australian Accounting Review, 15(3), 3.
- Nolan, R., & McFarlan, F. W. (2005). Information technology and the board of directors. Harvard Business Review, 83(10), 96-106.
- 22. Nunnally J.C. & Bernstein, I.H. (1967). Psychology Theory. New York: McGraw Hill.
- O'Leary C. Boolaky P. and Copp R. (2013). The Negative Impact of Additional Legislation on Corporate Stewardship. Australian Accounting Review. (Australia). 23(4). (p.357 - 368).
- 24. Parent, M., & Reich, B.H. (2009). Governing Information Technology Risk. California Management Review, 51(3), 134-152.

- 25. Psaros, J. (2009). Australian Corporate Governance A Review and Analysis of Key Issues. French's Forest NSW Australia: Pearson Education Australia
- 26. Salleh, Z. & Stewart, J. (2013). Audit committee involvement in resolving contentious accounting issues: perceptions of chief financial officers, audit committee chairs and external auditors in Malaysia. International Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Performance Evaluation, 9(4) p.326-343.
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act (2002). http://www.soxlaw.com/ Accessed: 14 August 2014
- Theuri, P.M. & Gunn, R. 1998. Accounting information systems course structure and employer system skills expectations. Journal of Accounting Education, 16(1):101-121.
- Trites, G. (2004). Director responsibility for IT governance. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 5:89-99.
- Van Grembergen, W., De Haes, S., & Guldentops, E. (2004). Structures, Processes and Relational mechanisms for IT Governance. In W.V. Grembergen (Ed.), Strategies for Information Technology Governance. (pp.1-36). Hershey PA, London: Idea Group Publishing.
- 31. Van Grembergen, W., &. De Haes, S. (2010). A Research Journey into Enterprise Governance of IT, Business/IT Alignment and Value Creation, International Journal of IT/Business Alignment and Governance, Vol.1 No. 1, pp. 1–13.
- 32. Wagner, A. (2011) "IT Governance Standards: Myths and Reality" Retrieved 19/6/2012 from www.dell.com



APPENDIX 1. Abridged version of Survey Instrument (Part 1-2)

PART 1: DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

Please tick 1 box to answer each of the following questions.

1. What is your company's industry sector?

Manufacturing Finance Service Retail Media

Plantation
Tourism
Mining
Agriculture
Other

PART 2: IMPORTANCE OF IT ISSUES

3. Bu	siness Risk Issues					
	e rank your assessment of the <u>IMPORTANCE</u> of the fo e scale provided	llowing I'	T issues to	your company, b	y marking	one box
#	Issues	Very	Fairly	Neither Unimportant	Fairly	Very
		Unim	portant	nor Important	Important	
1	Being cognisant of developments in IT trends and emerging technologies for future business needs					
2	Ensuring that all issues related to IT business continuity risk are identified and acted upon					
3	Ensuring appropriate use of social media platforms to track and assess consumer sentiment					
4	Ensuring relationships with third party IT service providers are sustainable					

VIRTUS 73

APPENDIX 1. Abridged version of Survey Instrument (Part 3)

PART 3: BOARD IT COMPETENCY

3. B	3. Business Risk Competences							
Plea	Please rank the level of <u>COMPETENCE</u> your board currently has to deal with these issues by marking							
one	box on the scale provided							
#	Competences	Lacking	Lacking	Neither	Fairly	Very		
		Significant	Some	Competent				
		Comp	oetence	nor Incompetent	Comp	etent		
1	Being cognisant of developments in IT			1				
	trends and emerging technologies for							
	future business needs							
2	Ensuring that all issues related to IT							
	business continuity risk are identified and							
	acted upon							
3	Ensuring appropriate use of social media							
	platforms to track and assess consumer							
	sentiment							
4	Ensuring relationships with third party IT							
	service providers are sustainable							

Finally, please list any other IT issues you consider important, which have not been included above:

VIRTUS